EXECUTIVE AGENDA

Monday 1 February 2016 at 1000 hours in Chamber Suites 1 and 2, The Arc, High Street, Clowne

Item No.	PART 1 – OPEN ITEMS Apologies for absence	Page No.(s)
2	Urgent Items of Business	
	To note any urgent items of business which the Chairman has consented to being considered under the provisions of Section 100(B) 4(b) of the Local Government Act 1972.	
3	Declarations of Interest	
	Members should declare the existence and nature of any Disclosable Pecuniary Interest and Non Statutory Interest as defined by the Members' Code of Conduct in respect of:	
	a) any business on the agendab) any urgent additional items to be consideredc) any matters arising out of those items	
	and if appropriate, withdraw from the meeting at the relevant time.	
4	Minutes	
	To approve the minutes of the meeting of the Executive held on 4 January 2016.	Circulated
5	Recommended Items From Other Standing Committees	
	None.	
6	Request for additional funding for fencing and roof repairs and an increase in the annual maintenance budget at Shirebrook Model Village Sports Ground <i>Recommendations on pages 7-8</i>	4-8
7	To seek a contribution towards the development of a new play area at Shirebrook Town Park and to seek approval for the development of business case for a five year district wide play area programme	9-13

Recommendations on page 12

8 (KD)	New Bolsover Model Village <i>Recommendations on page 19</i>	15-20
9 (KD)	Vehicle Replacements – Streetscene and Housing Services <i>Recommendations on page 24</i>	21-25
10	Housing & Community Safety Quarterly Update <i>Recommendations on page 28</i>	26-38
11	PSPO Langwith <i>Recommendations on page 42-43</i> <i>Colour version of Appendix F is available on I-Pad</i>	39-108
12	Medium Term Financial Plan 2016/17 to 2017/18 <i>Recommendations on page 118/119</i>	109-163
13	Creswell Leisure Centre Proposals	To Follow
	PART 2 – EXEMPT ITEMS	
	<i>The Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985, Local Government Act 1972, Part 1, Schedule 12a.</i>	
	Paragraph 3	
14 (KD)	Derbyshire Wide Building Control	To Follow
15 (KD)	Joint Venture – Limited Liability Partnership <i>Recommendations on page 170</i>	164-175

Notes for agenda:

Items marked (KD) are all Key Decisions. A Key Decision is an executive decision likely to result in the Council incurring expenditure which is, or the making of savings which are, significant having regard to the Council's budget for the service or function to which the decision relates or which is significant in terms of its effects on communities living or working in an area comprising two or more wards in the District. The Council has decided that income or expenditure of £50,000 or more is significant.

Item 9 on the agenda, Vehicle Replacements – Streetscene and Housing Services, is a key decision but it was not practicable to include it in the List of Key Decisions and Exempt Items (Issue 41). In accordance with Regulation 10 of the Local Authorities (Executive Arrangements) (Meetings and Access to Information) (England) Regulations 2012 the item will be taken to the meeting of Executive on 1 February and the Chair of the relevant Scrutiny Committee has been informed.

Bolsover District Council

Executive

1st February 2016

Request for additional funding for fencing and roof repairs and an increase in the annual maintenance budget at Shirebrook Model Village Sports Ground

Report of the Special Projects Officer

This report is public

Purpose of the Report

- To seek approval for additional funding to cover the cost of fencing and roof repairs at Shirebrook Model Village Sports Ground.
- To seek approval for an increase to the annual maintenance budget for the site from April 2016.

1 Report Details

- 1.1 Shirebrook Model Village Sports Ground (also known as Shirebrook Model Village Recreation Ground) is a 1.98ha (4.89 acre) site located at the southern end of Shirebrook Model Village that is used by Shirebrook Cricket Club and a number of local football teams under the banner of both Shirebrook Rangers and Shirebrook Wanderers.
- 1.2 Bolsover District Council is Trustee of the charity (Shirebrook Model Village Recreation Ground Welfare Scheme, No. 520506) under which the sports ground is provided and maintained for the benefit of residents of the former Blackwell Rural District.
- 1.3 The sports ground currently comprises one cricket pitch, one football pitch, an access road which is used for informal parking on match days and a number of cabins (changing rooms, tea room and toilets) that were erected in 2013 following the relocation of the four team changing pavilion that was originally erected in 2004 and subsequently relocated to the adjacent Coronation Drive Recreation Ground in 2013. Both of these (the erection of the pavilion in 2004 and its relocation in 2013) have previously been approved by Executive.
- 1.4 The relocation of the pavilion was part of Shirebrook Cricket Club's development proposal that had the erection of a new purpose built cricket pavilion as its main focus along with the provision of improved access, a new car park, security fencing and ancillary facilities such as cricket nets, a scorebox and secure storage facilities.

- 1.5 To this end a scheme for a new pavilion has been designed and costed, planning permission has been secured and a number of attempts have been made to secure funding for the scheme.
- 1.6 However, without a 25 year lease in place, the club has been unable to secure funding.
- 1.7 Further to the report to Executive on 22nd October 2012, a lease was drawn up between the Council and Shirebrook Cricket Club and the Council has now assumed full ownership of the site having accepted a transfer of the land from Mr. Jack Hadfield, the last living representative of the original four Shirebrook Miners Welfare Trustees who were owners of the site.
- 1.8 However, due to a number of underlying issues, and despite a number of attempts to resolve these, the lease has not yet been signed by Shirebrook Cricket Club.
- 1.9 More recently, two particular issues have become potential 'deal breakers' in that, unless these are resolved, Shirebrook Cricket Club will not sign the lease. These are:
 - The erection of fencing between the cricket pitch and the football pitch along with the re-instatement of a drainage channel between the football pitch and the cricket pitch
 - Repairs to the roof of the cabin used as a tea room, which has a leak above the kitchen area
- 1.10 Budget estimates and quotes have been obtained for the works these are:
 - Fencing (1.8m palisade) plus 1 x 6m double gate and excavation of drainage channel, based on total length of 120m = approx. £7,300
 - Repair of roof of 'tea room' 2 quotes, one for £1,960 and one for £2,060

The total for both elements is circa \pounds 9,400, so a budget of \pounds 10,000 would be required to cover the works as requested.

- 1.11 Over the last 20 years a number of agreements for the management of the sports ground have been put in place, initially with Shirebrook Town Council (until 2002) and then with the users of the site (Shirebrook Cricket Club and Shirebrook Royal British Legion FC) (2005 to 2012).
- 1.12 The aim of these agreements has been to ensure that, as far as possible, the Recreation Ground is operated at no cost to the Authority. To this end the site has been managed by volunteers from both the cricket and football clubs for a number of years, with limited input from the Council. In exchange for the clubs' management of the site (which includes grass cutting, pre and post season works and maintenance of the fabric of the site, including fences and roadways) the recreation ground has been made available to the cricket and football clubs at no cost.

- 1.13 The Council's input since 2012 has been via a contribution of £5,000 per annum to cover the cost of providing skips, loam / seed / fertilizer for pre and post season works, ad-hoc equipment purchases, maintenance of cutting equipment and insurance of the ground and buildings.
- 1.14 Financial support for the management of the sports ground has tended to favour the cricket club rather than the football club, although over the last couple of years the football club has received some, limited, support.
- 1.15 In order to meet the needs and cover the costs of both the cricket and football clubs in maintaining Shirebrook Model Village Sports Ground to a good and improving standard the need for an increase in the budget has been identified to cover costs such as servicing of grounds maintenance machinery that have only previously been partially met from the existing budget.
- 1.16 It is suggested that an additional £5,000 per annum is allocated, giving a budget of £10,000 per annum for the whole site. This increase (from April 2016) will be largely funded through adjustments to existing Leisure budgets.
- 1.17 Despite this increase, continuing with the current arrangement is still seen as the most cost effective way of managing the Recreation Ground, despite the need for a regular financial contribution from the Council.
- 1.15 The management of the sports ground by volunteers offsets a number of costs that would otherwise need to be met by the Council. These include: staff costs for opening / closing the ground and setting up / taking down posts and nets, regular grounds maintenance costs for grass cutting / line marking / pre and post season works, ad-hoc maintenance and repairs and cleaning the pavilion.

2 <u>Conclusions and Reasons for Recommendation</u>

- 2.1 As the most recent management agreement expired in 2012 the need to replace this with formal leases to the cricket and football clubs is an urgent priority given the current management arrangements. It is proposed that the issues raised by the cricket club are resolved in order that, in the first instance, the lease between the Council and the cricket club can be signed and formal responsibility for the management of the site passes to them.
- 2.2 The works as proposed are considered to be reasonable given the nature of the cricket club's activities and future aspirations. Additional fencing would be required to secure the site and roof repairs are necessary to prolong the life of the existing cabins until they can be replaced with a new, purpose built, cricket pavilion.
- 2.2 An increase in the annual maintenance budget is also seen as reasonable given the ongoing commitment of both the cricket and football clubs in maintaining the sports ground. Over the last few years the existing budget has proved to be inadequate as it has been necessary to cancel, postpone or delay a number of elements of

grounds, building or equipment maintenance, servicing, repair or replacement due to limited funds.

3 Consultation and Equality Impact

3.1 Consultation has been carried out with the users of the sports ground and local elected members.

4 <u>Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection</u>

4.1 As the lease has not been signed, the possibility of the Council resuming maintenance of the sports ground has been considered. However, the cost of inhouse grounds maintenance would be significantly higher than the proposed maintenance contribution of £10,000 per annum from 2016/17, so continuing with the current arrangement is still seen as the most cost effective way of managing the site.

5 <u>Implications</u>

5.1 Finance and Risk Implications

The need for an additional £10,000 investment in Shirebrook Model Village Sports Ground has been identified. This will cover works identified by Shirebrook Cricket Club to enable them to continue operating a cricket ground that complies with the requirements of local cricket leagues (as would be necessary for any club using the ground) and to work towards realising their future aspiration of a purpose built cricket pavilion.

If approved, works will be procured and managed by the Council to ensure that works are cost effective and to the required specification and quality.

5.2 Legal Implications including Data Protection

Signing of the 25 year lease by Shirebrook Cricket Club will formalise both the club's use of the ground and responsibility for its future maintenance. A similar lease to Shirebrook Wanderers Football Club will also be necessary to formalise arrangements for the football pitch.

5.3 <u>Human Resources Implications</u>

None.

6 <u>Recommendations</u>

- 6.1 That a budget of £10,000 be allocated for the erection of fencing and gates and the repair of the roof of the tea room at Shirebrook Model Village Sports Ground with works to be procured and managed by Bolsover District Council.
- 6.2 That the maintenance budget for Shirebrook Model Village Sports Ground is increased to £10,000 per annum from April 2016, with the increase to be met from

existing Leisure budgets. This will be subject to review every three years or sooner if circumstances require.

6.3 That formal leases are drawn up with both Shirebrook Cricket Club and Shirebrook Wanderers Football Club as a matter of urgency. Should this not happen within 12 months of this resolution, the Council reserves the right to offer a lease to other potential users of the sports ground.

7 <u>Decision Information</u>

Is the decision a Key Decision? (A Key Decision is one which results in income or expenditure to the Council of £50,000 or more or which has a significant impact on two or more District wards)	No
District Wards Affected	Shirebrook South East
Links to Corporate Plan priorities or Policy Framework	

8 **Document Information**

Appendix No	Title		
Background Papers (These are unpublished works which have been relied on to a material extent when preparing the report. They must be listed in the section below. If the report is going to Cabinet (NEDDC) or Executive (BDC) you must provide copies of the background papers)			
Report Author		Contact Number	
Matthew Connle	ey .	01246 242359	

Report Reference -

Bolsover District Council

Executive

1 February 2016

To seek a contribution towards the development of a new play area at Shirebrook Town Park and to seek approval for the development of business case for a five year district wide play area programme

Report of the Joint Assistant Director Leisure

This report is public

Purpose of the Report

- To seek a contribution of £25,000 towards the cost of developing a new toddler / junior play area at Shirebrook Town Park
- To seek support for the development of a district wide play area programme

1 Report Details

- 1.1 As members may recall Bolsover District Council was successful in securing £200,000 from the Big Lottery in 2007/8 through the Children's Play Programme. This saw a number of new and improved play areas across the district as part of a four year partnership (Bolsover Play Partnership) with SMP Playgrounds.
- 1.2 In addition to those play areas that were part or fully funded using Big Lottery monies, a number of additional play areas were upgraded using additional external funding secured through a partnership between SMP Playgrounds, Old Bolsover Town Council and Audiam Consulting, which secured in excess of £500,000 to improve five play areas in Bolsover (town)
- 1.3 As the Lottery funded programme finished in 2012, there are still a number of play areas that were not improved as part of the original Bolsover Play Programme. A number of these were either not put forward at the time or are within the vicinity of a development that has only recently provided a Section106 contribution for the enhancement and improvement of informal recreational space (i.e. play areas) within the vicinity of the development.
- 1.4 A list of play areas in need of enhancement or improvement is appended to this report.
- 1.5 Essentially this amounts to:
 - 18 schemes with a total estimated cost of £858,000
 - Over £250,000 of s106 funding already accounted for, with the potential for more from current and future developments, although there are areas within the district

where there is limited development (e.g. Glapwell) so there are unlikely to be any s106 contributions.

- £55,000 of confirmed other contributions (from Shirebrook Town Council so far), with the potential for additional funds from other parishes who buy into the programme and / or from BDC
- A (current) shortfall of £550,000, which is likely to be reduced through receipt of additional s106 funds and 'other' funding
- 1.6 However, as a precursor to a district wide programme, the need for a new play area at Shirebrook Town Park has been identified as an urgent priority following recent [community cohesion issues] within the town.
- 1.7 Agreement had been reached with the developer behind the proposed new Tesco supermarket in Shirebrook for a £150,000 contribution towards the cost of a new play area to be located at Shirebrook Town Park as a replacement for the existing play area at Portland Road Recreation Ground that would have been lost to the development.
- 1.8 However, as the development was one of the 49 projects that Tesco shelved in 2014, the financial contribution from the development is no longer available.
- 1.9 As Bolsover District Council is working as part of the **Shirebrook Forward** initiative to improve community cohesion, the investment in enhanced and improved play facilities is seen as an indicator of the Council's commitment to providing quality open space and improving the quality of life for local residents.
- 1.10 As Shirebrook Town Park is the largest open space within the town, is centrally located, easily accessible and adjoins Shirebrook Leisure Centre, investment in a new play area here would have the greatest impact as the site already has a large catchment area with a 2km / 1.25 mile radius.
- 1.11 It is suggested that Bolsover District Council contribute £25,000 towards the cost of developing a new play area at Shirebrook Town Park, subject to Shirebrook Town Council investing £50,000 of their own funds towards the play area as part of a longer term project to develop Shirebrook Town Park as a destination park for the residents of Shirebrook and surrounding areas.
- 1.12 As a number of other play area enhancement and improvement projects within Shirebrook have also been identified, Audiam Consulting were commissioned to undertake a funding appraisal for three sites within Shirebrook and a report outlining the potential sources of funding has been produced.
- 1.13 As outlined above (1.2) Audiam Consulting were successful in securing a funding package for the renewal of a number of play areas in Bolsover (town) between 2009 and 2011 as part of a framework agreement with SMP Playgrounds, who covered Audiam Consulting's costs.
- 1.14 The 'package' approach used successfully in Bolsover town to fund the enhancement and improvement of five play areas could be rolled out across the district as part of the proposed play area development programme.

- 1.15 As a first stage, Leisure Services would look to build a business case for the establishment of a five year programme to enhance and improve up to 20 children's play areas across the district using existing s106 contributions where available, external funding wherever possible and the Council's own funding as a last resort in certain circumstances where deemed necessary and appropriate and subject to member approval.
- 1.16 The play area programme would be established as a partnership between Bolsover District Council, parish councils and other owners / providers of children's play areas within the district.
- 1.17 It is envisaged that an existing framework agreement would be used for ease of procurement. Early discussions with the Shared Procurement Unit suggest that the existing ESPO Framework 115 (Outdoor Playground Equipment) is the most appropriate.

2 <u>Conclusions and Reasons for Recommendation</u>

- 2.1 Through a number of recent meetings and actions BDC, Shirebrook Town Council and other partners have shown a commitment toward improving community cohesion in the town under the collective of Shirebrook Forward. This group of partners has shown that it is committed to improving the current situation/perceptions within the town including the enhancement and improvement of facilities with the Shirebrook Town Park play area being amongst the priorities.
- 2.2 Subject to confirmation that Shirebrook Town Council is committed to investing £50,000 in a new play area at Shirebrook Town Park, a contribution from Bolsover District Council to the **Shirebrook Forward** initiative would enable a larger and more appropriate play area to be developed than could be with a £50,000 investment. As part of a programme of works to develop and enhance the town park, the play area would not just benefit Shirebrook residents.
- 2.3 By investing in the play area, the district council would also be able to offer its advice and support with design, procurement and project management.

3 Consultation and Equality Impact

3.1 Consultation has been carried out with Shirebrook Town Council.

4 Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection

4.1 Not contributing to the proposed play area at Shirebrook Town Park has been considered. However, the benefits of contributing can be shown to outweigh the costs by working in partnership as **Shirebrook Forward** to improve community cohesion through the provision of new, enhanced or improved facilities.

5 <u>Implications</u>

5.1 Finance and Risk Implications

If approved, a contribution of $\pounds 25,000$ would be made towards the development of a new play area at Shirebrook Town Park.

Any risks associated with this contribution could be mitigated through the district council assuming responsibility for procurement and project management.

5.2 Legal Implications including Data Protection

None. Shirebrook Town Council is the owner of the land where the proposed play area is to be situated.

5.3 <u>Human Resources Implications</u>

None.

6 <u>Recommendations</u>

- 6.1 To approve a contribution of £25,000 towards the **Shirebrook Forward** initiative and particular cost of developing a new play area at Shirebrook Town Park, subject to Shirebrook Town Council providing a contribution of £50,000 from its own funds.
- 6.2 To approve the development of a business case for the establishment of a five year district wide play area programme to be presented to a future Executive meeting by the JAD Leisure.

7 <u>Decision Information</u>

Is the decision a Key Decision? (A Key Decision is one which results in income or expenditure to the Council of £50,000 or more or which has a significant impact on two or more District wards)	No
District Wards Affected	All district wards
Links to Corporate Plan priorities or Policy Framework	

8 <u>Document Information</u>

Appendix No	Title
1	Bolsover Play Area Programme 2015-2020
on to a material section below.	apers (These are unpublished works which have been relied extent when preparing the report. They must be listed in the If the report is going to Cabinet (NEDDC) or Executive (BDC) e copies of the background papers)

Report Author	Contact Number
Matthew Connley	01246 242359

Report Reference -

Bolsover District Council

Executive

1st February 2016

New Bolsover Model Village

Report of the Portfolio Holder for Housing and IT

This report is public

Purpose of the Report

- To update members on progress to date including the securing of £1.9m of Heritage Lottery Funding for the refurbishment of New Bolsover Model Village.
- To secure the support of Executive to the inclusion of the project within the Council's Capital Programme for 2016/17 and future financial years to be considered by Council at its meeting of 3rd February 2016.
- To agree the approach to the procurement for the main works at New Bolsover, and to grant Delegated Power to the Executive Director Operations in consultation with the Portfolio Member to appoint the successful contractor following the procurement process.

1 <u>Report Details</u>

- 1.1 Members will be aware that Officers from the Housing Department, Planning and Property Services have been working to develop a scheme to bring properties in New Bolsover up to a modern standard, whilst preserving the heritage of the area and respecting that properties which are Grade 2 listed and lie within a conservation area.
- 1.2 In July 2015 Executive considered a report from the Portfolio Holder for Housing and IT which made the following recommendations which were accepted by members.
 - That members recognise the importance of New Bolsover, and the need for investment to keep and maintain this valuable asset
 - That members support a bid to the Heritage Lottery Fund for funding towards this project.
 - That members support the investment from the HRA into this project
 - That members support this project.

- 1.3 The report to Executive detailed the work to be undertaken as part of the project and secured agreement to submit a bid to the Heritage Lottery Fund to fund the 'privately owned' elements of the work. In order to support the submission of the Heritage Lottery Fund application and to undertake the feasibility and design work necessary for the project to proceed the Council included an amount of £0.350m within the 2015/16 Capital Programme. As a result of the work undertaken in the December of 2015 the Council were informed that the bid for Heritage Lottery Funding was successful with a grant allocation of £1.9m million provided for the scheme. Details of the award are set out on the HLF website at http://www.hlf.org.uk/about-us/media-centre/press-releases/new-bolsover-model-village.
- 1.4 In addition to this grant, an additional investment estimated at £8 million is needed from the HRA to bring council properties up to a modern standard. In addition to the external works this includes internal insulation to make the properties more energy efficient and in many cases additional work such a kitchen replacements, resisting bathrooms, and installation of positive air systems to resolve damp issues.
- 1.5 In total the expenditure is estimated to be around £10million, however, given the specialist nature of the work concerned which needs to respect the listed status and heritage nature of the properties concerned it is difficult to estimate costs until the procurement exercise is undertaken. Given the nature of the properties it is important that careful consideration is given to the procurement process in order to secure an appropriately experienced partner, to secure a cost effective project and to ensure that the project is able to proceed in a timely manner. The HLF has given the Council a strict time restriction on when the HLF grant needs to be spent of 3 years following the award of the grant. There is also an 'in principle' understanding that work on site will commence in June 2016. Against this background it is recommended that delegated powers are granted to the Executive Director -Operations – in consultation with the portfolio Member for Housing and IT - to award the Contract following on from the procurement process. A report detailing the outcome of the procurement process and the successful contractor will then be taken to the next meeting of Executive.
- 1.6 There are three options that have been identified to procure the main work, including:

Direct Procurement – given the size of the project any direct procurement will need to follow the full OJEU route. This option would require at least 6 months to appoint (followed by a lead time to commence work) and will involve a significant amount of staff time in preparing tender documentation. Given these drawbacks this is not considered to be the most appropriate option and as such will only be utilised should other options not be achievable.

Procurement through an existing framework agreement – this option is likely to require the shortest timescale of the available options, should secure economies of scale from being tendered with a larger volume of work, will have established specifications and contractual arrangements. These features minimise the risk to the Council in respect of timescales to spend the Heritage Lottery grant and provide a set of established working arrangements. Against these advantages it is important

to ensure that we are able to access a framework which covers the specialist nature and wide scope of works (from heritage works to insulation) that need to be undertaken. In addition there may be additional costs to access the framework or higher administration costs which need to be balanced against the savings which should arise from the economies of scale associated with a greater volume of work available for a contractor. On balance Officers consider that a framework is likely to represent an appropriate way forward and subject to the agreement of Executive recommend that this route should be the considered further subject to being able to identify a suitable framework which secures the Council best value.

Establish a new framework. Given the limited number of existing frameworks that are suitable for this type of work, one partner organisation has offered to develop a new framework around our needs, which will also allow other public sector bodies to join if they so choose. The advantages of this are that this work will be carried out at no cost to the Council and as first users we can shape the framework to suit our needs. The disadvantage is the time needed as this will also involve following the full OJEU process. On balance Officers consider that establishing a new framework is likely to represent an appropriate way forward and subject to the agreement of Executive recommend that this route should be considered further subject to being able to establish a suitable framework which secures the Council best value

1.7 On the basis of the position outlined above it is recommended that the Council pursue the option of using an existing framework or developing a new framework as its preferred options. However, as the HLF have expressed some minor concerns about being able to access a contractor who has suitable heritage experience via the existing generalist framework. The preferred option is to establish a new specialist framework for heritage type works via Efficiency East Midlands. If this is unsuccessful then officers will make use of the existing generalist framework. In order expedite progress on the contract it is recommended that the Executive Director is given delegated powers to award the contract (in consultation with the Portfolio Member), with a report back to Executive detailing the outcome. This is necessary to meet the planned start date of June 2016.

2 <u>Conclusions and Reasons for Recommendation</u>

- 2.1 Given the success of the HLF bid, it is now necessary to move to appoint contractors and to start on site by the agreed date of June 2016. In order to achieve this target the New Bolsover project needs to be approved by Council for inclusion with the Capital Programme for 2016/17, whilst the Council needs to establish an appropriate procurement process to secure a main contractor for the project in line with the requirement to secure best value.
- 2.2 The options for procurement are set out within the report, with that developing a new Framework being the preferred approach subject to feasibility work confirming that this is the best option. In order to secure a start on site by the preferred date of June 2016 it is recommended to grant delegated powers in order to secure a timely award of the contract.

3 <u>Consultation and Equality Impact</u>

- 3.1 Officers have undertaken a detailed process of consultation with local residents including :
 - Public Meetings
 - Visits to individual tenants and owners
 - Staff on site to explain the project
 - Questionnaire to residents
 - Working with young people and local schools
 - Working with residents to set up a formal residents group "Friends of New Bolsover"

4 Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection

- 4.1 As covered in section 1.6 of the report.
- 4.2 Executive will be aware that the options for the New Bolsover Model Village are constrained by the fact that the buildings concerned are Grade 2 listed and lie within a Conservation area. The Council also has a duty to seek to ensure that its tenants occupy homes which meet the Decent Homes standard. The work set out in this programme is necessary to ensure that we take all reasonable steps to protect listed buildings and that our tenants live in homes which meet modern standards.

5 <u>Implications</u>

5.1 <u>Finance and Risk Implications</u>

- While prior to the procurement process the unique nature of the work makes detailed costings more difficult than when working on 'standard' non heritage properties the following amounts will be proposed for consideration for incorporation within the Capital Programme. While work to date suggests that the value of the contract will be in the region of £10m Officers would recommend that a contingency of 10% or £1m be included within the Approved Programme, given the uncertainties of cost which are inherent when working on heritage homes.
- The estimated spend, profile of expenditure and sources of funding which are recommended for inclusion within the Capital Programme are as follows:

	2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	Total
	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
Feasibility / Design Work	350				350
Project Costs		3,334	3,333	3,333	10,000
Contingency				1,000	1,000
Funded By:					
Heritage Lottery		(634)	(633)	(633)	(1,900)
HRA Capital Resources	(350)	(2,700)	(1,301)	0	(4,351)
(MRA)					
Prudential Borrowing			(1,399)	(3,700)	(5,099)
(HRA)					

 There are clearly significant costs for the Council's HRA in funding the investment in New Bolsover Model Village. The Council owns some 138 properties of the 194 within the village, with some 30 of the properties being empty. Given the poor condition and the difficulty of heating these properties they have become hard to let, and an investment to upgrade the properties should ensure that we are able to secure higher levels of occupancy. The cost of the work involved is in the order of £70,000 per property, with each property generating in the region of £5,000 rental per annum. While the payback period – once other costs are taken into account – will be in excess of 20 years, the upgrades should secure a sustainable future for the properties and meet the Council's obligations in respect of their Grade 2 listed status.

5.2 Legal Implications including Data Protection

None arising directly from this report

5.3 <u>Human Resources Implications</u>

None arising directly from this report

6 <u>Recommendations</u>

- 6.1 That progress on the New Bolsover especially in respect of the Heritage Lottery Grant is noted and welcomed by Executive.
- 6.2 That the Executive Director of Operations following consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Housing and IT - is granted delegated power to appoint the successful contractor (s) subject to a procurement process run by Efficiency East Midlands.
- 6.3 In the event that the procurement exercise run by Efficiency East Midlands is unsuccessful the Executive Director of Operations following consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Housing and IT is granted delegated power to appoint a contractor (s) through an existing generalist framework.
- 6.3 That Executive recommends that the project in respect of Bolsover Model Village as detailed within this report be approved by Council at its meeting of 3rd February as part of the Council's Approved Capital Programme

7 <u>Decision Information</u>

Is the decision a Key Decision? (A Key Decision is one which results in income or expenditure to the Council of £50,000 or more or which has a significant impact on two or more District wards)	Yes
District Wards Affected	Bolsover West
Links to Corporate Plan priorities	Supporting our Communities to be

or Policy	Framework
-----------	-----------

8 Document Information

Appendix No	Title		
N/A			
 Background Papers (These are unpublished works which have been relied on to a material extent when preparing the report. They must be listed in the section below. If the report is going to Cabinet (NEDDC) or Executive (BDC) you must provide copies of the background papers) HLF Lottery Submission 			
Report Author Contact Number			
Assistant Direct	or Housing and Community Safety	3038	

Report Reference -

Bolsover District Council Executive 1st February 2016

Vehicle Replacements - Streetscene & Housing Services

Report Of Councillor B Murray-Carr With Portfolio Responsibility For The Environment

Purpose of the Report

• To seek Executive approval to purchase commercial fleet vehicles operated by the Council's Streetscene and Housing Services Teams.

1 <u>Report Details</u>

- 1.1 Housing and Streetscene Teams operate a mixed fleet of heavy and light commercial vehicles which have previously been procured under finance lease arrangements.
- 1.2 4No refuse team; 5No streetscene team and 11No housing team vehicles will fall due for replacement in the 2016/17 financial periods.
- 1.3 Refuse collection vehicles (RCV's) are procured by way of the Nottinghamshire Procurement Partnership framework contract (Ref: OJEU Notice 2012/S 25-040551) which meets European procurement requirements.
- 1.4 Light commercial vehicles are procured by way of the Nottinghamshire Procurement (Light Commercial Vehicle) Framework Contract for provision of Cars, Light Commercial Vehicles and Minibuses (Ref: 2015/5087-157149) which meets European procurement requirements.
- 1.5 Whilst 4No refuse vehicles are identified for replacement, it is proposed to replace only 3, consisting of 2No 32tonne and 1No 18tonne RCV's; in particular, as the fourth vehicle was identified in the waste services review as part of identified efficiencies savings and thus, will not be replaced.
- 1.6 During the 2015 period, delays were experienced with Ford light commercial vehicle deliveries. Therefore, early Executive approval is sought to place orders for housing service vehicles to mitigate delays; all of which, are contained within the approved capital replacement programme for 2016/17

2 <u>Conclusions and Reasons for Recommendation</u>

2.1 Large and Light commercial vehicles identified in this report will reach the end of their lease terms and fall due for replacement in 2016\17. It is proposed they are replaced by way of established framework contracts and financed via prudential borrowing.

- 2.2 The Council has previously relied of finance lease arrangements for vehicle acquisitions; however, over the past two years the Council has changed its approach from one of using finance leasing to one of acquiring vehicles by outright purchase. The switch in approach reflects the fact that a combination of low interest rates, the fact that modern vehicles can operate effectively beyond a 5 year period; together, with the greater flexibility afforded by ownership rather than leasing making ownership the more cost effective option.
- 2.3 It is proposed that the vehicle replacements are funded via prudential borrowing which provides the Council with greater flexibility throughout the course of the loan; in particular, if at some stage in the future it was considered appropriate to take vehicles out of use or if a decision is made to extend their operational life then this can be accomplished in a more cost effective fashion.

3 Consultation and Equality Impact

3.1 Streetscene and Housing managers have consulted staff on suitability of vehicles proposed to help ensure they are appropriate to meet service delivery requirements.

4 <u>Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection</u>

4.1 The replacement of vehicles by way of finance lease arrangements was not considered to offer good value to the Council and reduces the scope for flexibility concerning how vehicles are managed throughout the course of their anticipated life; in particular, where vehicles may require releasing from the leasing agreement prior to their natural end date.

5 Implications

5.1 <u>Finance and Risk Implications</u>

5.1.1 The cost of refuse collection vehicle replacements set out in this report is as follows:

Vehicle	Qty	Renewal Date	£∖Per	£∖Total
Dennis Eagle Olympus (Euro 6) Elite 2 (8x4) 32 tonne refuse collection vehicle	2	23.03.16	175,000	350,000
Dennis Eagle Olympus (Euro 6) Elite 2 (4x2) 18 tonne refuse collection vehicle	1	23.03.16	161,000	161,000

Total £511,000

5.1.2 The cost of streetscene vehicle replacements set out in this report is as follows:

Vehicle	Qty	Renewal Date	£\Per	£∖Total
Ford Transit 350 L3 H1 double cab tipper	3	24.03.16	22,300	66,900
Ford Transit 470 L3 H1chassis cab caged body		24.03.16	31,800	63,600
			Total	£130,500

5.1.3 The cost of housing vehicle replacements set out in this report is as follows:

Vehicle	Qty	Renewal Date	£∖Per	£∖Total
Ford Transit 350 L2 H2	6	29.03.17	21,000	126,000
Ford Transit Custom 290 L1 H1	3	24.03.17	20,000	60,000
Ford Transit Connect	2	01.04.16	12,500	25,000
			Total	£211,000

- 5.1.4 In total **£852,500** of vehicle replacements is proposed in this report; prior to which, approval has previously been secured to meet costs via the approved Capital Programme, funded by prudential borrowing as agreed as part of the Council's Treasury Management Strategy. All of the recommended purchases as outlined within this report are included within the Capital Programme and Treasury Management Strategy which will be considered by Council at its meeting on 3rd February 2016.
- 5.1.5 Prudential borrowing provides the Council with greater flexibility concerning the period of utilisation of the vehicle. While the main advantage of this flexibility is the ability to extend the working life of the vehicle at a relatively low cost, it can also help avoid the heavy costs associated with an early return of a vehicle. Contract hire and leasing are relatively inflexible arrangements and changes during the course of the contract would clearly have potential costs for Housing Repairs and the Council
- 5.1.6 The table below summarises areas of risk associated with management of fleet vehicles:

Risk Type	Risk Detail	Control Measure
Corporate Ambitions and Priorities	Service delivery supports Council Priorities which would be undermined by ineffective and inefficient fleet vehicles. Progress of Strategic Alliance (Fleet Review) delays vehicle replacement program.	Vehicles and specialist bodies specified to meet service need. Standardised vehicle specification may facilitate wider joint working with the Council's Strategic Partner; in particular, benefits arising from fleet maintenance and procurement.
Operational	Service performance and standards affected by fleet reliability.	Vehicle replacements sourced within effective utilisation period. Appropriate vehicle specification. Planned maintenance and safety inspections undertaken.
Regulatory	European emission standards not met.	Specify vehicles which meet current EU emission standards. Maintenance of vehicles meets Council's statutory Duty of Care.

Risk Type	Risk Detail	Control Measure
Financial	Increased cost of vehicle replacements place service budgets under greater pressure.	On the basis of the procurement exercise this risk is mitigated through effective purchasing.
		Service specification included requirements re fuel efficiency, maintenance costs and operational flexibility which will minimise ongoing revenue costs
Reputation	Customer satisfaction with services has a significant impact on the Council's reputation.	Ensure vehicles employed meet needs of service and are maintained to high standards.
Staff	Changes in vehicle types and specification could affect the staff using them for service delivery.	Consult with staff and users on vehicle specifications and types to ensure fit for purpose.

5.2 <u>Legal Implications including Data Protection</u>

5.2.1 The value of vehicle replacements considered by this report exceeds the European Procurement thresholds for supply of goods and services (£172,514 or €207,000; hence, why procurement is proposed via Nottinghamshire Procurement Partnership Framework Contracts which meet European requirements.

5.3 <u>Human Resources Implications</u>

5.3.1 None arising directly from this report.

6 <u>Recommendations</u>

- 6.1 It recommended:
 - (a) 3No refuse collection vehicles, as set out at 5.1.1 of this report, are procured via the Nottinghamshire Procurement Partnership Contract for the provision of Refuse Collection Vehicles.
 - (b) 5No light commercial streetscene vehicles, as set out at 5.1.2 of this report, are procured via the Nottinghamshire Procurement (Light Commercial Vehicle) Framework Contract for provision of Cars, Light Commercial Vehicles and Minibuses.
 - (c) 11No light commercial housing vehicles, as set out at 5.1.3 of this report, are procured via the Nottinghamshire Procurement (Light Commercial Vehicle) Framework Contract for provision of Cars, Light Commercial Vehicles and Minibuses.
 - (d) Financing of the vehicles is undertaken by way of Prudential Borrowing, as previously approved within the Council's Capital Programme and Treasury Management Strategy.

7 <u>Decision Information</u>

Is the decision a Key Decision? (A Key Decision is one which results in income or expenditure to the Council of £50,000 or more or which has a significant impact on two or more District wards)	Yes
District Wards Affected	All District Wards
Links to Corporate Plan priorities or Policy Framework	 Supporting Our Communities to be Healthier, Safer, Cleaner and Greener Providing our Customers with Excellent Services

8 Document Information

Appendix No	Title	
N∖a	N∖a	
Background Papers (These are unpublished works which have been relied on to a material extent when preparing the report. They must be listed in the section below. If the report is going to Cabinet (NEDDC) or Executive (BDC) you must provide copies of the background papers)		
Report Author	·	Contact Number
Steve Brunt		01246 217264\593044

Bolsover District Council

Executive

1 February 2016

Housing & Community Safety Quarterly Update

<u>Report of the Portfolio Holder for Housing and IT / Portfolio holder for Community</u> <u>Cohesion</u>

This report is public

Purpose of the Report

To update members on performance an issues within the Housing & Community Safety Service for the 3rd Quarter 2015

This report should be read in conjunction with the statistical appendix.

1 <u>Report Details</u>

- 1.1 Rent arrears remain challenging largely as a result of welfare reform. However, historically, due to the cycle of rent free weeks and direct debit collection the rent arrears have peaked in December and reduce for the rest of the year.
- 1.2 All tenants, other than those who pay by direct debit, have been issued with payment cards. These are readable by the cash machines in the Contact Centres and avoid the need to type in reference numbers. These will continue to be issued to all new tenants. From 2016 Rent Cards will not be issued to tenants
- 1.3 The Money Advice worker has been successful in engaging with tenants who have previously been reluctant to engage. For example a tenant in rent arrears and suffering from severe agoraphobia was refusing to engage with any agency and was at risk of losing their home. The money advice worker was able arrange a joint visit with the Social Worker. They managed to complete a HB claim, and collect various proofs . HB is now in place. Tenant is still engaging and monies are being paid on a weekly basis through DD payments
- 1.4 The repairs service continues to perform well with 100% of emergencies and 99.7% of appointed works being completed within target. This means that from almost 8,500 jobs only 19 were out of time. The majority of which were due to the need for follow on works for extra works and or materials to order. In the same period 693 abortive calls were made to tenants where we could not gain access to carry out the repair.

- 1.5 There has, been an issue with gas servicing at a small number of properties (6 number). These have been problems of tenants granting access to properties. However, following enforcement action access has now been gained to all properties and servicing completed. The systems will be further reviewed to ensure that actions being earlier against tenants who have been 'difficult'. The council will be seeking possession of one property where access was refused.
- 1.6 Members should be aware that there has been an incident where a repair operative fell from a step ladder which resulted in an investigation by the HSE. In response to this incident additional training and equipment will be provided. This will be reported in more detail to a future meeting of the Safety Committee.
- 1.7 The STAR satisfaction survey, which is completed every three years, has been completed with the results due in January. The outcomes of this will be reported in detail to a future meeting.
- 1.8 The Council has been successful in obtaining almost £2m of funding from the Heritage Lottery Fund for New Bolsover. More detailed reports will be presented to members
- 1.9 The B@home new build project has successfully launched with the start on site at Rogers Avenue, with other sites to be launched soon. The Council have been successful in obtaining HCA funding of over £150k towards Rogers Avenue, and will hopefully attract funding for future schemes.
- 1.10 The government have introduced a number of changes that have a significant detrimental impact on the Housing Service. The most obvious being the 1% rent reduction, but also including the mandatory pay to stay scheme, the enforced sale of high value assets, and the ending of lifetime tenancies. At time of writing there are no firm details of how these schemes will work (other then the rent reduction) but are likley to hit the department in terms of both loss in income and assets and also an additional administrative burden.
- 1.11 As agreed at a previous Executive, the Department have now purchased replacement equipment for Central Control. The Contractors (Jontek) are currently cleansing data prior to installing this on the new equipment, with a planned launch date of April 2016.
- 1.12 As members will be aware a PSPO was introduced for Shirebrook. Police and other partners have confirmed there has been a reduction in ASB since the order was introduced n 4th November 7 fixed penalty notices had been issued for breaches (up to 31 December)

2 <u>Conclusions and Reasons for Recommendation</u>

2.1 That members note the issues raised in this report

3 Consultation and Equality Impact

3.1 None directly

4 Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection

4.1 None

5 Implications

5.1 Finance and Risk Implications

Set out in statistical appendices and operating in existing budgets.

5.2 Legal Implications including Data Protection

None arising directly form this report

5.3 <u>Human Resources Implications</u>

None arising directly form this report

6 <u>Recommendations</u>

6.1 That members note the contents of this report.

7 <u>Decision Information</u>

Is the decision a Key Decision? (A Key Decision is one which results in income or expenditure to the Council of £50,000 or more or which has a significant impact on two or more District wards)	No
District Wards Affected	All
Links to Corporate Plan priorities	G09, G13
or Policy Framework	C06, C07, C10, C12, C13, C14, C15,
	C16
	H07, H08, H14, H15, H16
	T06, T09, T10

8 Document Information

Appendix No	Title	
	Statistical Report.	
Background Papers (These are unpublished works which have been relied on to a material extent when preparing the report. They must be listed in the section below. If the report is going to Cabinet (NEDDC) or Executive (BDC) you must provide copies of the background papers)		
Report Author		Contact Number
Peter Campbell		01246 593038

Report Reference -

Bolsover District Council

Executive

1 February 2016

PSPO Langwith

Report of the Portfolio Holder for Community Cohesion

This report is public

Purpose of the Report

This report supports the granting of a Public Spaces Protection Order in Langwith to reduce incidents of anti-social behaviour in the area.

Langwith is a village on the border between Bolsover District Council (Derbyshire) and Bassetlaw District Council (Nottinghamshire). Each District does not have the power to grant a PSPO outside the boundaries. Whilst much of this report is focussed on issues within the boundaries of Bolsover District, there are also issues in Bassetlaw. Bassetlaw Council are also seeking to establish an identical order within their area.

1 <u>Report Details</u>

- 1.1 Public Spaces Protection Orders (PSPOs) were brought in under the Anti-social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014.
- 1.2 PSPOs specify an area where activities are taking place that are or may likely be detrimental to the local community's quality of life.
- 1.3 PSPOs impose conditions or restrictions on people using that area. They are designed to stop individuals or groups committing anti-social behaviour in a public place. This report recommends that a PSPO is introduced in the areas of Langwith shown on the plan appended to this report.
- 1.4 In accordance with Section 59 of the Anti Social Behaviour Crime and Policing Act 2014 before a local authority makes a PSPO it must be satisfied on reasonable grounds that two conditions are met.

The first condition is that either

(a)activities carried on in a public place within the authority's area have had a detrimental effect on the quality of life of those in the locality, or

(b)it is likely that activities will be carried on in a public place within that area and that they will have such an effect.

- The second condition is that the effect, or likely effect, of the activities-
- (a) is, or is likely to be, of a persistent or continuing nature,
- (b) is, or is likely to be, such as to make the activities unreasonable, and
- (c) justifies the restrictions imposed by the notice

2. Evidence

- 2.1 For many years in Langwith there has been an issue with anti social behaviour often associated with drinking throughout Langwith, especially in the Whaley Thorns area and other public open areas. This behaviour includes unruly behaviour, urinating in public, street drinking, large groups causing intimidation, nuisance and noise which impacts on residents going about their daily business.
- 2.2 Since January 2015 to 11th December 2015 there has been 238 calls made to Derbyshire Police reporting gangs both male and females gathering on street corners shouting, drinking and swearing.
- 2.3 The following evidence in support of a PSPO is attached as appendices:
- a. Notes from the Order Group meetings 11th May 2015 & 21st September 2015
- b. List of incidents in Langwith provided by Derbyshire Police.
- c. Community impact statement provided by Shirebrook safer neighbourhood team.
- 2.4 In addition, issues in Langwith have been discussed at the weekly police tasking meeting for a number of years.
- 2.5 The proposed PSPO for the area is intended to prohibit the behaviour that is causing nuisance in the area, but have been phrased to ensure they do not impact on people who are making legitimate use of the area.
- 2.6 The proposal for the PSPO was to introduce the following restrictions into an area of Langwith to address the concerns in the area.
 - 1. No consumption of alcohol in public
 - 2. No unsealed vessels containing alcohol
 - 3. No urinating
 - 4. No littering

5. Not to congregate in groups of 3 or more people causing or likely to cause nuisance or Anti-social behaviour

6. Not to make excessive noise which causes nuisance or Anti-social behaviour

- 2.7 The proposed restrictions should have no impact on the majority or residents whilst going about their normal daily business, but will offer more powers to Officers who are trying to reduce ASB in the vicinity.
- 2.8 An order can be in place for up to 3 years although this period can be extended before the end of the 3 years if it is appropriate to do so. It is proposed that the Langwith order will be for 3 years unless extended.
- 2.9 Anyone who breaches this order is guilty of an offence and is liable on conviction to a fine of up to £1,000. A person could avoid conviction if served with and pays a fixed penalty notice. The penalty imposed by such notices can be up to £100.
- 2.10 Although the order is being sought by the Council, the Police will have the power to issue fixed penalty notices as well as authorised Council officers. As with many situations, an officer will have the discretion as to when to issue fixed penalty notices.
- 2.11 The impact of the order will be monitored via the weekly tasking meeting that involves a number of agencies including the Council and the Police. The order will be managed through the Ecins system which the Police, CAN rangers and ASB caseworker use to share information and incidents.
- 2.12 Over the summer months the Police have had several dispersal orders in place to assist them tackle the anti-social behaviour, the groups made it clear to the Police that they will be return after the 48hour period of the order coming to an end.
- 2.13 Some individuals also continue to engage in anti-social behaviour in areas that were not covered by the dispersal zone, this just clarifies the need for Bassetlaw District Council to make an order so this is a consistent approach from both authorities.

3 <u>Conclusions and Reasons for Recommendation</u>

- 3.1 That ASB is an increasing problem in Langwith
- 3.2 That a PSPO for Langwith would provide an additional tool to the Council and the police to help prevent ASB

4 Consultation and Equality Impact

4.1 There is a statutory requirement to consult with the Police before introducing a PSPO, this has taken place through the orders group (notes from this are included in the appendix)

4.2 Local business around Langwith have been visited and consulted.

4.3 The district Councillors and Cabinet member for Community safety have received written confirmation of the proposal.

4.4 Over 150 consultation documents have been hand delivered to residents who

reside around the affected areas.

4.5 As Langwith crosses into another District, both Bassetlaw District Council and Nottinghamshire Police have been consulted.

4.6 Following consultation with Bassetlaw District Council's ASB team it was decided that Bassetlaw will also start consultation for a similar PSPO to Bolsover. This is crucial to make such an order effective as some streets in Langwith are covered by Bassetlaw and Nottinghamshire Police. Consultation has taken place with Police sergeants from both Notts and Derbyshire Police about how this order will be policed across the boarders.

4.7 21 consultation feedback forms have been returned from residents of Langwith who strongly support the proposed order and most say this has been needed a while back. Detailed forms explain how a group of individuals are terrorising the community.

4.8 Bassetlaw District Council is currently in consultation for a proposed Public Spaces Protection Order for the areas of Langwith covered by the authority

5 Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection

5.1 None

6 Implications

6.1 Finance and Risk Implications

Minimal costs for signage and publicity

6.2 Legal Implications including Data Protection

This report concerns the establishment of a PSPO

6.3 <u>Human Resources Implications</u>

None

7 <u>Recommendations</u>

- 7.1 A PSPO is introduced for Langwith with the following restrictions
 - a. No consumption of alcohol in public
 - b. No unsealed vessels containing alcohol
 - c. No urinating
 - d. No littering

- e. Not to congregate in groups of 3 or more people causing or likely to cause nuisance or Anti-social behaviour
- f. Not to make excessive noise which causes nuisance or Anti-social behaviour
- 7.2 That the JAD Community Safety is given delegated power to determine the Commencement date of the proposed PSPO to coincide with the introduction of a similar order that is being considered by Bassetlaw District Council

8 <u>Decision Information</u>

Is the decision a Key Decision? (A Key Decision is one which results in income or expenditure to the Council of £50,000 or more or which has a significant impact on two or more District wards)	
District Wards Affected	
Links to Corporate Plan priorities or Policy Framework	

8 <u>Document Information</u>

Appendix No	Title	
on to a material section below.	apers (These are unpublished works w extent when preparing the report. The f the report is going to Cabinet (NEDD) e copies of the background papers)	y must be listed in the
Report Author		Contact Number

Report Reference -

Appendix A

PSPO consultation feedback from residents of Langwith

- 21 completed feedback forms received.
- All 21 people agreed that the PSPO should go ahead.
- Several comments suggesting that the PSPO will offer the residents of Langwith more confidence and a safer environment.
- The district Councillors and Cabinet member for Community safety have received written confirmation of the proposal.
- All residents who have returned the consultation forms strongly support the proposed order and most say this has been needed a while back. Detailed forms explain how a group of individuals are terrorising the community on a daily basis.
- One consultation form makes recommendations that the areas covered Nottinghamshire authorities should take the same approach. (Bassetlaw District Council and Nottinghamshire Police)

Form 2411 (R 8/11)

RESTRICTED (when complete)

Appendix C

MG11T

Witness Statement

Criminal Procedures Rules, R27.2; Criminal Justice Act 1967, S.9; Magistrates' Courts Act 1980, S.5B

				JRN	30				
Statement of									
Age if under 18	Over 18	(if over 18 insert 'over 18')	Occupation	Pol	ice Co	onstab	le 14156	3	
make it knowing th	at, if it is te	pages each signed by r ndered in evidence I sh se or do not believe to b	all be liable to pr	best o oseci	of my i ution i	(nowle f I have	dge and e wilfully	belief a stated	ind I in it

Signature;

Date: 11/12/15

Cross if witness evidence is visually recorded (supply witness details on rear) I am a Police Constable serving with Derbyshire Constabulary currently based at Shirebrook Police station.

I have worked in the Shirebrook area for the past five and a half years mainly as a response officer. In January 2014 I joined Shirebrook Safer Neighbourhood team working alongside PCSO and PCSO covering the villages of Shirebrook, Langwith, Whaley Thornes and Scarcliffe.

In my time working at Shirebrook I have attended numerous reports of anti-social behaviour within the Whaley Thornes area of the Bolsover District.

Whaley Thornes is a small village on the outskirts of Derbyshire, the village borders on to Nottinghamshire village of Nether Langwith. The village has a number of shops the main ones being the Post office on Main Street Whaley Thornes and Snappa Bargain which is situated on the corner of Main Street and New Scott Street. Adjacent to Snappa Bargain is a small Doctors surgery and next to that is a skate park all which back on to the cricket pavilion and field. Surrounding this location are two care homes one for elderly people and one for people with mental health problems. Around this location at the centre of the village there are three main streets situated on the crossroads of this junction these being Main Street, New Scott Street and Jellico Street. Surrounding these streets are a number of other residential streets containing mainly terrace housing.

Within the past 24months or so there has been an increase in anti-social behaviour caused by adults and juveniles in this area mainly in relation them being intoxicated and having intoxicants in their possession and being ant-social at the time. The majority of the problems have been at the front of

Signature ...

Signature witnessed by

2010/11

RESTRICTED (when complete)

MG11T(CONT)

Page No 2 of 5

.

Continuation of Statement of

Snappa Bargain on the cross roads however this has been wide spread and has led to reports being made from neighbouring streets.

Despite a firm but fair manner in which police have tried to deal with this matter people found causing such a nuisance appear to feel they are above the law and although they are moved on they continue their antics elsewhere. This became most apparent following the safer neighbourhood team putting notice up in relation to the authorisation to exercise Police Dispersal Powers. In recent months the Dispersal power has been authorised by following the ongoing problems in the area. Upon notice being put up around the village local people had taken to studying the map to ascertain here they could continue their antics without being bothered by the police and openly asked officers where they could go out of the dispersal zone. Many of the individuals took to leaving the area of the dispersal and returned to cause problems upon the conclusion of the authorisation. Although this is the case for the majority the power has still been utilised effectively to disperse groups on each weekend it was authorised. The weekend where the dispersal power was authorised where 5th June 2015 at 1700hrs, 1st May 2015 at 1900hrs until 3rd May 2015 at 1900hrs and the 24th April 2015 at 1600hrs.

There have been a number of incidents where damage has been caused to the surrounding shops where graffiti has been done on the front of Snappa Bargain which is in fact a charity shop and also the shop which was next door Made in Langwith. Both shops have had their manhole covers to their drains lifted on a number of occasions and filled with rubbish. Both shops also had their facia signs damaged and due to having to keep maintaining them have now decided not to repair these again.

The ant-social behaviour had got that bad that in January 2015 led to the business known as Made in Langwith which was the shop next to Snappa Baragin to close down. The owner and workers at the sandwich shop had become victim to nuisance behaviour from local people and had on a number of occasions become intimidated by adults who congregate on this corner. The occupiers of the shop finally provided statements to police but due to intimidation and fear of retribution refused to attend court when this went to trial.

Signature

... Signature witnessed by

2010/11

RESTRICTED (when complete)
MG11T(CONT)

Page No 3 of 5

Continuation of Statement of

Snappa Bargain is a community funding charity shop and caffe which is run by two voluntary managers and a number of voluntary staff. The shop raises money for the local community and this is distributed out when a decision has been made as to what this will fund annually by the local community. After years of the voluntary managers at Snappa Bargain been subject to the group hanging around on the corner they have within the last month closed the shop down and have moved the café to another location to enable elderly residents somewhere to go during the day instead of attending this location where they are intimidated by the gangs.

A number of years ago when these problems began the Doctors surgery became under attack from local people causing antisocial behaviour which resulted in windows getting smashed. Due to this the Doctors surgery now has metal grills on the windows and doors to prevent them from being smashed. Staff at the doctors surgery will only leave as a group at night due to fear of the anti-social behaviour from the individuals who congregate outside drinking. Patients who are from neighbouring villages attending the surgery also report they will park as close to the building as possible to prevent them having to encounter the groups hanging around.

Next to the Doctors surgery there is a hard standing to play football with metal nets and a skate park. These where paid for by the Parish council along with fundraising by local people to have this built. Due to the damage caused to the lighting on these areas they can no longer be used in an evening as they are damaged the instance they are repaired despite efforts by the councils to toughen them to prevent this. Youths who are not involved with the anti-social activities have also stopped playing on the skate parks due to the ones causing the problems having smashed glass on them both which on at least on occasion resulted in the injury of a child.

Since January 2015 until 11th December 2015 there have been 238 calls for service in relation to Antisocial behaviour in Whaley Thornes all relating to gangs male and female gathering on the street corners drinking alcohol, shouting, swearing and being intimidated. In addition to these complaints we have received complaints about people gathering around the bin on the corner of Jellico Street, Main Street utilising the bin as a bar to put there alcohol on and on the odd occasion that this is being used to take drugs. We have also had complaints of stones being thrown at windows and smashed. Most

Signature

..... Signature witnessed by

2010/11

RESTRICTED (when complete)

Page No 4 of 5

.....

....

Continuation of Statement of

recently have had concerns raised about young mothers changing baby's nappies on the top of the bin on the corner. Despite there being a hard standing to play football on and a skate park adjacent to where they youths and adults stand there have also been a number of complains about people playing ball games in the middle of the street around residents addresses and vehicles.

There have also been reports of homophobic and racial incidents over the past 12 months which in some instances have become so bad that it has resulted in families leaving the village. Although on some occasions complaints and victims would support a prosecution it has not always been possible to bring about a prosecution as the individuals involved could not be identified from the large groups.

Nonetheless despite the 238 calls people will still on occasions refuse to provide details or refuse to support a prosecution by providing a statement due to fear of ramifications.

Over time there have been a number of events which have been organised by the youth workers which have included groups on a Friday night where they set up a number of different activities for the groups to engage in. Extreme wheels are another organised event by the Council has set on to engage with the youths in the area. As stated before there is also a skate park, hard standing to play football and also a play park at the opposite end of the cricket field. However these activities only engage with the youths and they are not the only individuals engaging in this ASB as adults are the main perpetrators for the late night drinking on the street corners.

There have been a small minority who have been dealt with by Police who have had intensive engagement with youth workers and the youth offending team which has resulted in these individuals no longer being part of the Anti-social behaviour. However there is still a large group of individuals who have failed to engage and have continued with their antics. A number of individuals who come to the fore front where requested to attend Shirebrook police station during which they were requested to abide by conditions placed upon them via an Anti-Social Behaviour Contract (ABC). The purpose of the ABC is designed as an early intervention at a low level to prevent Young people committing ASB. This was even utilised in an attempt to evidence the good behaviour and co-operation with police and council of one of the adults in the group due to her having previously being on an ASBO and being unable to get

Signature

..... Signature witnessed by

2010/11

RESTRICTED (when complete)

Page No 5 of S

Continuation of Statement of

permanent accommodation to prevent her from continuing with her anti-social activity. Despite efforts by the Police and Council to help these individuals, all have failed to engage to such a degree that they are no longer causing problems for local residents.

Attempts were also made by Police and ASRA housing which is the housing association which runs a large proportion of addresses to engage with the tenants of their properties. A number of the tenants where issued warnings in relation to their involvement in Anti-Social behaviour and due to ongoing problems in and around the flats situated on New Scott Street Whaley Thornes they have put out to tender to companies to install CCTV on their flats.

In an attempt to combat the issues of anti-social behaviour in Whaley Thornes Langwith Police and Community Safety Partnership and Bolsover District council are working together to pursue the implementation of a Public Spaces Protection Order (PSPO) as a viable way of dealing with issues which are affecting peoples quality of life in this area.

The majority of public in the Whaley Thornes Langwith area are good people and are been adversely affected by the actions of a few individuals having to tolerate the actions due to them fearing reprisals they need support by Police and Local authorities to restore peace to their area.

Signature

Signature witnessed by

2010/11

RESTRICTED (when complete)

Appendix D Orders Group Meeting

Langwith PSPO

Date: 11th May 2015

Present

Deborah Whallett (DW)	BDC Housing Enforcement Officer				
Louise Higgins (LH)	Anti-Social Behaviour Officer				
Sarah Barraclough (SB)	Police				
Kat Newton-Jones (KNJ)	Police				
Matt Liddy (ML)	PCSO Shirebrook				
Kevin Shillitto (KS)	BDC Legal				
Carolyn Bowen (CB)	CSP				
Marilyn Greveson (MG)	Specialist Housing Administrator				

Apologies

Brian Murray-Carr (BMC)	BDC
Sgt Paul Smith (PS)	Police CSP
Jo Selby (JS)	CSP

Case

The meeting was called to discuss the possibility of applying for a Public Spaces Protection Order for the Langwith area.

History

For some time now there have been problems with groups of people gathering in certain areas of Langwith, causing anti social behaviour. The ASB consists of: drinking in the street, using laser pens, shouting abuse and swearing, loud music, deliberate fires and blocking the road. Residents have also been threatened with having their windows broken if they report anything to the Police. The ASB is affecting the whole neighbourhood.

Current Information

Tanya Downes appears to be the ringleader and encourages others to join in and cause ASB. Tanya has previously had an ASBO. It was felt that a Civil Injunction against Tanya would not be appropriate as any breaches of conditions could not be proved. It has proved difficult in the past to obtain statements against Tanya from anyone in the community.

SB said the main cause of the problems, other than Tanya, is the drinking as this leads to other incidents occurring.

Dispersal orders have recently been authorised. Individuals from the group have then asked Police officers what times the orders cover and where. They have then moved just outside the boundary or on to private property to continue their activities.

Decision

The group decided to proceed with the PSPO application process.

KS said all evidence would need to be gathered together and all records of any nuisance reports captured. KS said a mapped area to be covered by the PSPO would need to be agreed, and also ensure the whole residential area was included.

LH and KS will collate all information gathered to produce the report which would then be discussed with the Police for their input. The report would then go to Council for their approval before going to court.

Consideration needs to be given as to how any breaches of the PSPO would be enforced. At the moment only BDC Rangers are authorised to issue fixed penalty notices. CB said Paul Smith has written to the Chief Superintendant requesting Police Officers be authorised to issue FPN's. The Chief Superintendant is fully supportive of the request and has passed it on to the Chief Constable. PCSO's would not be able to issue FPN's. Tickets could be issued by the Council by post from information given by the Police. If fines are not paid the Council could then prosecute.

Conditions

KS said he would look at other PSPO's already granted to see what restrictions have been put in place. We could then consider adding dispersal orders for certain areas and possibly alcohol free zones.

Some consultation will be needed which could be covered by leaflet distribution or even an announcement on the Council website.

Actions

Information to be captured and sent to LH:

List of incidents from Police

Impact statement from Police

List of deliberate fires would be useful

List of Dispersal Powers authorised, including times and mapped area covered.

Langwith **PSPO**

Appendix E Orders Group Meeting

Date: 21st September 2015

Present

Deborah Whallett (DW)	BDC Housing Enforcement Officer		
Louise Higgins (LH)	Anti-Social Behaviour Officer		
Kevin Shillitto (KS)	BDC Legal		
Mark Church (MC)	Police		
Matt Liddy (ML)	Police		
Nicki Atkinson (NA)	Police		

Apologies

Karl Reid (KR)	BDC Councillor		

Case

The meeting was called to progress the application for a PSPO for Langwith.

History

For some time now there have been problems with groups of people gathering in certain areas of Langwith, causing anti social behaviour. The ASB consists of: drinking in the street, using laser pens, shouting abuse and swearing, loud music, deliberate fires, urinating and blocking the road. Older residents and young children feel intimidated by the groups. Residents have also been threatened with having their windows broken if they report anything to the Police. The ASB is affecting the whole neighbourhood.

Current Information

There continue to be calls, mainly to the Police, from residents of Langwith. The Police have a log of some 200+ calls about incidents. Occasionally there have been more than one group gathering in the streets. These groups are attracting more of the younger people all the time. Civil injunctions against the main ringleaders have been considered but there is not enough evidence as witnesses will not come forward.

Decision

Group decided to progress the application to Council for approval of PSPO.

Conditions

- 1. No consumption of alcohol.
- 2. No open vessel containing alcohol, also seizure.
- 3. A restriction relating to gathering of groups, 3 or more people causing or likely to cause nuisance or ASB.
- 4. No urinating (this has previously been witnessed by officers, reports also received of defecating in the area)
- 5. A restriction on the use of amplified sound & music in the street (Often, much of the complaints of ASB revolve around the noise generated by groups on the street, particularly in the evening when people including children are trying to sleep. It is common to encounter members of the group using mobile phones and speaker to play music for all to hear.)

Publicity

Further meeting to be held to discuss publicity once consultation completed and PSPO approved.

Posters	
Leaflets	
National Press	
Local Press	
Press Release (named)	
Press Release (anonymous)	

Actions

ML to send map of area to be covered, KS asked if they could ensure The Maltings area was included.

Police log, map and any further evidence to be sent to KS for report to Council.

LH to send consultation document to KS

Consultation period of one month required. KS to make enquiries about consultation to be on the website with an online form available for comments. Consultation information also to be sent to local publications and Town Councils.

Bolsover District Council

Executive

1st February 2016

Medium Term Financial Plan 2016/17 to 2018/19

Report of Councillor Ann Syrett, Leader of the Council

Purpose of the Report

- To secure the approval of Council to the proposed budget in respect of 2016/17 as part of a consideration of the Council's Medium Term Financial Plan covering the years 2016/17 to 2018/19.
- To provide Elected Members with an overview of the Council's financial position in order to inform the decision making process.

1 <u>Report Details</u>

Introduction

- 1. This report presents the following budgets and financial plans for Executive to consider:
 - General Fund Revenue account which is attached as **Appendix 1** to this report.
 - Housing Revenue Account (HRA) account which is attached as **Appendix 2** to this report.
 - Capital Programme which is attached as **Appendix 3** to this report.

Once Executive has considered the position as set out within this report and the associated appendices then any recommendations made by Executive will be referred to the Council meeting of 3rd February 2016 in order to secure agreement to the Council's budget in respect of the 2016/17 financial year. It should be noted that the report has previously been considered by both the Budget Scrutiny Committee at its meeting of 21st January 2016 and by the Audit Committee at its meeting on 18th January 2016.

- 2. While all of the above accounts are detailed separately within the report it is important that Executive gives appropriate consideration to the Council's overall financial position which encompasses the three separate accounts as outlined within this report and to the range of services that it is planned to deliver to local residents. In addition to the consideration of the above three reports Council at its meeting of 3rd February 2016 will also be requested to consider the Council's proposed Treasury Management Strategy which links the above three accounts into the Borrowing and Investment strategy. This helps to ensure that the Council's financial plans are affordable, prudent and sustainable.
- 3. While this report is predominantly concerned with financial issues it needs to be recognised that the Council's financial plans are part of a wider service planning framework incorporating both service plans together with the range of related Council strategies and policies. This framework helps ensure that the available resources are targeted at securing agreed Council priorities.
- 4. Within the reports which follow in respect of each of the Council's main accounts there are a number of common features. In particular financial projections are provided with regard to the following:
 - <u>2015/16 Estimated Outturn Position</u> This is the current year budget revised to reflect changes which have taken place or which it is anticipated will take place during the remainder.

taken place or which it is anticipated will take place during the remainder of this financial year. It will therefore provide a more accurate indication of the likely outturn position than the original budget in respect of the current financial year.

- <u>2016/17 Original Budget</u> This is the proposed budget for the next financial year commencing 1st April 2016 which Council will consider for approval at its meeting on 3rd February 2016.
- 2017/18 and 2018/19 Financial Plan

In accordance with good practice the Council agrees its annual budgets within the context of a Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) which includes financial projections in respect of the next three financial years. This approach provides the Council with a longer planning horizon over which to develop service plans and to ensure that its underlying level of expenditure remains in line with its underlying level of resources. Effective multi-year planning is particularly important in the current climate given that the Autumn Spending Review and other Central Government announcements are based upon plans which incorporate significant year on year expenditure reductions for local government.

Robustness of the Estimates

5 Under the provisions of the Local Government Act 2003 the Council's Section 151 Officer is required to comment on the robustness of the estimates made and also on the adequacy of the proposed financial reserves. The Council's S151 Officer (the Executive Director Operations) is satisfied that the methodology adopted to calculate the estimates is robust, and provides Members with reliable information on which to base their decisions. Likewise, the S151 Officer is satisfied that the proposed level of reserves, are adequate to cover the issues and potential risks which face the Council. The adequacy of the current level of reserves is considered in Appendix 1 in relation to the General Fund, in Appendix 2 in relation to the HRA, and in Appendix 3 in relation to the Capital Programme.

- 6 In arriving at the assessment that the methodology adopted is robust the Chief Financial Officer is satisfied that the policies upon which the estimates are based are reasonable, and that these policies have been applied consistently across the Council's activities. The approach that has been adopted has taken account of the following:
 - The Council's actual expenditure and income both in the previous financial year (2014/15) and to date in the current financial year as at the end of September 2015. The views of cost centre managers concerning the level of expenditure which will be incurred during the remainder of the 2015/16 financial year have also been taken into account. Where necessary these figures have been validated by considering the incidence of income and expenditure up to the end of December 2015. This process has enabled a robust Estimated Outturn to be prepared in respect of the current financial year (2015/16), which has formed the basis for the 2016/17 Budget and the financial forecasts in respect of 2017/18 and 2018/19.
 - With regard to estimates included within this report these have been developed with and agreed by the responsible cost centre managers who have the primary responsibility for managing them during the course of the financial year. This process has helped to ensure that agreed service developments, potential cost increases and changes in the level of demand for services, etc. have been taken into account. While the Chief Financial Officer is satisfied that the budgets which have been agreed are robust it does need to be recognised that with the agreement of cost centre managers non-employee related expenditure heads have been minimised and will need to be carefully managed if the Council is to operate within its approved budgets. This increases the risk of an overspend developing during the year as there is reduced capacity to manage unforeseen items of expenditure. This increased level of financial risk is taken into account in the Financial Risk Register which has been developed in respect of each of the three main accounts of the Council.
 - The Accountancy Section have co-ordinated the preparation of the budget, and have ensured that all estimates are reasonable and have been developed in a consistent fashion. While the budgets that have been agreed are challenging and will need to be reviewed in the light of changing circumstances and priorities over the three year period of the Medium Term Financial Plan the Chief Financial Officer is satisfied that they constitute a firm foundation on which to base the Council's financial management.

2. <u>Conclusions and Reasons for Recommendations</u>

This report presents a budget for consideration by Executive and Council. It seeks to ensure approval to budgets in respect of the General Fund, the Housing Revenue Account and the Capital Programme which are fully funded within the year and therefore meet the Council's legal obligation to agree a balanced budget.

3 Consultation and Equality Impact

Consultation

- Given that this budget report is based on the assumption that in overall terms existing levels of service will continue to be delivered by the current staffing establishment then there is no requirement for an extensive consultation process to be undertaken. A specific meeting to consult on the impact of the proposed budget on the local business and commercial sector was held on the 25th January. The outcome of this meeting will be reported verbally at Executive and at the meeting of Council on the 3rd February.
- The Council is required to consult with stakeholders on the proposed budget. This consultation which is part of the Council's service planning framework has effectively been taking place throughout the financial year. These mechanisms which include active participation in the Local Strategic Partnership, a range of meetings with local groups and associations and a performance management framework which actively considers customer comments and complaints helps ensure that the Council's understanding of the expectations of our local communities. In addition the budget process has also sought to ensure that the knowledge of Members in their role as Community Champions has been used to inform the service development process.
- It should be noted that the budget process itself does not propose any significant change or amendment in the level of services provided to local residents, or any significant change to staffing structures or ways of working. Where significant change is planned this is subject to an appropriate consultation process - as set out in the Organisational Review Policy - concerning the specific proposal under consideration. The outcome of these consultation processes are reported back to Executive or Council for consideration as part of the decision making process.
- In terms of internal consultation on the budget consideration of a draft version of this report has been undertaken by both the Budget Scrutiny Committee at its meeting on 21st January and by the Audit Committee on the 18th January. The main themes of the report have also been discussed at the monthly meetings between trade union representatives and management.

Equality Impact.

• Equalities issues are covered in the Service Plans which are linked to the resources allocated by the budgets recommended for approval within the Medium Term Financial Plan.

4 <u>Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection</u>

4.1 Alternative options are considered throughout the report.

5 <u>Implications</u>

5.1 Finance and Risk Implications

These are covered throughout the report and associated Appendices but may be summarised as follows:

General Fund

• Estimated Outturn 2015/16:

The Council set the original budget for 2015/16 on the basis that it was necessary to secure £0.350m of savings. Due to a variety of factors including a reduction in debt charges (£0.6m), an improvement in non domestic rates income (£0.2m), net reduction in general expenditure combined with the ability to charge certain Invest to Save costs against the Transformation Reserve that position has been managed to one where there is a currently anticipated surplus of £1.317m. This is a significant achievement and provides the Council with a firm basis to address its forecast financial position over the period of the Medium Term Financial Plan. These underspends will be transferred to the Transformation Reserve where they will be available to support agreed priorities in future financial years.

• Original Budget 2016/17:

The budget in respect of 2016/17 currently shows a shortfall of £57k. As part of the Council's longer terms plans to reduce expenditure in line with the reducing resource base officers are of the view that these financial savings will be secured during the course of next financial year. With respect to the element of Revenue Support Grant arising from the former Efficiency Grant (£0.843m) it is proposed that this be transferred to the Transformation Reserve. This will help maintain the momentum on the growth and transformation agenda and crucially will put the Council in a better position to secure the projected financial savings of £1.4m which it is anticipated will be necessary by 2018/19. By allocating the Efficiency Grant to the Transformation Reserve the Council will also be putting itself in a better position to manage the impact of ongoing Central Government austerity measures over the period of the current parliament. Managing our expenditure down over a period of

time is the approach most likely to protect the quality of services to local residents. Given the Council's performance over the previous four financial years the efficiency target of £0.057m should be readily achievable. The minimal level of savings required in respect of next year reflects the work that the Council has continued to undertake in order to secure efficiencies and to take advantage of the opportunities offered by the growth and transformation agenda in previous years. A continuation of that approach is essential to secure the necessary level of financial savings in future years whilst minimising the impact on local residents. As part of a strategy for addressing the Council's financial position over the period of the current parliament it is recommended that the Council agrees to an increase in Council Tax of 1.95%, which will provide a £0.065m contribution towards balancing next year's budget.

• Budgets 2017/18 and 2018/19

With the Autumn Statement and subsequent information concerning the local government finance settlement released during December 2015 the Council has updated figures in terms of both anticipated expenditure and Government funding over the period of the MTFP. It does, however, need to be recognised that under the localism agenda the Council's budget is more dependent upon Non Domestic Rates and other income which fluctuate depending upon wider economic circumstances. Likewise, there is a significant change proposed in respect of both New Homes Bonus and NNDR which currently account for 50% of the Council's funding. While the savings target in respect of next financial year is relatively modest the identified shortfall grows to £1.1m in 2017/18 and £1.4m in 2018/19. While these are significant savings that need to be addressed it should be recognised that the position at Bolsover has been significantly mitigated by our strong record in respect of economic growth. Given the Government's spending plans it is clear that further reductions will continue to be required. While clearly these ongoing expenditure reductions will continue to have an impact on services it should be noted that the Council's current approach if continued will enable changes to budgets and service plans to be appropriately considered, planned and managed. This gradual ongoing planned approach is the most appropriate method for securing efficiencies to minimise the impact upon local residents.

Housing Revenue Account (HRA)

The key issue for the HRA over the period of this Medium Term Financial Plan will be the Government's announcement made as part of the Budget Statement in July 2015 that rent levels would be reduced by 1% p.a. for a period of four years starting in April 2016. Given that the HRA Business Plan together with the transfer of £94.3m of debt to Bolsover District Council were based on rent increases in line with inflation as Council house rents moved towards target rent the estimated loss of rent income for Bolsover District Council is one of £0.4m in 2016/17, rising to one of £2m in 2019/2020 on the basis of current low inflation rates. Over the four year period the Council sees a cumulative loss of rental income of £5m, and given that rental levels in April

2020 will be £2m below planned levels this loss of rental income will cost in the order of £60m over the period of the 30 Year Business Plan. If inflation were to average 1.5% over the next 4 years then the loss of rental income would rise from £2m to one of £3m in the final year of the Government's current rent policy.

- A policy objective for the Government is to secure savings on the welfare budgets and given that nationally 60% of Council Tenants receive housing benefit those savings amount to £2.4bn of the Government £12bn target for welfare savings.
- While the reduced rent levels may well be welcomed by tenants and may be • viewed as being preferable to other welfare cuts, they undermine the ability of the Council's Housing Revenue Account to provide homes which exceed the Decent Homes Standard and services which meet the expectations of our tenants. Given the Council's history of providing cost effective services, together with the high standard of the majority of our housing stock Bolsover District Council are in a better position than most authorities to manage these reductions in rental income. In order to continue to provide expected levels of service to our tenants and to fund the capital work necessary to maintain our stock at the Decent Homes standard Officers will need to operate within a range of more challenging budgets and secure significant efficiency savings. In addition it will be necessary to manage the HRA Capital Programme carefully to operate within the context of a rental stream which has the capacity to support £5m to £6m of capital upgrades per annum (which is broadly equivalent to current budgets). While the stock condition survey indicates that the Council can continue to maintain its stock at a good standard it will be necessary to phase the timing of work in line with the level of resources available. In addition there will be a reduction in our capacity to build new homes for local people. Council should, however, note that while our ability to deliver new homes will be reduced that we remain in a position to deliver the 100 properties under the approved B@home initiative.
- Officers will continue to monitor the position in respect of the HRA by maintaining an up to date 30 year Business Plan. While officers are of the view that the changes to rent setting arrangements can be managed without impacting on its long term sustainability, other factors such as increasing numbers of Right to Buy given the more generous discount rates, the potential forced sale of vacant high value properties and the risk that the rent reductions will go on beyond 2020 will threaten to undermine the financial viability of the HRA.

• Estimated Outturn 2015/16

The Estimated Outturn figures shown within this report are in line with those previous reported to Executive in November 2015. While rental income was reduced by £0.261m largely as a result of increased voids this has been offset by reductions of a similar magnitude in the expenditure budgets. As a consequence the estimated HRA working balance of £1.891m remains in line with that agreed as part of the original budget for the year. While the Council

was working towards securing general HRA balances of £2m this objective will be difficult to achieve following the introduction of the policy of rent reduction. While £2m may seem a generous 'buffer' it is less than £400 per property.

• Original Budget 2016/17

The key issues for 2016/17 as set out above are that an average rent reduction of 1% is required to comply with Government rent guidelines for social housing. That has the impact of reducing the average rent for a Council house from £85.28per week (on a 48 week basis) to one of £84.43 per week. While the Council is conscious that even these reduced rent levels are a significant burden on many of our tenants they are the only source of funding to deliver a housing service which meets tenant expectations. It should also be recognised that rental levels for Council housing are and will continue to be significantly below those in the private rented sector.

• Forecasts 2017/18 and 2018/19

The forecast position for the latter two years of the proposed MTFP effectively project or roll forward the figures in respect of 2016/17. These figures indicate that despite the range of recent changes to the HRA which have reduced the future rental stream and made the loss of stock under Right to Buy more likely that the HRA remains financially sustainable. This position is supported by the HRA Business Plan which covers a 30 year period. Recent changes have, however, substantially impacted on the financial model which underpinned the localism of the HRA under which Bolsover District Council was allocated a debt of £94.3m to repay. These changes which all serve to reduce the longer term rental income of the HRA will add a further impetus to the ongoing work to secure efficiencies in the delivery of the Housing service.

Capital Programme

- Finally, with respect to the capital programme the majority of expenditure will continue to be in respect of the HRA Programme which is funded by capital resources ring fenced to the Council's HRA. The financial provision to fund the ongoing programme of housing refurbishment work is planned to continue at a level of £5m per annum over the period of the proposed MTFP. After 2019/20 it will be necessary to increase the rate of spend as key elements of the Housing Stock, such as roofs, kitchens and bathrooms need replacement. At this stage given the reduced income flowing from the rent reduction it will become more challenging to fund the required level of capital works from within the resources available to the HRA.
- In addition to this routine work the Council is also in the process of commencing a £10m refurbishment at Bolsover Model Village which will refurbish the buildings externally reinstating a number of the key original features, whilst internally a major investment will be made in modernising the houses including thermal insulation. These measures will be part funded by the Heritage Lottery in respect of those houses which are privately owned. The investment should help address the level of voids in the Council's Housing Stock in this area, whilst showcasing one of the District's key heritage assets.

There is a more detailed report on the Executive Agenda of 1st February 2016 which provides further details concerning the New Bolsover scheme.

- Since the introduction of HRA reform in 2012 the Council has already built 55 new houses and this programme is set to continue with work now commenced on site to deliver 7 homes on a former garage site at Rodgers Avenue Cresswell. Further plans are in place for a further 93 new homes under the B@home programme. While the Council only progresses building new Council Houses on the basis that they are financially viable (generating income in excess of expenditure), the increasingly challenging financial position of the HRA will reduce the financial capacity for building new homes and place an increased dependency upon external funding to make sites viable..
- With regard to the General Fund the main schemes will include the revised plans for service delivery within Bolsover town. The Council is working to deliver a new contact centre co-located with Job Centre Plus on Cotton Street in the centre of the town. It is anticipated that partner organisation currently located in Sherwood Lodge will be moved into refurbished premises at Oxcroft House, allowing the Sherwood Lodge site to be redeveloped by its private sector owner. In addition work has now commenced on the enhanced Leisure Facility at Clowne which should be open before the end of the next financial year (2016/17). The Council is currently working with Shirebrook Town Council in order to secure a shared contact centre located in the Market Square. These facilities will serve to enhance the services that are provided to local residents whilst contributing to the sustainability of three of the main towns within the District.

Risk Issues

• A Financial Risk Register has been developed in respect of each of the main accounts and is provided at **Appendix 1 Table 4**, **Appendix 2 Table 3**, and **Appendix 3 Table 2**.

5.2 Legal Implications including Data Protection

- The Council is legally obliged to approve a budget prior to the commencement of the new financial year in April 2016. This report together with the associated budget timetable has been prepared in order to comply with our legal obligations. The recommended budget in respect of the Council's three main accounts complies with the Council's legal obligation to agree a balanced budget.
- There are no Data Protection issues arising directly from this report.

5.3 <u>Human Resources Implications</u>

• These are covered in the main report and supporting Appendices where appropriate.

6 <u>Recommendations</u>

That all recommendations below are referred to the meeting of full Council on the 3rd February 2016.

The following overall recommendations to Council are made:

- a) That the view of the Chief Financial Officer that the estimates included in the Medium Term Financial Plan 2015/16 to 2018/19 are robust and that the level of financial reserves whilst at minimum levels are adequate, be accepted.
- b) That officers report back to Executive and to the Audit Committee on a quarterly basis regarding the overall position in respect of the Council's budgets. These reports to include updates on progress in achieving the agreed range of savings and efficiencies necessary to secure a balanced for the 2016/17 financial year, together with progress on actions to ensure the longer term financial sustainability of the Council.

In addition to the above the following recommendations are made in respect of each of the main accounts of the Council.

GENERAL FUND

- a) A Council Tax increase of 1.95% as part of a range of measures necessary to manage the continued reduction in the level of central government funding.
- b) That the grant subsidy provided to Town and Parish Council's in respect of the Local Council Tax scheme is revised with the grant phased out by March 2020, rather than the currently agreed date of March 2023.
- c) The Medium Term Financial Plan in respect of the General Fund as set out in **Appendix 1** of this report be approved as the Estimated Outturn Budget in respect of 2015/16, as the Original Budget in respect of 2016/17, and the financial projection in respect of 2017/18 and 2018/19.
- d) Officers continue to progress the implementation of measures designed to secure the forecast surplus in respect of 2015/16 and the agreed savings targets in respect of 2016/17 with progress to be reported back to Executive, Budget Scrutiny and Audit Committee on a quarterly basis.
- e) That any underspend in 2015/16 above that necessary to increase General Fund balances to $\pounds 2m$ is transferred to the Transformation Reserve.
- f) That delegated powers be granted to the Assistant Director Planning and Environmental Health in consultation with the Leader of the Council to

agree the Council's response to the Government Consultation paper "New Homes Bonus : Sharpening the Incentive".

g) That delegated powers be granted to the Chief Financial Officer in consultation with the Leader of the Council to determine whether the Council should accept the offer of a four year financial settlement from central government.

13 HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT

- a) That Council sets its rent levels in line with Government regulations reducing rent levels by an amount of 1% to apply from 1st April 2016.
- b) That the increases in respect of other charges as outlined in Appendix 2 Table 2 be implemented with effect from 1 April 2016.
- c) The Medium Term Financial Plan in respect of the Housing Revenue Account as set out in **Appendix 2 Table 1** be approved as the Estimated Outturn Budget in respect of 2015/16, as the Original Budget in respect of 2016/17, and the financial projection in respect of 2017/18 and 2018/19.

14 CAPITAL PROGRAMME

- (a) The Medium Term Financial Plan in respect of the Capital Programme as set out in **Appendix 3 Table 1** be approved as the Estimated Outturn in respect of 2015/16, as the Original Programme in respect of 2016/17 to 2018/19.
- (b) The Assistant Director (Property and Estates) be granted delegated powers in consultation with the Portfolio Member and the Asset Management group to approve the utilisation of the £100,000 of AMP Refurbishment allocation, which such approvals to be reported back to Executive through the Quarterly Budget Monitoring Report.
- (c) The Asset Management Group be requested to continue with its work of identifying suitable assets for disposal or redevelopment in order to fund the Council's Capital Programme, reduce revenue costs and support the wider regeneration of the District.

7 <u>Decision Information</u>

Is the decision a Key Decision?	-
(A Key Decision is one which	
results in income or expenditure to	
the Council of £50,000 or more or	
which has a significant impact on	

two or more District wards)	
District Wards Affected	All
Links to Corporate Plan priorities	RELEVANT CORPORATE AIMS
or Policy Framework	The budget process seeks to target resources in a manner which best enables the Council to deliver against its Corporate Aims. Effective Service Planning and Budget management are crucial to ensuring that the Council targets its resources at agreed service priorities.

Document Information

Appendix No	Title			
1	General Fund Revenue Account			
2	Housing Revenue Account			
3	Capital Programme			
Background Papers (These are unpublished works which have been relied on to a material extent when preparing the report. They must be listed in the section below. If the report is going to Cabinet (NEDDC) or Executive (BDC) you must provide copies of the background papers)				
Report Author Contact Number				
Executive Director – Operations (01246) 2424				

General Fund Revenue Account

Introduction

- 1. This report considers the element of the Medium Term Financial Plan relating to the Council's General Fund Revenue Account budgets. As such it covers all of the Council's revenue expenditure other than those elements which relate to the provision of Council Housing which are accounted for within the Housing Revenue Account (HRA).
- 2. The General Fund Budget is one of the three constituent elements of the Council's Medium Term Financial Plan.
- 3. The recommended budgets for both 2015/16 (Estimated Outturn) and 2016/17 (Original Budget) are provided in Table 1 to this Appendix. Table 1 also details the projected position on the basis of current patterns of income and expenditure for both 2017/18 and 2018/19.

2015/16 Estimated Outturn

- In February 2015 Members agreed a budget in respect of the current financial year 4. (2015/16). Given that the Council was faced with achieving a significant level of savings this year it has been necessary to actively manage budgets and to remove agreed savings from the budget. Given that the budget has been under a process of ongoing revision the Revised Budget (the latest version considered by Executive at its meeting on the 30th November 2015) has evolved from the Original Budget approved in February 2015. For the purposes of this report, the comparison in Table 1 shows the movement between the Original Budget and the Estimated Outturn position. As part of the budget process it is recommended that Members formally approve the Estimated Outturn position as set out within Table 1. The main reason for the variation between the Original Budget and the Estimated Outturn is that the savings which were necessary to balance the budget have now been recognised in reduced service expenditure, while increased funding from reserves and S106 funding etc have been brought into the budget. All of these amendments are reflected in changes in the approved budget at cost centre level and have been subject to appropriate Member approval.
- 5. The key feature that has driven the Council's financial position during 2015/16 continues to be the ongoing reduction in the level of Central Government grant arising from the Government's Comprehensive Spending Review of Autumn 2010. In 2011/12 Bolsover was one of the 10 English local authorities which suffered the maximum reduction in spending power which resulted in the decision to provide Transition Grant in respect of 2011/12 (£2.311m) and 2012/13 (£1.930m), with

Efficiency Grant of £1.064m in 2013/14, 2014/15 and 2015/16. Against this background Bolsover District Council has taken appropriate measures in order to bring its underlying level of expenditure back into line with its underlying level of resources. With effect from 2016/17 Efficiency Grant has been incorporated into the Revenue Support Grant and will be phased out over the same timescale as RSG itself. For 2016/17 it amounts to £0.843m and in order to secure the objectives of Efficiency Grant this sum will be transferred into the Transformation Reserve.

- 6. The majority of the measures that have secured savings in previous and the current financial year will continue to provide ongoing savings for the Council into 2016/17 and beyond. As such they have made an important contribution to the financial sustainability of the Council. If these savings had not been secured then the financial challenge which would have faced the Council during 2016/17 and future years would have been significantly greater.
- 7. While budgets have been adjusted to minimal levels in order to maximise the level of financial savings secured, officers have continued to operate a policy of restricting expenditure wherever possible. While this management action may be offset by upward cost pressures, officers are of the view that the Council will achieve an outturn position with a significant underspend against the original budget for 2015/16. The forecast Estimated Outturn position in Table 1 incorporates an assumed surplus of £1.3m which is reflected in a contribution to balances. It should, however, be recognised that the continued availability of Efficiency Grant in 2015/16 has made a significant contribution by covering a range of costs on restructuring and service investment which otherwise would have fallen on the General Fund.

Original Budget 2016/17

- 8. One of the key purposes of this report is for the Council to agree its detailed income and spending proposals in respect of the next financial year which commences on the 1st April 2016. The provisional budget which is recommended for consideration by Members is detailed in Table 1 of this Appendix.
- 9. In developing the proposed budget the main elements which have been taken into account are as follows:
 - Financial Support from Government including Revenue Support Grant, Specific Grants, New Homes Bonus and National Non Domestic Rates.
 - Expenditure, income levels and efficiencies
 - Options for the level of Council Tax in respect of 2016/17
 - The need to maintain an appropriate level of financial reserves to protect service delivery, organisational sustainability and maintain financial resilience.
 - To maintain the Council's underlying level of expenditure in line with the forecast level of available resources in the light of the ongoing reductions in the level of government funding.

Each of the above themes is considered in greater detail in the sections below:

Level of Government Funding

10. The current financial year 2015/16 is the final year of funding covered by the Comprehensive Spending Review of October 2010. Following on from the General Election of May 2015 the Government detailed its expenditure plans for the period of the current parliament in the Autumn Statement of November 2015, with details at authority level becoming available during December 2015. The key issues affecting Bolsover District Council are as follows.

Revenue Support Grant

11. With respect to Revenue Support Grant the settlement has confirmed the previous policy that Revenue Support Grant will be phased out. The announcement detailed the amounts to be paid to Bolsover District Council as follows, £2.457m in 2016/17, £1.906m in 2017/18 and £1.558m in 2018/19. Within the figure for Revenue Support Grant is an amount of £0.843m in 2016/17. £0.654m in 2017/18 and £0.534m in 2018/19 which reflects the fact that BDC continues to benefit from Efficiency Grant. Given that Efficiency Grant was a specific grant intended to enable those Council's suffering from the most serious grant reductions to reduce expenditure through Invest to Save type initiatives it is proposed that this grant should continue to be transferred to the Transformation Reserve. It will therefore continue to be available to fund new initiatives to progress the Council's Growth and Transformation agenda which are central to the Council's plans to ensure financial sustainability over the medium term. While this funding could be allocated to support expenditure in the current financial year that would make the process of adjusting to a reduced underlying level of resources - when RSG/Efficiency Grant ends in 2019/20 significantly more difficult.

New Homes Bonus

- 12. As part of the Autumn Statement the Government also announced that it would be undertaking consultation concerning future levels of New Homes Bonus. Government has indicated that it wishes to consider a reduction from 6 to 4 years for the payment, together with a review of the incentives that the grant provides for new homes. While the scheme remains unchanged in respect of next financial year (2016/17) it is relatively clear that with effect from 2017/18 a revised scheme will be introduced. Given that the national expenditure totals for funding the grant are consistent with a reduction to payment for a period of four years our assumptions regarding New Homes Budget has been amended accordingly in respect of 2017/18 and 2018/19. This change results in a reduction of resources of £0.363m and £0.462m respectively in 2017/18 and 2018/19. This reduction to a four year payment with effect from 2017/18 allows our forward financial planning to reflect the likely impact of the consultation process, however, it needs to be recognised that there are a number of options set out in the Consultation process each option potentially having a different financial impact on Bolsover District Council.
- 13. Alongside reducing the period of years for which New Homes Bonus is payable, the Government is also seeking to sharpen incentives to help ensure that the grant

rewards those authorities who are performing well in promoting housing growth. The Consultation process seeks views on the following options, with the proposed start date being 2017/18 with the financial savings being recirculated within the local government finance settlement to support those authorities with pressures on the adult social care budget:

- Withholding the Bonus from areas where an authority does not have a Local Plan in place. Under the Government's preferred option previous year allocations (prior to 2017/18) would not be lost, but future allocations would. The Consultation paper is not clear as to what criteria would be used to determine whether a Local Plan is in place. On the basis of our current project plan while it is anticipated that the Local Plan will have been published by March 2017, it will not at that stage have been subject to inspection. Given the desirability of having a Local Plan in place at the earliest opportunity together with the associated financial advantages, Officers will continue to progress work on the Local Plan at the earliest opportunity.
- Abating the Bonus in circumstances where planning permission has only been granted on appeal. Under this option the Government would reduce new in year allocations payments to authorities where residential development is allowed on appeal.
- Only making payments for delivery above a baseline reflecting an estimate of deadweight (homes that would be built anyway).
- While the Government's preferred option is to reduce payments to a period of four years as part of the consultation process it is considering whether to reduce payments to 3 or 2 years.

The closing date for responses to "New Homes Bonus : sharpening the Incentive : technical consultation" is 10th March 2016. Given the potential impact on the Council and the opportunity to influence the options it is recommended that delegated powers by granted to the Assistant Director Planning and Environmental Health in consultation with the Leader of the Council to agree a response on behalf of the Council.

National Non Domestic Rates (NNDR)

- 14. The Government also announced that a review of NNDR will take place with the intention that by the end of this Parliament all NNDR will be retained locally. Currently Bolsover District Council collects £10m of NNDR, of which £6m is paid to central government. Any reform will, however, be 'fiscally neutral' ie it will not benefit either central or local government financially, therefore to the extent to which a Council makes a 'profit' from the switch to a fully localised NNDR it will be required to accept additional financial responsibilities. This proposal amounts to a significant reform of local government finance and may have a disproportionate impact upon individual authorities even if in overall terms it is fiscally neutral. It does, therefore, introduce a further element of uncertainty into our forward financial planning.
- 15. While a further review of NNDR is now underway this comes against the background of a system which has already changed significantly over the last few years. In

particular the Government now provides local authorities an incentive to grow NNDR locally given that they currently retain 50% of the growth in NNDR levels against the baseline figure. Whilst all local authorities are provided with an incentive in that they will retain a proportion of any growth in Business Rates there is a safety net which will protect those local authorities which suffer from a reduction in their local Non Domestic Rating Base. There is accordingly a direct financial incentive for local authorities to promote economic growth in their area, although local authorities would take the view that they have already been active in promoting such growth in order to protect and promote local employment. For Bolsover, there are a number of schemes currently in the pipeline which should enhance the Non Domestic Rate Base over the next two to three years. There is, however, the clear risk that these may be offset by decline in other sectors of the local economy, or by the impact of revaluation. There is a full revaluation of all properties scheduled for 2017 which brings with it additional uncertainty. More generally whilst local authorities can facilitate and promote growth it does need to be recognised that there are other factors outside the influence of local authorities which are arguably of greater significance in promoting economic growth (the national economic position, geographical location, land availability). The localisation of business rates is, however, clearly intended to provide local authorities with an incentive to promote local economic development. With respect to next financial the budget has made the assumption that the Council will not secure any additional benefit from NNDR growth. Instead the potential NNDR growth anticipated for 2016/17is shown as part of the efficiency savings to be achieved during the course of the year. This prudent approach reflects the reality that under the Government's localism agenda there is a considerably greater degree of uncertainty over the level of income that will be secured during the financial year, with assumptions about NNDR being subject not just to new business opening but also to revaluation appeals and to existing business closing or relocating.

16. Executive will be aware that in respect of 2015/16 that a 'pool' of all authorities across Derbyshire was established in respect of Non Domestic Rate Income. Membership of a Derbyshire Wide pool was agreed by Council at a meeting 22nd October 2014 in recognition of the fact that authorities which are members of a pool generally benefit from retaining a higher level of locally generated NNDR income. Within the budget we have assumed that this Council will continue to benefit from membership of the Derbyshire Wide Pool, although the benefits are shown in the potential level of growth rather than directly in the base budget. Given the uncertainty concerning the availability of such income in respect of 2017/18 or future years no assumptions have been made regarding additional income beyond next year.

Four Year Settlement

17. As part of the Autumn Statement the Government has committed itself to providing Council's with an option of accepting a four year financial Settlement in order to remove some of the uncertainties over longer term financial planning. Details of that settlement have not yet provided, other than that those Council's which accept will need to submit an Efficiency Plan to central government. While details of the four year settlement are anticipated shortly there is no timescale provided for a response.

Officers are anticipating that it will be possible to report any proposed settlement to Committee, but to ensure that the Council is in a position to respond within the required timescales it is recommended that authority is delegated to the Executive Director Operations to accept – or reject – a four year settlement in consultation with the Leader of the Council.

Council Tax

- 18. Over the period of the previous parliament the Coalition government made it clear that it was opposed to any increase in Council Tax and provided grant support to those Council's following the policy of not increasing Council Tax. That policy was linked with the objective of keeping inflation low. In the Autumn Statement of 2015 there was a distinct change of emphasis in that in addition to the existing flexibility which allows authorities to raise Council Tax by up to 2%, those authorities which provide Adult Social Care will be able to increase Council Tax by an additional 2% ie up to 4% overall. While Bolsover like other District Councils will be 'capped' at 2%, the additional revenue raising powers to fund Adult Social Care indicates that the Government is now of the view that modest increases in Council Tax may be necessary to protect basic service levels, within the context of the policy objective of reducing the national deficit.
- 19. In addition to giving consideration to Council Tax as a means of raising revenue to avoid reductions to basic services, it is also appropriate that Members give consideration to the operation of the Local Council tax scheme. The scheme in respect of next financial year (2016/17) was agreed by Council at its meeting of 6th January 2016, however, given the financial shortfalls which the Council is facing over the period 2017/18 and 2018/19 it is appropriate that further consideration is given to the affordability of the current scheme. Executive will recall that when the previous Government introduced the Local Council Tax scheme in April 2013 it provided within Revenue Support Grant an amount of £400,000 in order to protect Town and Parish Councils from the financial impact of the new regime whereby 10% of the costs were passed from Central Government to local authorities. The general approach adopted by District Council has been to reduce the grant by 25% a year over a period of 4 years, whereas Bolsover District Council adopted a more gradual approach of reducing grant support by 10% a year. Under Bolsover District Council's current approach the scheme of subsidies would end in March 2023.
- 20. In the light of the latest financial settlement it is questionable whether this approach remains an affordable one for Bolsover District Council to maintain. The grant is currently costing the Council £300k per annum, and it is recommended that consideration is given reducing this grant by 33% a year from the year after next (2017/18), which would give this Council a financial benefit of £51k in 2017/18 and £102k in 2018/19. This would make a significant contribution towards easing the financial pressures on this Council, and given that RSG will now end in 2019/20 it seems appropriate that consideration should be given to ending a subsidy which is no longer funded by National Government. The overall saving to this Council would be as follows:

	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21
	£000's	£000's	£000's	£000's
Saving based on March 2023 end date (current policy)	(51)	(102)	(153)	(204)
Saving based on March 2020 end date (option)	(102)	(204)	(306)	(309)
Additional Saving Secured	(51)	(102)	(154)	(102)

The impact of this proposal on individual Town and Parish Councils is set out in the Table given in Table 3 to this Appendix. This decision is brought to Council for consideration at this stage in order to be able to notify Parish Council's of the proposed change at the earliest opportunity, giving them an appropriate timescale to agree 2017/18 budgets. While Members will be aware that this passes the burden from the District onto the Parish Councils it should be noted that for the Parish Councils the increased loss in grant amounts to 2% of the precept, which is a significantly lower expenditure reduction than those faced by the District Council. The phasing out of the current grant arrangements on a shorter timescale would also have the significant advantage of reducing the complexity of the current arrangements.

Expenditure, income levels and efficiencies

In developing the financial projections covering the period 2016/17 to 2018/19 which are included within Appendix 1 to this report, officers have made a number of assumptions. The major assumptions which have been made are as follows:

- Pay increases of 1% in respect of all financial years across the period of the Plan.
- No changes to employer superannuation contributions or to the lump sum deficit recovery.
- No allowance has been made in respect of the Apprentice Levy of 1% of payroll costs which is currently open for consultation. On the basis that the Government's current proposals are accepted then this will cost an additional £90k p.a. Officers anticipate that it should be possible to offset at least part of these costs through the employment of apprentices, however, the potential cost pressure does need to be recognised.
- No allowance has been made in respect of general inflation although specific budget heads such as energy costs and business rates have been amended to reflect anticipated price changes.
- New Homes Bonus to be payable for 4 years (reduced from the current 6 years) with effect from 2017/18, with no further losses arising from the Government initiatives to introduce incentives around Planning matters

- With respect to Planning the Conservation and Heritage budgets in respect of 2016/17 only they include a proposed increase of £75k in order to allow a range of work to take place to improve the service in line with recognised best practice. An additional budget of £50k is also proposed in respect of Development Control to enable the utilisation of agency staff in a flexible manner to protect what are in effect statutory levels of service performance at a time when the high level of planning applications requires additional resourcing to maintain performance. This resource will also help to address the issue that more recently Bolsover has been affected by a regional trend of difficulties in retaining and recruiting staff against a background in which the private sector is actively recruiting.
- Fees and Charges service specific increases as agreed by Members.
- 21. The Government's approach is that local government should seek to secure local sources of funding rather than continuing to be dependent upon government funding. In part the Government see this objective being realised by local authorities maximising their local development potential by way of Non Domestic Rates (growing the level of business activity) or by way of New Homes Bonus (increasing housing numbers). In addition authorities have been encouraged to look at raising other local sources of income. Over the past three years the Council has taken a number of steps to improve the level of income that it receives from a range of services and in particular Leisure. In addition the Council has secured full occupancy of the Tangent Business Centre during the current year, whilst income levels from Pleasley Vale have continue to secure further incremental improvements it does perhaps need to be recognised that the majority of gains that are readily achievable have already been secured and are incorporated within the budget proposed in this report.
- 22. While local authorities will continue with efforts to identify and secure additional income with which to support services it is clear that both locally and nationally the key opportunity for the Council to balance its budget arises in respect of managing expenditure levels and securing efficiencies.
- 23. With respect to the next financial year the Council effectively has a savings target of £0.057m in order to secure a balanced budget While this is a target that should be easily achievable it is important to recognise that as the Government continues to withdraw RSG and New Homes Bonus reduces that the Council's financial position becomes increasingly challenging with savings targets of £1.1m in 2017/18 together with a further £0.3m in 2018/19. By maintaining an approach based on growth and transformation across the period the Council will reduce the impact on services of the ongoing reduction in central funding. On the basis that the Council takes action in the next financial year to start the process of securing the £1.3m of savings required then that will minimise the detrimental impact of funding cuts on local residents as the Council will be able to adopt a more gradual and considered approach to securing cost reductions. The areas where officers intend to seek to secure savings are outlined below:

• Vacancy Allowance .

All vacancies - including maternity leave, requests for additional annual leave, etc - will continue to be subject to review by Strategic Alliance Management Team and will be controlled to secure financial savings. Where appropriate reports will be brought forward for Members to consider the disestablishment of lower priority posts which it is not considered appropriate to fill. While this process has been in place for a number of years during the current financial year it has generated limited financial savings. Savings in employee costs now tend to arise as a result of a planned process managed through the Transformation agenda. This reflects the fact that underutilised capacity has been removed from the staffing structures over previous financial years with further reductions in staffing levels perceived as potentially resulting in significant detrimental impact upon service delivery. Secondly, given the limited staffing resources available where posts are vacant it is often necessary to utilise agency staff, etc – at higher cost – in order to maintain services. While against this background it is not considered to be appropriate to include a financial target with respect to vacancies in the budget it is nonetheless important to review any vacancies in order to avoid a situation in which the Council allows posts to be filled which do not meet its priorities and which are unlikely to be a high priority in future financial years.

Transformation Agenda

Transformation, Secondments and Joint Working £150,000 As part of the decision made in the autumn of 2013 to progress the Strategic Alliance as a vehicle for securing further savings it was agreed to progress a transformation agenda. As part of this Transformation Agenda a savings target of £150,000 in respect of 2016/17 is recommended. Further secondments and joint working arrangements with North East Derbyshire District Council will continue to be targeted to secure savings to Bolsover District Council. Given that these measures generally arise as a result of natural wastage they tend to involve minimal costs in terms of redundancy, etc whilst minimising the impact on the workforce of the requirement to shrink the size of the organisation.

• Property Rationalisation Savings

While the Council has made significant financial savings during previous financial years from more efficient use of property assets the main savings from this area of work have already been secured. Officers will, however, continue to explore options from reducing budgets as we vacate Sherwood Lodge and from seeking to rent out the remaining space that is available at The Arc. Given the progress that has been made in letting space to Derbyshire County Council at Clowne, in securing full occupancy at the Tangent and Pleasley Mills Business Centres the key requirement is to consolidate the achievements that have been made to date. Accordingly it is not considered appropriate to set a savings target in respect of 2016/17.

24. The table below summarises the savings options that the Council is intending to progress in 2016/17, together with detailing their financial impact upon 2017/18 and 2018/19:

	2016/17 £000's	2017/18 £000's	2018/19 £000's
Efficiency Target / Budget Shortfall	57	1,149	1,359
Savings Proposals			
NNDR Growth Target 2016/17	(200)	(200)	(200)
NNDR Growth Target 2017/18		(100)	(100)
NNDR Growth Target 2018/19			(100)
Vacancy Management	0	0	0
Transformation, Secondments & Joint Working	(150)	(300)	(450)
Council Tax Increase	(65)	(130)	(195)
Accelerate Reduction in Local Council Tax	0	(51)	(102)
Support Scheme to Town and Parish Council's.			
Total Savings Options	(415)	(781)	(1,147)
Unidentified Savings Target	358	(368)	(212)
(Call on General Fund Balances)	358	(368)	(212)

Summary of Savings Opportunities

While the position in respect of next financial year is a good one, it is equally clear that we see deterioration over the following two financial years even on the basis that identified savings opportunities can be secured. In broad terms even allowing for good progress on the Growth and Transformation agenda there remains an underlying shortfall in the Council's level of resources of £0.2m by 2018/19. It is important that good progress is made in addressing the actual shortfall at the earliest opportunity. Against this background Officers are of the view that Council needs to consider very carefully the option of increasing the level of Council Tax over the period of the current Medium Term Financial Plan. On the basis of the details given above the impact of reducing levels of government support is that by 2018/19 it is likely that the Council will be facing decisions agreeing significant reductions in service standards or ceasing to provide non - statutory services. Given the importance of securing the above savings to the financial stability of the Council, progress will be reported on a regular basis to Executive. It should also be noted that it is proposed that the Council should approve that actual budgets are amended to take account of identified savings as soon as those savings are formally approved. This will help to ensure that cost centre managers are fully aware of the budgets that they are working to, and that those savings which are identified are fully achieved during the initial year.

Options for Council Tax Levels

- 24 Members will recall that since 2011/12 the Council has decided not to increase Council tax enabling the authority to take advantage of successive Government schemes which provided grant to partially compensate for the income lost as a result of a decision to freeze council tax. There is unlikely to be a similar scheme in respect of 2016/17
- 25 Any decision concerning Council Tax Levels needs to be taken against the background of the Council's financial position over the period of the Medium Term Financial Plan which can be summarised as follows:

	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19
	£000's	£000's	£000's
Efficiency Target / Budget Shortfall	57	1,149	1,359

- In summary officers are currently forecasting that over the period April 2015 to March 2019 expenditure reductions (or increased income) of £1.4m will be necessary. It should be noted that it is highly likely that a ceiling of 2% a year will be placed on Council Tax increases over the period of this parliament. Therefore if the Council is of the view that it may have difficulties in securing a balanced budget over this period then it needs to give careful consideration to increasing levels of Council Tax for 2016/17. In respect of the Council's financial position the Table of Proposed Savings given at section 23(above) sets out the currently identified areas that could be targeted for securing savings. The indicative figures suggest that on the basis of existing information there is a cumulative shortfall over the period of the current MTFP in the order of £1.4m which is only partially addressed by the savings opportunities identified. Given that Council Tax increases of 1.95% over that period would secure additional income of £0.195m, it provides a significant financial benefit.
- 27 While there are clear financial advantages for opting to increase the level of Council Tax in 2016/17 in order to strengthen the Council's underlying financial position and to reduce the reliance on reductions to expenditure and services as a means of balancing the budget, this needs to be balanced against the detrimental impact which the current economic situation and increasing costs are having on local residents. The impact of a decision to increase Council Tax levels by a figure of 1.95% at the various Council Tax Bands is as follows:

Band	A (£'s)	B (£'s)	C (£'s)	D (£'s)	E (£'s)	F (£'s)	G (£'s)	H (£'s)
2015/16	105.43	123.00	140.58	158.15	193.29	228.44	263.58	316.30
Charge								
1.95%	2.06	2.40	2.74	3.08	3.77	4.45	5.14	6.17
Increase								
With	107.49	125.40	143.32	161.23	197.06	232.89	268.72	322.47
Increase								
Total	2.07	2.41	2.76	3.10	3.79	4.48	5.17	6.20
Cost per								
week								

Members need to consider the option of an increase in Council Tax both in respect of the benefits that would flow from protecting the level and quality of services to local residents, against the detrimental impact of an additional financial burden on local residents.

Financial Reserves

28 The Council's main uncommitted Financial Reserves are the General Fund Balance of £2.0m and the uncommitted element of the Transformation Reserve of £3.6m. Against the background of the growing level of uncertainty surrounding local authority income and the fact that the Council itself has reduced all budgets to a minimal level thus reducing its financial resilience it is important that the Council continues to review what is an acceptable level of General Fund balances. Given that the Council has continued to effectively achieve the in year savings targets and has a range of other balances available £2m would appear to be a reasonable level of balances going forward. This position is supported by the General Fund Risk Register as set out in Appendix 1 Table 2 which details the financial risks currently faced by the Council which indicates notional financial risks with a total value of £1.575m.

Risk Register

- A financial Risk Assessment is set out in Table 4, which outlines the risks, the mitigation which is in place, the potential impact and the probability of the event occurring in order to arrive at a notional calculation concerning the potential financial impact of the risks which the Council is currently facing. This indicates that the identified risks which the Council is currently facing amount to £1.575m. This is broadly in line with the current General Fund balances of £2m. An increase of General Fund balances to a figure of £2m was agreed as part of the Revised Budget for the current financial year. Given both the volatility of the local income streams upon which the Council is increasingly dependent upon under the localism agenda, together with the current level of uncertainty concerning future levels of Government funding this would appear to be an appropriate level.
- 30 The assessment concerning the level of risk is essentially used for two purposes. In the first place an understanding of the risks which the Council faces is crucial in agreeing an appropriate level of financial reserves. Secondly, the identification of

the risks is the first stage in the process of more effectively managing, or of mitigating those risks. By identifying the risks it is possible to avoid them, to insure against them, to transfer the risk, or most likely, actions can be taken to reduce or to mitigate the risk. The Council's Financial Risk Register is closely linked to both the Strategic and Operational Risk Registers. The Council has in place a comprehensive approach to Risk Management which is reported on a regular basis to Executive, and this process will continue to be utilised in order to manage the key financial risks.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The recommendations arising from this Appendix are set out in section 6 of the covering report.

Appendix 1 : Table 1

BOLSOVER DISTRICT COUNCIL GENERAL FUND

APPENDIX 1 - TABLE 1

GENERAL FUND							
Description	Original Budget 2015/16 £	Estimated Outturn 2015/16 £	Original Budget 2016/17 £	Forecast 2017/18 £	Forecast 2018/19 £		
Growth Directorate	2,933,928	3,137,105	3,328,063	3,137,083	3,077,876		
Operations Directorate	6,378,639	6,475,263	6,555,872	6,648,096	6,761,111		
Transformation Directorate	2,951,776	3,035,959	2,972,580	2,966,728	2,740,277		
Recharges to HRA and Capital	(3,475,528)	(3,475,528)	(3,340,987)	(3,442,881)	(3,459,695)		
S106 Expenditure							
Growth	120,233	331,228	0	0	0		
Transformation	42,334	585,372	177,734	9,532	79,191		
Net Cost of Services	8,956,628	10,089,399	9,693,262	9,318,558	9,198,760		
Debt Charges	892,020	291,234	666,752	917,385	964,303		
Investment Interest	(100,311)	(133,415)	(158,988)	(165,854)	(172,529)		
	9,748,337	10,247,218	10,201,026	10,070,089	9,990,534		
Appropriations:	5,140,331	10,247,210	10,201,020	10,070,005	0,000,004		
Contributions to Reserves:	1,545,323	2,328,112	1,060,599	871,548	752,215		
Contractions to Heberred.	1,040,020	2,020,112	1,000,000	0,1,040	102,210		
Contribution from Earmarked Reserves:	(198,094)	(930,565)	(170,501)	(30,168)	(5,000)		
Contribution (from)/to Unapplied	(33,579)	(181,376)	(183,943)	(120,733)	(25,944)		
Grants/Holding Accounts	(00,070)	(101,570)	(100,040)	(120,700)	(20,044)		
Efficiency Measures	(350,000)	0	0	0	0		
0.13.6.6.0000000	(100 507)	1040.000	(477 70.0)	10 500	(70.404)		
Contribution from S106 Holding Accounts	(162,567)	(919,600)	(177,734)	(9,532)	(79,191)		
TOTAL EXPENDITURE	10,549,420	10,543,789	10,729,447	10,781,204	10,632,614		
		S. 35			S. 5		
Parish Precepts	2,227,081	2,285,865	2,285,865	2,285,865	2,285,865		
Parish Precepts Council Tax Support Grant - Parish	2,227,081 343,025	2,285,865 343,025	2,285,865 308,723	2,285,865 277,850	2,285,865 250,065		
Council Tax Support Grant - Parish	343,025	343,025	308,723	277,850	250,065		
Council Tax Support Grant - Parish	343,025 13,119,526	343,025 13,172,679	308,723 13,324,035	277,850 13,344,919	250,065 13,168,544		
Council Tax Support Grant - Parish TOTAL SPENDING REQUIREMENT Revenue Support Grant from SFA	343,025 13,119,526 (3,102,529)	343,025 13,172,679 (3,102,529)	308,723	277,850 13,344,919 (1,905,813)	250,065 13,168,544 (1,557,899)		
Council Tax Support Grant - Parish TOTAL SPENDING REQUIREMENT Revenue Support Grant from SFA Council Tax Grant (15/16)	343,025 13,119,526	343,025 13,172,679	308,723 13,324,035 (2,456,990)	277,850 13,344,919	250,065 13,168,544		
Council Tax Support Grant - Parish TOTAL SPENDING REQUIREMENT Revenue Support Grant from SFA	343,025 13,119,526 (3,102,529)	343,025 13,172,679 (3,102,529)	308,723 13,324,035 (2,456,990)	277,850 13,344,919 (1,905,813)	250,065 13,168,544 (1,557,899)		
Council Tax Support Grant - Parish TOTAL SPENDING REQUIREMENT Revenue Support Grant from SFA Council Tax Grant (15/16) Business Rates Retention	343,025 13,119,526 (3,102,529) (37,019)	343,025 13,172,679 (3,102,529) (37,019)	308,723 13,324,035 (2,456,990) 0 (200,000)	277,850 13,344,919 (1,905,813) 0	250,065 13,168,544 (1,557,899) 0 0		
Council Tax Support Grant - Parish TOTAL SPENDING REQUIREMENT Revenue Support Grant from SFA Council Tax Grant (15/16) Business Rates Retention NNDR Pooling - Levy share	343,025 13,119,526 (3,102,529) (37,019) (200,000)	343,025 13,172,679 (3,102,529) (37,019) (456,000)	308,723 13,324,035 (2,456,990) 0 (200,000)	277,850 13,344,919 (1,905,813) 0 0	250,065 13,168,544 (1,557,899) 0 0		
Council Tax Support Grant - Parish TOTAL SPENDING REQUIREMENT Revenue Support Grant from SFA Council Tax Grant (15/16) Business Rates Retention NNDR Pooling - Levy share Proportionate share	343,025 13,119,526 (3,102,529) (37,019) (200,000) (9,057,497)	343,025 13,172,679 (3,102,529) (37,019) (456,000) (9,290,233)	308,723 13,324,035 (2,456,990) 0 (200,000) (9,849,963)	277,850 13,344,919 (1,905,813) 0 (10,121,822)	250,065 13,168,544 (1,557,899) 0 (10,401,185)		
Council Tax Support Grant - Parish TOTAL SPENDING REQUIREMENT Revenue Support Grant from SFA Council Tax Grant (15/16) Business Rates Retention NNDR Pooling - Levy share Proportionate share Tariff payable	343,025 13,119,526 (3,102,529) (37,019) (200,000) (9,057,497) 5,577,420	343,025 13,172,679 (3,102,529) (37,019) (456,000) (9,290,233) 5,577,420	308,723 13,324,035 (2,456,990) 0 (200,000) (9,849,963) 5,731,357	277,850 13,344,919 (1,905,813) 0 (10,121,822) 5,889,542	250,065 13,168,544 (1,557,899) 0 (10,401,185) 6,052,094		
Council Tax Support Grant - Parish TOTAL SPENDING REQUIREMENT Revenue Support Grant from SFA Council Tax Grant (15/16) Business Rates Retention NNDR Pooling - Levy share Proportionate share Tariff payable Section 31 grants received Section 31 multiplier cap Levy payment	343,025 13,119,526 (3,102,529) (37,019) (200,000) (9,057,497) 5,577,420 (332,452) (58,730) 607,596	343,025 13,172,679 (3,102,529) (37,019) (456,000) (9,290,233) 5,577,420 (336,159) (58,730) 844,039	308,723 13,324,035 (2,456,990) 0 (200,000) (9,849,963) 5,731,357 (345,437)	277,850 13,344,919 (1,905,813) 0 (10,121,822) 5,889,542 (354,971)	250,065 13,168,544 (1,557,899) 0 (10,401,185) 6,052,094 (364,768)		
Council Tax Support Grant - Parish TOTAL SPENDING REQUIREMENT Revenue Support Grant from SFA Council Tax Grant (15/16) Business Rates Retention NNDR Pooling - Levy share Proportionate share Tariff payable Section 31 grants received Section 31 multiplier cap Levy payment New Homes Bonus Grant 11/12	343,025 13,119,526 (3,102,529) (37,019) (200,000) (9,057,497) 5,577,420 (332,452) (58,730) 607,596 (117,312)	343,025 13,172,679 (3,102,529) (37,019) (456,000) (9,290,233) 5,577,420 (336,159) (58,730) 844,039 (117,312)	308,723 13,324,035 (2,456,990) 0 (200,000) (9,849,963) 5,731,357 (345,437) (60,351) 867,334 (117,312)	277,850 13,344,919 (1,905,813) 0 (10,121,822) 5,889,542 (354,971) (62,016) 891,273 0	250,065 13,168,544 (1,557,899) 0 (10,401,185) 6,052,094 (364,768) (63,728) 915,872 0		
Council Tax Support Grant - Parish TOTAL SPENDING REQUIREMENT Revenue Support Grant from SFA Council Tax Grant (15/16) Business Rates Retention NNDR Pooling - Levy share Proportionate share Tariff payable Section 31 grants received Section 31 grants received Section 31 multiplier cap Levy payment New Homes Bonus Grant 11/12 New Homes Bonus Grant 12/13	343,025 13,119,526 (3,102,529) (37,019) (200,000) (9,057,497) 5,577,420 (332,452) (58,730) 607,596 (117,312) (193,346)	343,025 13,172,679 (3,102,529) (37,019) (456,000) (9,290,233) 5,577,420 (336,159) (58,730) (58,730) 844,039 (117,312) (193,346)	308,723 13,324,035 (2,456,990) 0 (200,000) (9,849,963) 5,731,357 (345,437) (60,351) 867,334 (117,312) (193,346)	277,850 13,344,919 (1,905,813) 0 (10,121,822) 5,889,542 (354,971) (62,016) 891,273 0 0 0 0	250,065 13,168,544 (1,557,899) 0 (10,401,185) 6,052,094 (364,768) (63,728) 915,872 0 0 0		
Council Tax Support Grant - Parish TOTAL SPENDING REQUIREMENT Revenue Support Grant from SFA Council Tax Grant (15/16) Business Rates Retention NNDR Pooling - Levy share Proportionate share Tariff payable Section 31 grants received Section 31 grants received Section 31 multiplier cap Levy payment New Homes Bonus Grant 11/12 New Homes Bonus Grant 12/13 New Homes Bonus Grant 13/14	343,025 13,119,526 (3,102,529) (37,019) (200,000) (9,057,497) 5,577,420 (332,452) (58,730) 607,596 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639)	343,025 13,172,679 (3,102,529) (37,019) (456,000) (9,290,233) 5,577,420 (336,159) (58,730) 844,039 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639)	308,723 13,324,035 (2,456,990) 0 (200,000) (9,849,963) 5,731,357 (345,437) (60,351) 867,334 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639)	277,850 13,344,919 (1,905,813) 0 (10,121,822) 5,889,542 (354,971) (62,016) 891,273 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	250,065 13,168,544 (1,557,899) 0 (10,401,185) 6,052,094 (364,768) (63,728) 915,872 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Council Tax Support Grant - Parish TOTAL SPENDING REQUIREMENT Revenue Support Grant from SFA Council Tax Grant (15/16) Business Rates Retention NNDR Pooling - Levy share Proportionate share Tariff payable Section 31 grants received Section 31 multiplier cap Levy payment New Homes Bonus Grant 11/12 New Homes Bonus Grant 12/13 New Homes Bonus Grant 13/14 New Homes Bonus Grant 13/14	343,025 13,119,526 (3,102,529) (37,019) (200,000) (9,057,497) 5,577,420 (332,452) (58,730) 607,596 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639) (292,058)	343,025 13,172,679 (3,102,529) (37,019) (456,000) (9,290,233) 5,577,420 (336,159) (58,730) 844,039 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639) (292,058)	308,723 13,324,035 (2,456,990) 0 (200,000) (9,849,963) 5,731,357 (345,437) (60,351) 867,334 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639) (292,058)	277,850 13,344,919 (1,905,813) 0 (10,121,822) 5,889,542 (354,971) (62,016) 891,273 0 0 0 (292,058)	250,065 13,168,544 (1,557,899) 0 (10,401,185) 6,052,094 (364,768) (63,728) 915,872 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Council Tax Support Grant - Parish TOTAL SPENDING REQUIREMENT Revenue Support Grant from SFA Council Tax Grant (15/16) Business Rates Retention NNDR Pooling - Levy share Proportionate share Tariff payable Section 31 grants received Section 31 grants received Section 31 multiplier cap Levy payment New Homes Bonus Grant 11/12 New Homes Bonus Grant 12/13 New Homes Bonus Grant 13/14 New Homes Bonus Grant 15/16	343,025 13,119,526 (3,102,529) (37,019) (200,000) (9,057,497) 5,577,420 (332,452) (58,730) 607,596 (117,312) (193,346) (199,394) (292,058) (277,154)	343,025 13,172,679 (3,102,529) (37,019) (456,000) (9,290,233) 5,577,420 (336,159) (58,730) 844,039 (117,312) (193,345) (196,639) (292,058) (285,730)	308,723 13,324,035 (2,456,990) 0 (200,000) (9,849,963) 5,731,357 (345,437) (60,351) 867,334 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639) (292,058) (277,154)	277,850 13,344,919 (1,905,813) 0 (10,121,822) 5,889,542 (354,971) (62,016) 891,273 0 0 (292,058) (277,154)	250,065 13,168,544 (1,557,899) 0 (10,401,185) 6,052,094 (364,768) (63,728) 915,872 0 0 0 0 (277,154)		
Council Tax Support Grant - Parish TOTAL SPENDING REQUIREMENT Revenue Support Grant from SFA Council Tax Grant (15/16) Business Rates Retention NNDR Pooling - Levy share Proportionate share Tariff payable Section 31 grants received Section 31 grants received Section 31 multiplier cap Levy payment New Homes Bonus Grant 11/12 New Homes Bonus Grant 12/13 New Homes Bonus Grant 13/14 New Homes Bonus Grant 15/16 New Homes Bonus Grant 15/16 New Homes Bonus Grant 16/17	343,025 13,119,526 (3,102,529) (37,019) (200,000) (9,057,497) 5,577,420 (332,452) (58,730) 607,596 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639) (292,058) (277,154) 0	343,025 13,172,679 (3,102,529) (37,019) (456,000) (9,290,233) 5,577,420 (336,159) (58,730) 844,039 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639) (292,058) (285,730) 0	308,723 13,324,035 (2,456,990) 0 (200,000) (9,849,963) 5,731,357 (345,437) (60,351) 867,334 (117,312) (193,346) (196,639) (292,058) (227,154) (265,993)	277,850 13,344,919 (1,905,813) 0 (10,121,822) 5,889,542 (354,971) (62,016) 891,273 0 0 (292,058) (277,154) (265,993)	250,065 13,168,544 (1,557,899) 0 (10,401,185) 6,052,094 (364,768) (63,728) 915,872 0 0 0 0 (277,154) (265,993)		
Council Tax Support Grant - Parish TOTAL SPENDING REQUIREMENT Revenue Support Grant from SFA Council Tax Grant (15/16) Business Rates Retention NNDR Pooling - Levy share Proportionate share Tariff payable Section 31 grants received Section 31 grants received Section 31 multiplier cap Levy payment New Homes Bonus Grant 11/12 New Homes Bonus Grant 12/13 New Homes Bonus Grant 13/14 New Homes Bonus Grant 14/15 New Homes Bonus Grant 16/17 New Homes Bonus Grant 16/17 New Homes Bonus Grant 17/18	343,025 13,119,526 (3,102,529) (37,019) (200,000) (9,057,497) 5,577,420 (332,452) (58,730) 607,596 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639) (292,058) (277,154) 0 0 0	343,025 13,172,679 (3,102,529) (37,019) (456,000) (9,290,233) 5,577,420 (336,159) (58,730) 844,039 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639) (292,058) (285,730) 0 0 0 0	308,723 13,324,035 (2,456,990) 0 (200,000) (9,849,963) 5,731,357 (345,437) (60,351) 867,334 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639) (292,058) (277,154) (265,993) 0	277,850 13,344,919 (1,905,813) 0 (10,121,822) 5,889,542 (354,971) (62,016) 891,273 0 0 0 (292,058) (277,154) (265,993) (150,000)	250,065 13,168,544 (1,557,899) 0 (10,401,185) 6,052,094 (364,768) (63,728) 915,872 0 0 0 0 (277,154) (265,993) (150,000)		
Council Tax Support Grant - Parish TOTAL SPENDING REQUIREMENT Revenue Support Grant from SFA Council Tax Grant (15/16) Business Rates Retention NNDR Pooling - Levy share Proportionate share Tariff payable Section 31 grants received Section 31 grants received Section 31 multiplier cap Levy payment New Homes Bonus Grant 11/12 New Homes Bonus Grant 12/13 New Homes Bonus Grant 12/13 New Homes Bonus Grant 13/14 New Homes Bonus Grant 15/16 New Homes Bonus Grant 16/17 New Homes Bonus Grant 16/17 New Homes Bonus Grant 17/18 New Homes Bonus Grant 18/19	343,025 13,119,526 (3,102,529) (37,019) (200,000) (9,057,497) 5,577,420 (332,452) (58,730) 607,596 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639) (292,058) (277,154) 0 0 0 0 0 0	343,025 13,172,679 (3,102,529) (37,019) (456,000) (9,290,233) 5,577,420 (336,159) (58,730) 844,039 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639) (292,058) (285,730) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	308,723 13,324,035 (2,456,990) 0 (200,000) (9,849,963) 5,731,357 (345,437) (60,351) 867,334 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639) (292,058) (277,154) (265,993) 0 0 0	277,850 13,344,919 (1,905,813) 0 (10,121,822) 5,889,542 (354,971) (62,016) 891,273 0 0 0 (292,058) (277,154) (265,993) (150,000) 0	250,065 13,168,544 (1,557,899) 0 (10,401,185) 6,052,094 (364,768) (63,728) 915,872 0 0 0 0 0 (277,154) (265,993) (150,000) (150,000)		
Council Tax Support Grant - Parish TOTAL SPENDING REQUIREMENT Revenue Support Grant from SFA Council Tax Grant (15/16) Business Rates Retention NNDR Pooling - Levy share Proportionate share Tariff payable Section 31 grants received Section 31 grants received Section 31 multiplier cap Levy payment New Homes Bonus Grant 11/12 New Homes Bonus Grant 12/13 New Homes Bonus Grant 13/14 New Homes Bonus Grant 15/16 New Homes Bonus Grant 15/16 New Homes Bonus Grant 16/17 New Homes Bonus Grant 17/18 New Homes Bonus Grant 17/18 New Homes Bonus Grant 18/19 COUNCIL TAX - BDC precept	343,025 13,119,526 (3,102,529) (37,019) (200,000) (9,057,497) 5,577,420 (332,452) (58,730) 607,596 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639) (292,058) (277,154) 0 0 0 (3,189,278)	343,025 13,172,679 (3,102,529) (37,019) (456,000) (9,290,233) 5,577,420 (336,159) (58,730) 844,039 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639) (292,058) (292,058) (285,730) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 (3,189,278)	308,723 13,324,035 (2,456,990) 0 (200,000) (9,849,963) 5,731,357 (345,437) (60,351) 867,334 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639) (292,058) (277,154) (265,993) 0 0 (3,260,672)	277,850 13,344,919 (1,905,813) 0 (10,121,822) 5,889,542 (354,971) (62,016) 891,273 0 0 (292,058) (277,154) (265,993) (150,000) 0 (3,260,672)	250,065 13,168,544 (1,557,899) 0 (10,401,185) 6,052,094 (364,768) (63,728) 915,872 0 0 0 0 (277,154) (265,993) (150,000)		
Council Tax Support Grant - Parish TOTAL SPENDING REQUIREMENT Revenue Support Grant from SFA Council Tax Grant (15/16) Business Rates Retention NNDR Pooling - Levy share Proportionate share Tariff payable Section 31 grants received Section 31 grants received Section 31 multiplier cap Levy payment New Homes Bonus Grant 11/12 New Homes Bonus Grant 12/13 New Homes Bonus Grant 12/13 New Homes Bonus Grant 13/14 New Homes Bonus Grant 15/16 New Homes Bonus Grant 16/17 New Homes Bonus Grant 17/18 New Homes Bonus Grant 18/19 COUNCIL TAX - BDC precept Council Tax Increase 16/17	343,025 13,119,526 (3,102,529) (37,019) (200,000) (9,057,497) 5,577,420 (332,452) (58,730) 607,596 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639) (292,058) (277,154) 0 0 (3,189,278) 0	343,025 13,172,679 (3,102,529) (37,019) (456,000) (9,290,233) 5,577,420 (336,159) (58,730) 844,039 (117,312) (193,346 (169,639) (292,058) (292,058) (285,730) 0 0 0 (3,189,278) 0	308,723 13,324,035 (2,456,990) 0 (200,000) (9,849,963) 5,731,357 (345,437) (60,351) 867,334 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639) (292,058) (277,154) (265,993) 0 0 (3,260,672) 0	277,850 13,344,919 (1,905,813) 0 (10,121,822) 5,889,542 (354,971) (62,016) 891,273 0 0 (292,058) (277,154) (265,933) (150,000) 0 (3,260,672) 0	250,065 13,168,544 (1,557,899) 0 (10,401,185 6,052,094 (364,768) (63,728) 915,872 0 0 0 (277,154) (265,993) (150,000) (3,260,672) 0		
Council Tax Support Grant - Parish TOTAL SPENDING REQUIREMENT Revenue Support Grant from SFA Council Tax Grant (15/16) Business Rates Retention NNDR Pooling - Levy share Proportionate share Tariff payable Section 31 grants received Section 31 grants received Section 31 multiplier cap Levy payment New Homes Bonus Grant 11/12 New Homes Bonus Grant 12/13 New Homes Bonus Grant 13/14 New Homes Bonus Grant 15/16 New Homes Bonus Grant 15/16 New Homes Bonus Grant 16/17 New Homes Bonus Grant 17/18 New Homes Bonus Grant 17/18 New Homes Bonus Grant 18/19 COUNCIL TAX - BDC precept	343,025 13,119,526 (3,102,529) (37,019) (200,000) (9,057,497) 5,577,420 (322,452) (58,730) 607,596 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639) (292,058) (277,154) 0 0 (3,189,278) 0 (2,227,081)	343,025 13,172,679 (3,102,529) (37,019) (456,000) (9,290,233) 5,577,420 (336,159) (58,730) 844,039 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639) (292,058) (285,730) 0 0 (3,189,278) 0 (2,285,865)	308,723 13,324,035 (2,456,990) 0 (200,000) (9,849,963) 5,731,357 (345,437) (60,351) 867,334 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639) (292,058) (277,154) (265,993) 0 (3,260,672) 0 (2,285,865)	277,850 13,344,919 (1,905,813) 0 (10,121,822) 5,889,542 (354,971) (62,016) 891,273 0 0 (292,058) (277,154) (265,993) (150,000) 0 (3,260,672)	250,065 13,168,544 (1,557,899) 0 (10,401,185) 6,052,094 (364,768) (63,728) 915,872 0 0 0 0 0 (277,154) (265,993) (150,000) (150,000)		
Council Tax Support Grant - Parish TOTAL SPENDING REQUIREMENT Revenue Support Grant from SFA Council Tax Grant (15/16) Business Rates Retention NNDR Pooling - Levy share Proportionate share Tariff payable Section 31 grants received Section 31 multiplier cap Levy payment New Homes Bonus Grant 11/12 New Homes Bonus Grant 12/13 New Homes Bonus Grant 12/13 New Homes Bonus Grant 13/14 New Homes Bonus Grant 14/15 New Homes Bonus Grant 15/16 New Homes Bonus Grant 16/17 New Homes Bonus Grant 17/18 New Homes Bonus Grant 18/19 COUNCIL TAX - BDC precept Council Tax Increase 16/17 Council Tax - Parish element from above	343,025 13,119,526 (3,102,529) (37,019) (200,000) (9,057,497) 5,577,420 (332,452) (58,730) 607,596 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639) (292,058) (277,154) 0 0 (3,189,278) 0	343,025 13,172,679 (3,102,529) (37,019) (456,000) (9,290,233) 5,577,420 (336,159) (58,730) 844,039 (117,312) (193,346 (169,639) (292,058) (292,058) (285,730) 0 0 0 (3,189,278) 0	308,723 13,324,035 (2,456,990) 0 (200,000) (9,849,963) 5,731,357 (345,437) (60,351) 867,334 (117,312) (193,346) (169,639) (292,058) (277,154) (265,993) 0 0 (3,260,672) 0	277,850 13,344,919 (1,905,813) 0 (10,121,822) 5,889,542 (354,971) (62,016) 891,273 0 0 (292,058) (277,154) (265,993) (150,000) 0 (3,260,672) 0 (2,285,865)	250,065 13,168,544 (1,557,899) 0 0 (10,401,185) 6,052,094 (364,768) (63,728) 915,872 0 0 0 0 (277,154) (265,993) (150,000) (150,000) (150,000) (3,260,672) 0 (2,285,865)		

Appendix 1 : Table 2

APPENDIX 1 - TABLE 2

Estimated Base Outturn Budget Forecast Forecast 2015/16 2016/17 2017/18 2018/19 £ £ £ £ Total for: Appropriations (10,089,399)(9,693,262) (9,318,558)(9, 198, 760)G005 Joint Chief Executive Officer (G005) 75,406 77,069 79,631 82.387 G006 CEPT (G006) 363.001 318,647 351.432 363,468 G010 Neighbourhood Management (G010) 83,800 86,938 88,650 90,390 G017 Private Sector Housing Renewal (G017) 50,130 51,840 52,350 52,860 G020 Public Health (G020) (28,000)(28,000)(28,000)(28,000)G021 Pollution Reduction (G021) 158,855 165,615 167,785 170.345 G022 Health + Safety (G022) (120)0 0 0 G023 Pest Control (G023) 49,540 49,720 50,150 50,580 131,430 G025 Food Safety (G025) 136,150 137,880 139,640 G026 Animal Welfare (G026) 92,850 100.440 96.830 99.220 G036 Environmental Health Mgmt & Admin (G036) 169,670 172,440 174,260 175,940 G053 Licensing (G053) (54,565) (52,285) (51,305) (57,085) G054 Electoral Registration (G054) 184,636 172,827 173,537 174,856 G055 Democratic Representation & Management (G055) 534,537 536,729 536,869 537,019 G056 Land Charges (G056) 3,543 10,220 11,000 11,581 G057 District Council Elections (G057) 10.000 10.000 67.490 10,000 G058 Democratic Services (G058) 153,763 170,037 171,056 173.375 G060 Legal Services (G060) 156,834 173,771 177,275 179,694 G073 Planning Policy (G073) 267,825 262,595 237,071 239,441 G074 Planning Development Control (G074) (99,213) 111,312 60,154 64,526 G076 Planning Enforcement (G076) 65.255 75,798 79.061 81.016 G079 Planning Services Mgmt & Admin (G079) 19,687 20,377 20,556 20,779 G085 Economic Development (G085) 136,710 27,550 27,550 27,550 G086 Alliance (G086) 9.250 9.250 9.250 9,250 G088 Derbyshire Economic Partnership (G088) 15,000 15,000 15,000 15,000 G107 Home Improvement Agency (G107) (340) 0 0 0 G132 Planning Conservation (G132) 29,770 105,288 30,558 30.891 Housing Strategy (G143) 35,126 23,985 24,825 G143 24,400 G144 Enabling (Housing) (G144) 23,845 18,975 19,390 19.815 G145 Handy Van Service (G145) 0 0 0 0 G154 ERDF - Work for Yourself (G154) 11,222 0 0 0 G171 S106 Education (G171) 330.112 0 0 0 G172 S106 Affordable Housing (G172) 1,116 0 0 0 G176 Affordable Warmth (G176) (9,055) (4,365) (3, 395)(2, 195)G178 ERDF - Bols Public Realm (G178) 6.049 0 0 0 G191 Public Health (G191) 0 0 0 0 G192 Scrutiny (G192) 19,340 20,225 20,400 20,617

List of net budgets per cost centre per directorate

		Estimated Outturn 2015/16 £	Base Budget 2016/17 £	Forecast 2017/18 £	Forecast 2018/19 £
G193	Economic Development Management + Admin (G193)	189,378	202,440	209,558	217,457
G194	Assist Dir - Economic Growth (G194)	35,180	36,340	36,690	37,050
G195	Assist Dir - Governance + Monitoring (G195)	34,139	35,109	35,465	35,855
G196	Assist Dir - Planning + Env Health (G196)	32,857	34,754	35,070	35,460
G198	CEPT Commissioning (G198)	0	0	0	0
G209	Conservation - Carr Vale HLF Scheme	6,302	0	0	0
G211	Help to Work (G211)	19,272	4,195	0	0
G212	Budget Buddies (G212)	10,982	0	0	0
G213	Volunteering (G213)	12,155	0	0	0
G214	Healthy Weight (G214)	0	0	0	0
G215	Community Health Checks (G215)	0	0	0	0
G216	Raising Aspirations (G216)	(10,202)	114,946	49,256	0
G217	Residual WNF Schemes (G217)	0	0	0	0
G218	Namibia Bound (G218)	25,500	3,400	0	0
G220	Locality Funding (G220)	0	0	0	0
G223	Empty Property Officer	17,000	17,520	17,690	17,870
G224	Prime Ministers Challenge Fund (G224)	46,500	0	0	0
G225	Eats and Treates Events (G225)	6,340	0	0	0
	Total for: Growth Directorate	3,468,333	3,328,063	3,137,083	3,077,876
G001	Audit Services (G001)	115,050	124,650	124,650	124,650
G007	Community Safety - Crime Reduction (G007)	48,660	51,296	51,709	52,248
G013	Community Action Network (G013)	223,213	223,515	236,456	239,052
G018	Public Conveniences (G018)	4,602	0	0	0
G024	Street Cleansing (G024)	353,886	379,762	381,692	384,643
G028	Waste Collection (G028)	976,276	853,771	845,564	867,612
G032		530,185	543,163	532,473	537,821
G033	Vehicle Fleet (G033)	723,996	747,627	761,528	768,765
G034	Depot - South Normanton (G034)	30,000	0	0	0
G038	Concessionary Fares & TV Licenses (G038)	(9,120)	(9,120)	(8,820)	(8,820)
G040		108,303	110,160	115,540	115,980
G041	Non Distributed Costs (G041)	706,650	688,951	688,951	688,951
G044	Financial Services (G044)	307,485	316,549	319,484	323,101
G046	Homelessness (G046)	153,128	159,896	160,887	162,113
G048	Town Centre Housing (G048)	(10,700)	(10,700)	(10,700)	(10,700)
G080	Engineering Services (ESRM) (G080)	99,220	80,765	81,795	82,848
G081	Drainage Services (G081)	3,300	3,300	3,300	3,300
G083	Building Control Consortium (G083)	85,980	77,570	78,346	79,129
	Premises Development (G089)	(60,420)	(31,106)	(30,213)	(29,299)
GUA9		100/1201	(01,100)	(00,000)	(
G089 G090	Pleasley Vale Mills (G090)	(140,202)	(129,697)	(127, 854)	(125,960)

		Estimated	Base		
		Outturn	Budget	Forecast	Forecast
		2015/16	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19
		£	£	£	£
G093	Sherwood Lodge (G093)	15,195	16,217	0	0
G095	Estates + Property (G095)	543,025	525,197	530,109	535,800
G096	Building Cleaning (General) (G096)	73,336	75,336	75,336	76,852
G097	Groundwork & Drainage Operations (G097)	45,822	45,885	46,758	47,256
G099	Catering (G099)	6,000	6,000	6,000	6,000
G100	Benefits (G100)	517,358	660,162	714,062	766,057
G103	Council Tax / NNDR (G103)	234,921	251,208	249,602	255,249
G104	Sundry Debtors (G104)	92,270	84,725	86,313	88,126
G106	Housing Anti Social Behaviour (G106)	70,039	72,173	72,824	73,631
G111	Shared Procurement Unit (G111)	34,760	34,760	34,760	34,760
G113	Parenting Practitioner (G113)	44,628	45,991	46,381	46,865
G123	Riverside Depot (G123)	167,875	175,080	177,609	180,448
G124	Street Servs Mgmt & Admin (G124)	62,571	69,798	71,200	71,963
G127	Planning + Estates Admin (G127)	46,214	48,584	49,032	49,585
G133	The Tangent Business Hub (G133)	(1,929)	(15,639)	(14,495)	(13,324)
G135	Domestic Violence Worker (G135)	38,208	39,349	39,678	40,086
G138	Sherwood Lodge Development (G138)	20,000	0	0	0
G142	Community Safety - CCTV (G142)	90,565	33,370	33,370	33,370
G148	Trade Waste (G148)	(61,792)	(61,421)	(61,290)	(61,162)
G149	Recycling (G149)	77,664	227,302	227,582	227,197
G151	Street Lighting (G151)	25,563	25,811	26,062	26,316
G153	Housing Advice (G153)	10,870	12,049	12,158	12,294
G156	The Arc (G156)	274,627	229,920	233,831	237,902
G159	Council Tax Benefit Reform (G159)	(43,333)	0	0	0
G161	Rent Rebates (G161)	(190,190)	(202,205)	(214,338)	(227,198)
G162	Rent Allowances (G162)	(135,218)	(142,479)	(150,103)	(158,108)
G164	Support Recharges (G164)	(3,475,528)	(3,410,307)	(3,442,881)	(3,459,695)
G167	Facilities Management (G167)	20,500	20,500	20,500	20,500
G169	Closed Churchyards (G169)	10,000	10,000	10,000	10,000
G190	Executive Director - Operations (G190)	49,980	51,730	52,230	52,750
G197	Assist Dir - Finance, Revenues + Benefits (G197)	31,890	33,890	35,200	36,530
G199	Assist Dir - Street Scene (G199)	31,709	33,755	35,068	35,432
G208	Assist Dir - Estates and Property (G208)	32,155	34,016	35,259	36,595
G219	Community Cohesion Officer (G219)	30,426	42,447	0	0
	Total for Operations Directorate	2,999,735	3,214,885	3,205,215	3,301,416
G002	I.C.T. (G002)	666,593	656,699	673,645	667,329
G003	Reprographics (printing) (G003)	102,431	106,559	108,661	108,825
G014	Customer Contact Service (G014)	734,439	752,932	768,644	779,438
G015	Strategy & Performance (G015)	142,196	143,329	142,538	145,525
G027	Emergency Planning (G027)	15,200	15,367	15,536	15,707
		Estimated Outturn 2015/16 £	Base Budget 2016/17 £	Forecast 2017/18 £	Forecast 2018/19 £
------	---	--------------------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------
G052	Human Resources & Payroll (G052)	297,418	290,215	291,091	299,744
G061	Bolsover Wellness Programme (G061)	13,439	22,004	24,534	27,472
G062	Extreme Wheels (G062)	87	(1,801)	(412)	42
G063	Go Football (G063)	11,916	11,448	11,650	11,808
G064	Bolsover Community Sports Coach Scheme (G064)	125,212	133,826	138,001	139,699
G065	Parks, Playgrounds & Open Spaces (G065)	46,705	48,224	47,277	47,474
G067	Culture & Heritage (G067)	44,654	32,579	32,908	33,316
G070	Outdoor Sports & Recreation Facilities (G070)	35,267	30,833	31,081	31,333
G071	Creswell Leisure Centre (G071)	155,181	149,518	150,526	148,951
G072	Leisure Services Mgmt & Admin (G072)	153,332	160,970	162,346	163,997
G112	Frederick Gents School Community Use (G112)	19,798	22,274	23,367	24,083
G125	S106 Percent for Art (G125)	174,241	0	0	0
G126	S106 Formal and Informal Recreation (G126)	117,080	91,999	9,532	79,191
G129	Bolsover Apprenticeship Programme (G129)	71,956	32,825	15,495	0
G146	Pleasley Vale Outdoor Activity Centre (G146)	34,253	38,705	39,266	39,925
G155	Customer Services (G155)	64,914	28,035	28,289	28,604
G160	Clowne Leisure Centre (G160)	26,680	31,293	34,927	36,710
G168	Multifunctional Printers (G168)	55,619	55,619	54,562	52,170
G170	S106 Outdoor Sports (G170)	297,051	85,735	0	0
G173	SE Community Sports (G173)	1	0	0	0
G179	Streets Sports (G179)	(2,849)	0	0	0
G182	Village Games (G182)	4,773	0	0	0
G185	Club Link Makers (G185)	4,457	0	0	0
G188	Bolsover Contact Centre (G188)	0	(15,141)	(29,349)	(28,510)
G189	Executive Director - Transformation (G189)	50,105	51,850	52,360	52,880
G200	Assist Dir - Customer Services + Improvement (G200)	33,152	35,049	35,365	35,755
G201	Assist Dir - HR + Payroll (G201)	48,530	33,110	34,400	35,730
G202	Assist Dir - Leisure (G202)	33,302	35,199	35,515	35,905
G203	Sportivate (G203)	(191)	0	0	0
G205	Badminton (G205)	0	0	0	0
G206	Street Games (G206)	(3,371)	0	0	0
G207	Cycling (G207)	300	0	0	0
G222	Clowne Leisure Facilities Enhancement (G222)	47,460	0	0	0
G228	Go Active Leisure Centre (G228)	0	71,060	44,505	(193,635)
	Total for Transformation Directorate	3,621,331	3,150,314	2,976,260	2,819,468
	Total for: General Fund	0	0	0	0

Appendix 1: Table 3

APPENDIX 1 : TABLE 3

	Expenditure	Share of CT	Scheme	Scheme
	Precept	Support	Ends March	Ends March
Parish	(2015/16)	Grant	2023	2020
Ault Hucknall	34,000	2,856	476	951
Barlborough	97,797	6,482	1,080	2,159
Blackwell	107,379	11,809	1,968	3,932
Clowne	249,627	22,836	3,806	7,604
Elmton with Creswell	157,074	27,018	4,503	8,997
Glapwell	48,875	5,006	834	1,667
Hodthorpe	21,663	3,502	584	1,166
Langwith	92,158	17,286	2,881	5,756
Old Bolsover	213,628	29,267	4,878	9,746
Pinxton	156,788	16,390	2,732	5,458
Pleasley	63,768	7,972	1,329	2,655
Scarcliffe	49,757	9,333	1,556	3,108
Shirebrook	460,808	92,468	15,411	30,792
South Normanton	238,288	23,926	3,988	7,967
Tibshelf	123,917	12,679	2,113	4,222
Whitwell	170,338	19,893	3,316	6,624
	2,285,865	308,723	51,454	102,805

Appendix 1 : Table 4

BOLSOVER DISTRICT COUNCIL: GENERAL FUND RISK REGISTER

Risk	and Mitigation in Place	Gross	Probability	Potential
		Value of Risk £000's		Impact £000's
1. • •	Overspend on challenging revenue budgets. The financial information system and budget monitoring arrangements are robust. The Council has a good record of managing spending against budgets. Regular monitoring reports will be taken to Executive, Council and Audit Committee. Elected Members have a good awareness of the Council's financial position. The development of the current budgets has been based upon the active engagement of cost centre managers.	1,000	30%	300
2. • •	Reduction in Government Grant/NNDR/ New Homes Bonus or loss of other income above the budgeted level incorporated within the MTFP Income Budgets have been established on a prudent basis. The position on income levels will be monitored as part of the Council's routine budget procedures. The Government has outlined financial settlements over the next three financial years	1,000	30%	300
3. •	Inability to achieve assumed level of efficiencies. Regular reports will be taken to Executive, Council and Audit Committee, which will monitor progress and ensure accountability. The Council has a good record of achieving savings over previous financial years	250	40%	100
Risk	and Mitigation in Place	Gross Value of Risk £'s	Probability	Potential Impact £'s

 4 Overspend on Capital Program underachievement of capital re leads to a charge against the R Position The revenue framework outlined a also govern the position in respect Capital Programme. The Council has agreed a general not entering into capital commitment the resource required to finance t commitments has been secured. The Council has access to the un element of the Transformation fur optional measure to avoid a charge General Fund. 	ceipts evenue above will of of the Il principle of ents unless hose committed nd as an	5%	300
 5. A major Business Continuity Issue The Council has in place Busines Plans and Insurance Arrangemen are intended to address these risk Previously in exceptional circums Central Government has provided support to authorities in these circums 	s Continuity hts which ks. tances d financial	10%	200
 6. Increased cost of Welfare Reform Council Tax Benefit as a result of incomposition costs not being fully covered by add government grant, or from the proposition reforms of the service. Budgets have been established of basis, however, part of the r increase now falls upon local auth 	including 500 creasing litional osed on a prudent risk of cost	25%	125
 7. An increase in employee costs as with a national pay award, requirement retain key staff or with changes in log and conditions. While the Council has made budge for all known changes there rearound the fact that key personal leave for better remunerate elsewhere, or that a challenge respect of existing terms and conditions. 	ent to ocal terms get provision remain risks onnel could ated posts is made in	50%	250
Notional Potential Financial Impact Identified Risks	of		1,575

Housing Revenue Account

Introduction

- 1. This Appendix considers the elements of the Medium Term Financial Plan relating to the Council's Housing Revenue Account (HRA) budgets as part of the Council's Medium Term Financial Plan. As such it covers the Council's revenue expenditure relating to the provision of Council Housing. This financial and service planning framework has a direct impact upon the quality of the housing service provided by the Council to our tenants.
- The proposed budgets for both 2015/16 (Estimated Outturn) and 2016/17 (Original Budget) are detailed in Appendix 1 to this report. The Appendix also details the projected position, on the basis of current patterns of income and expenditure, for both 2017/18 and 2018/19.

2015/16 Estimated Outturn Budget

- 3. In February of 2015 Members agreed a budget in respect of the current financial year 2015/16. That original budget is detailed in Table 1 of this Appendix, where it can be compared against the Estimated Outturn Budget for 2015/16. The position on the HRA has been monitored during the course of the year with Estimated Outturn budgets for the HRA having only minor changes to the Revised Budget figures reported and approved by the Executive in November 2015.
- 4. The key points of change in arriving at the Estimated Outturn position are outlined below:
 - Overall income anticipated to the HRA has reduced by £0.332m. The main factor in this reduction is that rental income is £0.261m (1.2%) below the original budget which has mainly arisen as a result of an increased number of voids. While, contributions towards expenditure have reduced, this is effectively offset by a reduction in related expenditure.
 - With respect to expenditure there has been an overall reduction of expenditure of £0.327m against the approved budget. The main changes included a reduction in expenditure in respect of the provision for bad debts of £0.100m, together with a lower spend on repairs and maintenance.
 - The reductions in both income and expenditure effectively balanced each other out in financial terms, with the net result that the forecast surplus has dropped from one of £0.015m to one of £0.010m. At the year end the projected HRA Reserve is one of £1.891m.

- 5. With respect to the period up March 2016 the impact of the April 2012 localisation of the HRA reform has resulted in minimal impact upon the Council's tenants. This was always the intended outcome. Underpinning the reforms of 2012 was a core principle that each local authority became a standalone landlord managing what amounted to a commercial HRA, with a considerable level of local autonomy. A key factor in the successful transition was that the initial settlement was a reasonable one which protected existing levels of income and effectively insulated the HRA from the austerity which applied to other areas of the public sector. Underlying the HRA localisation was a Government calculation concerning the financial viability of each individual Council's HRA which was used as the basis for allocating debt. In the case of Bolsover District Council the debt transfer amounted to £94.3m which costs in excess of £6m p.a in repayments which is almost 30% of the rental income.
- 6. Local Authorities became responsible for managing HRA income to repay debt, to ensure adequate financial provision to maintain and refurbish the stock whilst meeting the service expectations of our tenants. Subsequent to the initial debt settlement which was based upon affordability as calculated by a financial model, the Government has introduced a range of significant changes to the assumptions upon which the debt calculation was based. These include changes in regulations making Right to Buy more attractive, together with changes to the policy for setting council house rents. Both of these which are outlined in more detail below have served to reduce the rental stream against a background of essentially fixed costs such as debt repayment, services to tenants and investment in tenants homes. The combined impact of these changes has been to undermine what was a reasonable settlement, with the extension of the public sector austerity agenda into the Housing Revenue Account.
- 7. In terms of quantifying the impact of these changes amendments to the Right to Buy legislation, together with the improving economic position has resulted in the number of Right to Buy's rising from the 8 per annum assumed within the HRA Debt Allocation model, to a position where approximately 40 sales will be completed during the current financial year. For the HRA this represents a significant loss of income and the additional homes sold in the current year alone will reduce the Council's rental stream by in excess of £100,000 every year, or £3m over the period of the Business Plan. Legislation is also being proposed to require Councils to dispose of their 10% of most valuable properties. While initial indications are that this will largely impact on properties in the South (given the relatively low value of houses in areas such as Bolsover), if the sales were to be required on a local basis then there will be a further impact which it is not possibly to quantify at this stage.

Level of Council House Rents

- 8. Secondly, in addition to providing additional incentives for tenants to exercise the Right to Buy, the Government has also reduced the income stream to the HRA by adjusting national rent policy so that rent increases were lower than allowed for in the HRA localisation model. National Rent policy was first amended as part of the 2013 Spending Round when the Government removed rent convergence from the formula, and switched the calculation of inflation from RPI to CPI. Taken together and given that Bolsover was way off 'target rent' these changes resulted in a loss of income to the HRA in excess of £1m p.a. Government justified the ending of the rent convergence policy on the basis that a majority of Council's had already reached target rents, however, this is not the case in Bolsover where as a Council we started at a lower level of rent than many other authorities.
- 9. While the revised rent policy was intended to last for the 10 years from April 2015, the incoming Government changed that policy in the budget of July 2015. Accordingly, with respect to next financial year the Government is requiring local authorities in common with all other providers of social housing to reduce rental levels by 1% per annum. This policy has been set to cover the four years from April 2016 to April 2019 and nationally will contribute £2.4bn towards the Government target of securing £12bn of savings from the welfare system. For Bolsover District Council this reduces rental income by£0.4m in respect of 2016/17, with the loss of rental income rising to an estimated £2m p.a. by 2019/20. Over the period of the 30 year HRA Business Plan the loss of rental income is likely to amount to £60m.The actual rental loss is, however, dependent upon the level of inflation over this period the greater the loss of rental income will be.

Estimated rent loss	comparing	new government	policy to existing
policy. (£m) – exclud	ing RTB / New	w Build	

Inflation	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 1-4	30 year
0%	0.43	0.85	1.28	1.71	4.28	35.28
0.5%	0.53	1.07	1.61	2.15	5.37	74.28
1.0%	0.64	1.29	1.94	2.60	6.48	95.94
1.5%	0.79	1.15	2.28	3.06	7.60	120.61
2.0%	0.85	1.73	2.62	3.53	8.72	148.73
2.5%	0.96	1.95	2.96	4.00	9.87	180.74
3.0%	1.06	2.17	3.30	4.47	11.02	217.19

10. Given that the HRA needs to be financially self sufficient the reduction in income will need to be accompanied by corresponding expenditure

reductions. On the basis of current information that is likely to be managed by a reduced capacity to operate a new build programmes (although the currently agreed B@home programme will continue, together with a rephrasing of debt repayments and the HRA capital programme. Officers are currently of the view that the position can be managed with only a limited impact on tenants – which reflects our low cost base – however, it does need to be recognised that if more realistic rent increases in line with inflation are not agreed from April 2020 then given the debt burden and other fixed costs on the HRA the position will become increasingly difficult to manage.

- 11. In the short term the major revision to the Council's HRA is that it has been necessary to reduce the level of debt repayment by a corresponding amount with effect from next financial year. While the Council is still operating on the basis that it will be able to repay its debt within the 30 years of the Business Plan, this reduction in the level of debt repayment increases interest costs in future years and impacts on the Council's ability to construct new properties.
- 12. With respect to the change in the basis of setting rental levels this will have a significant impact of the financial sustainability of the local HRA. In addition to a reduced financial capacity to build new homes and slower repayment of outstanding debt existing tenants may be adversely affected by a rephasing of future capital upgrades. Whilst the Council will continue to seek to secure efficiency savings there will inevitably be detrimental impact on services to tenants.
- 13. In addition to the impact arising from changes in rent setting policy, the HRA will be detrimentally affected by changes in the Supporting People Programme operated by Derbyshire County Council. These changes to Supporting People funding of services have been introduced in order to offset the impact of central government reductions in grant support. While negotiations are ongoing with respect to Supporting People funding on the basis of current information there will be a reduction of grant in the region of £0.350m, which given that this funding supported services in the Sheltered Housing schemes will constitute a charge against the HRA.

Housing Revenue Account Budget 2016/17

- 14. The proposed HRA budget in respect of 2016/17 is presented in Table 1 to this Appendix. There are three key changes which are driving the budget position in respect of next financial year which are as follows:
 - The change in rent setting policy which reduces the level of income by £0.4m
 - The reduction in the level of Supporting People grant which costs a further £0.350m

Finally, there is a requirement to increase expenditure on the HRA capital Programme to ensure that life expired assets such as heating, kitchens etc are maintained at a Decent Standard. To meet the increased need the expenditure on these items needs to increase in 2016/17 by £1.5m (from £4.2m to £5.7m).

Taken together these three factors represent a year on year increase in costs of £2.25m. In order to address these increased costs it will be necessary to reduce the repayment of debt from the £3.5m paid last year, to a figure of £1m in 2016/17, followed by £1.1m in 2017/18 and £1.2m in 2018/19. In order to secure the objective of repaying the debt within the 30 year Business Plan period it will be necessary to accelerate debt repayment in the later years of the Plan, which should be facilitated by the anticipated return to rent increases at a level marginally above inflation from April 2020 onwards.

- 15. On the basis of the changes outlined above it is planned that the HRA will generate a small in year surplus of £0.011m. This will increase the level of general HRA reserves to a figure in the region of £1.9m at the end of March 2017. While previously Council had agreed to an increase to £2m given the increasingly financial challenging position on the HRA it may now be more appropriate to seek to maintain a level of £1.9m over the period of the current MTFP, than to seek an increase to £2m.
- 16. Given the financial challenges which have been identified within this Appendix it should be noted that Officers are continuing to monitor the sustainability of the HRA primarily through the 30 Year Business Plan. One of the major challenges facing the HRA following on from the changes introduced by the Government is that of funding the programme of capital works necessary to ensure that the Council can maintain its properties at the Decent Homes standard. On the basis of the current 30 year Business Plan the Council should be in a position to generate sufficient revenue to fund a capital programme in the region of £5.5m per annum. On the basis of the information held within the stock condition survey that should be sufficient to fund a programme which will maintain the Council housing stock at the decency standard, although use will need to be made of both phasing of expenditure and headroom borrowing in order to ensure that the Programme remains affordable during the later stages of the MTFP.
- 17. The measures outlined within this report enable the funding of HRA expenditure budgets for 2016/17 which are essentially a roll forward budget. Under the current proposals it is planned that tenants will see a continuation of existing levels of service over the period of the current Medium Term Financial Plan. Over the period of the 30 year Business Plan the budget also helps put the Council in a position where Council Housing remains a sustainable form of tenure offering good quality housing with robust tenant rights at a rental level significantly below that available in the private rented

sector. In order to achieve this objective - against a background of reduced income - it will, however, be necessary to secure further cost efficiencies against a background of an already low cost service, in order to protect services to tenants.

Planning Budgets 2017/18 to 2018/19

- 18. In line with established good practice the MTFP sets out the Council's projected financial position over a 3 year period. The forecasts in respect of the latter two years are largely based upon a roll forward of the budgets in respect of next financial year. With respect to debt repayments these will be maintained at the reduced level of £1.141m in 2017/18 followed by 1.226m in 2018/19 .While the level of debt repayment has needed to be reduced the policy of continuing to repay debt at this stage of the Business Plan is crucial both to reducing borrowing costs and in order to build up the funding necessary to finance an accelerated programme of renovation work which will be necessary in the later years of the 30 Year Business Plan. If debt is not lowered at this stage then the funding will not be available to replace bathrooms, kitchens, roofs, doors and windows as these major investments become necessary.
- 19. Within the budgets in respect of these latter two years the key assumptions that are made are as follows :
 - The Council applies the Government's policy that rents in the social housing sector are reduced by 1% a year for a period of 4 years starting in April 2016.
 - Interest rates remain low and stable with a rise in Bank Rate which determines short term borrowing and investment costs – in the region of 1%.
 - •That salary costs rise by 1% per annum across the period of the current MTFP.
 - No changes to employer superannuation contributions or to the lump sum deficit recovery.
 - No allowance has been made in respect of the Apprentice Levy of 1% of payroll costs which is currently out for consultation. On the basis that the Government's current proposals are accepted then this will cost an additional £90k p.a. Officers anticipate that it should be possible to offset at least part of these costs through the employment of apprentices, however, the potential cost pressure does need to be recognised.
 - No allowance has been made in respect of general inflation but allowance has been made for specific items where that is considered to be appropriate.

Fees and Charges

- 20. While the main source of income for the HRA arises from property rents the HRA is also dependent for its financial sustainability upon a range of other charges. These charges are now set in the light of an agreed principle that wherever possible the Council should seek to apply the principle that charges for services should reflect the cost of providing those services.
- 21. The Council has adopted the general principle that charges to tenants should reflect the cost of providing the services, in many cases the historical charge was a notional one. For the next financial year in order to continue the gradual implementation of this principle the majority of charges are recommended to be increased by a figure of 2%. The one exemption to this general level is in respect of the mobile (self funded) wardens service where an increase of 13.5% is recommended, reflecting the impact of the reduction in the level of Supporting People grant support. The pricing that is proposed in respect of 2016/17 reflects this gradual approach.
- 22. A schedule of the proposed charges is set out within Table 3 to this report.

Level of HRA Balances

Given the greater level of uncertainty associated with a localised HRA the Council agreed an objective of working towards general balances of \pounds 2m in order to provide an appropriate level of financial resilience to the account. The financial projections given in Appendix 1 (summarised below) show that the level of HRA balances will be maintained at around a figure of \pounds 1.9m. At this revised targeted minimum level of HRA balances there is effectively a reserve of \pounds 370 per property. In the light of the HRA Risk Register set out in Table 2 below the Chief Financial Officer considers this to be an adequate level. In addition to the HRA General Reserve it should be noted that there are capital reserves in respect of the Major Repairs Reserve, the Development Reserve and the Vehicle Reserve. While these amount to \pounds 4.5m it should be noted that they are largely contractually committed to support Approved schemes within the Council's HRA capital programme.

HRA Risk Register

23. A financial Risk Assessment is set out in Table 3, which outlines the risks, the mitigation which is in place, the potential impact and the probability of the event occurring in order to arrive at a notional calculation concerning the

potential financial impact of the risks which the Council is currently facing. This indicates that the identified risks which the Council's HRA is currently managing amount to $\pounds 1.425m$. Given that there are estimated HRA general balances across the period of $\pounds 1.9m$ there would appear to be a reasonable level of cover available for the level of identified risk.

- 24. The assessment concerning the level of risk is essentially used for two purposes. In the first place an understanding of the risks which the Council faces is crucial in agreeing an appropriate level of financial reserves which are required. Secondly, the identification of the risks is the first stage in the process of more effectively managing, or of mitigating those risks. By identifying the risks it is possible to avoid them, to insure against them, to transfer the risk, or most likely actions can be taken to reduce or to mitigate the risk. The Council's Financial Risk Register is closely linked to both the Strategic and Operational Risk Registers. The Council has in place a comprehensive approach to Risk Management which is reported on a regular basis to Executive, and this process will continue to be utilised in order to manage the key financial risks.
- 25. Given that the reformed or localised HRA is now in place and operational there has been a change in the nature of the strategic risks facing the HRA. While original assumption was that the HRA should benefit from greater financial certainty as a result of the ending of annual financial settlements from central government, this has been undermined by the fact that the Government has changed both rent setting policy and the right to buy legislation in a manner which facilitates an accelerated reduction in stock. The new localised arrangements, however, clearly require that the Council ensures the HRA continues to be sustainable and stable by maintaining and delivering a robust 30 year Business Plan. The HRA Business Plan and Treasury Management Strategy are both crucial elements in mitigating the risk of financial instability or non-sustainability for our local HRA housing stock. Both our tenants and the Council have a greater degree of influence under the new system but this increased level of local influence operates within the context of a framework where rent levels will continue to be set nationally, where the housing stock is required, at a minimum, to meet the Decent Homes standard, and where a continued good level of service needs to be delivered to local tenants.
- 26. While much has been made of the difficulties experienced by local authority housing operations under the previous system as a result of the fact that financial resources are only made available on an annual basis, it needs to be recognised that the previous system also had important flexibilities built into it. In particular the annual settlement acknowledged issues such as reductions in the level of stock arising from demolition, transfer and right to buy, while it also took account of changes in the costs of operating a housing service. These risks were effectively those of central government

and the national housing pool. With the introduction of HRA reform these risks now need to be managed locally, and they emphasise the importance of robust local planning and financial control in the effective management of our Housing stock.

RECOMMENDATIONS

27. The recommendations arising from this Appendix are set out in section 6 of the covering report.

APPENDIX 2 - TABLE 1

Actual 2014/15 £	Details of Expenditure	Original Budget 2015/16 £	Estimated Outturn 2015/16 £	Base Budget 2016/17 £	Forecast 2017/18 £	Forecast 2018/19 £
	HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT					
	Expenditure					
4,370,846	Repairs and Maintenance	4,654,184	4,576,228	4,771,769	4,812,336	4,870,251
4,346,056	Supervision and Management	4,790,798	4,846,275	4,785,286	4,829,742	4,859,033
	Special Services	633,369	620,187	624,951	637,600	652,148
	Supporting People	797,989	765,222	0	0	(
	Supporting People Wardens	0	0	586,724	590,323	591,383
	Supporting People Central Control	0	0	205,340	206,980	208,70
	Tenants Participation	100,858	87,863	86,702	87,416	98,13
	Increase in Bad Debts Provision	200,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	100,000
	Cost of Capital - Interest	3,351,435	3,346,191	3,230,022	3,259,904	3,243,779
	Cost of Capital - Debt Repayment	3,500,000	3,500,000	1,015,667	1,140,594	1,226,190
	Debt Management Expenses	11,125	9,500	10,688	12,024	13,527
0	Contingency for Pay Award	90,117	0	0	0	(
17,195,415	Total Expenditure	18,129,875	17,851,466	15,417,149	15,676,919	15,863,153
	Income					
(20.910.016)	inte entre	(21,378,789)	(21,117,543)	(20,861,075)	(20,659,104)	(20,459,083
	Repairs and Maintenance	(16,490)	(9.345)	(10,345)	(10,345)	(10.345
	Supervision and Management	(3,480)	(3,480)	(3,480)	(3,480)	(3,480
	Special Services	(354,576)	(332,825)	(341,680)	(347,915)	(354,567
	Supporting People	(772,956)	(735,638)	0	(0 11 ,0 10)	(001,001
	Supporting People Wardens	(112,000)	(700,000)	(179,570)	(181,545)	(183,542
	Supporting People Central Control	0	0	(200,200)	(202,402)	(204,629
	Leased Flats	(15,970)	(16,000)	(16,000)	(16,000)	(16,000
(7,486)	Leased Shops	(2,650)	(2,650)	(2,650)	(2,650)	(2,650
(2,097)	Empty Properties back into use	(4,000)	0	0	0	
(22,016,335)	Total Income	(22,548,911)	(22,217,481)	(21,614,999)	(21,423,441)	(21,234,295
	Appropriations					
2,212,201	Depreciation	2,210,234	2,383,034	2,383,034	2,383,034	2,383,034
1,516,572	T/f to/(from) Major Repairs Reserve	1,718,766	1,549,973	3,473,616	2,993,235	2,934,535
3,728,773		3,929,000	3,933,007	5,856,650	5,376,269	5,317,56
50,000	Contribution to Insurance Reserve	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000	50,000
	Cont to Development Reserve	0	0	100,000	200,000	(
	Cont to Vehicle Reserve	425,000	445,000	180,000	85,000	(
	Use of Reserves	0	(68,985)	0	0	(
	Use of Unapplied Revenue Grant	0	(3,000)	0	0	(
1,072,312		475,000	423,015	330,000	335,000	50,000
(19,835)	Net Operating (Surplus) / Deficit	(15,036)	(9,993)	(11,200)	(35,253)	(3,573
(1.861.322)	Working Balance at Start of Year	(1,881,158)	(1,881,158)	(1,891,151)	(1,902,351)	(1,937,604
	Contribution to/(from) Balances	(1,001,130) (15.036)	(1,001,130) (9,993)	(1,091,151)	(35,253)	(1,937,004
				11200		1.5.5/3
	Working Balance at End of Year	(1,896,194)	(1,891,151)	(1,902,351)	(1,937,604)	(1,941,177

HRA - Fees and Charges 2016/17

Appendix 2 - Table 2

Section A - Charges Made over 48 Weeks

Type of Charge		per Week	Differe	nce
	Current	Proposed	3	%
a. Heating	9.44	9.63	0.19	2.0
Bedsit (sheltered)	13.39	13.66	0.27	2.0
1 bed flat (sheltered)	24.95	25.45	0.50	2.0
1 bed bungalow	14.87	15.17	0.30	2.0
2 bed bungalow	19.77	20.17	0.40	2.0
2 bed flat	22.39	22.84	0.45	2.0
b. Wardens Service				
Static (self funded)	12.86	13.12	0.26	2.0
Mobile (self funded)	3.77	4.28	0.51	13.5
	0		0.01	
c. Wardens Service (DCC funded)				
Static (DCC)	13.10	(Fixed by cont	ract-	
Mobile (DCC)		awaiting DCC		
Alarm Monitoring (DCC)	2.55		,	
d. Special Services				
Special Services	15.08	15.38	0.30	2.0
e. Buggy Parking				
Buggy Parking (inc Electicity)	3.60	3.70	0.10	2.8
00, 0, 0,				
f. Garages				
Garages (Direct Debit)	8.70	8.87	0.17	2.0
Garages (other)	11.50	11.75	0.25	2.2
O				

Section B - Charges Made over 48 Weeks

Type of Charge	Charge per	Week	Differe	nce
	Current Pro	posed	3	%
g. Lifelines (inc Monitoring) Lifeline Bronze	4.45	4.54	0.09	2.0
Lifeline Gold Lifeline RSL	6.85 4.25	6.99 4.35	0.14 0.10	2.0 2.4

Section C - Charges Made over 48 Weeks

Type of Charge	Charge per W	/eek Dif	fference
	Current Prop	osed £	%
Garage plot	185.00 1	90.00 5.	00 2.7

APPENDIX 2, Table 3

HOUSING REVENUE ACCOUNT: RISK REGISTER

Risk and Mitigation in Place	Gross Value of Risk £'s	Probability	Potential Impact £'s
 With effect from April 2012 the Government introduced a new financial regime to manage the HRA. This reform effectively transferred a number of risks from the national HRA pool to individual local authorities. These include reduction in property numbers from RTB, demolition, changes in HRA legislative and rent setting framework etc. While the new system brings with it uncertainty and associated risks the flexibility incorporated within the system means that risks are more likely to materialise in respect of the longer term sustainability of the HRA. The indicative figures provided cover the initial year impact only. While the Council will monitor the impact of trends in respect of the HRA through its budgets and the Business Plan it needs to be recognised that many of the risks arise from situations beyond the Council's direct control such as the loss of stock through Right to Buy, or through elements of the housing stock not being economically sustainable. 	£2,000,000	10%	£200,000
 Rental collections fall as a result of the wider economic position and major changes being introduced to the welfare system. Assumed income levels have been calculated on the basis of previous experience and are based on prudent assumptions with appropriate bad debt provisions in place. The Council will work with tenants to ensure adequate support is available to keep rent payments up to date. The Council has recently strengthened its procedures for the recovery of arrears and has established appropriate financial provisions should write offs be required. 	£500,000	25%	£125,000

Risk and Mitigation in Place	Gross Value of Risk £'s	Probability	Potential Impact £'s
 3. The level of void property is above the budgeted allowance. Void levels have fluctuated quite significantly as major works and plans are undertaken. A void allowance is built into the main rental budgets to minimise this variance. 	£500,000	25%	£125,000
 4. There are unanticipated pressures on demand led budgets such as repairs and maintenance, or costs or income fall outside of the budgeted position. All budgets are based on previous experience of expenditure / income and should be sufficiently robust to cope with the expected range of fluctuation. 	£1,000,000	30%	£300,000
 5. A significant Business Continuity issue arises. The Council have developing Business Continuity Plans which should reduce these risks. Appropriate insurance arrangements are in place. In exceptional circumstances Central Government has provided an element of financial support. 	£2,000,000	10%	£200,000
 6. Capital Expenditure Any significant overspend on the capital programme may require an additional contribution from the HRA to finance. Regular contract management and capital budget monitoring meetings will manage the HRA capital programme. The risk on much of the Programme can be managed by reducing the number of Commitments entered into. There are however two projects with a total value approaching £6m where this approach is not appropriate. 	£6,000,000	10%	£600,000
Calculated Potential Financial Impact of Identified Risks			£1,425,000

APPENDIX 3

Capital Programme

Introduction

- 1. This Appendix considers the Medium Term Financial Plan as it relates to the Council's capital programme.
- 2. The provisional capital budgets for both 2015/16 (Revised Programme) and 2016/7 (Original Programme) are shown in Table 1 to this Appendix. The table also details the forecast investment planned for both 2017/18 and 2018/19.
- 3. It should be noted that there will be a separate report to Council concerning the Council's Treasury Management Strategy. That report includes consideration of issues concerning borrowing and leasing which provide the capital financing to enable the proposed capital budgets outlined within this report to proceed. Given its links with the budget process the Treasury Management Strategy will be considered by the budget setting Council on 3rd February 2016. With regard to both the HRA and the General Fund elements of the Capital Programme asset surveys have been completed which are informing future investment priorities. In the case of the HRA the Capital Programme is effectively fully funded by HRA resources and needs to ensure that assets are replaced at the point in time when they are at the end of their useful operational life. This process is informed by the stock condition survey. A particular issue for the HRA is that its capital investment strategy will need to be shaped by the requirement to undertake replacement of items such as bathrooms and kitchens as they are due for replacement at the end of their effective life. This results in investment needs being concentrated into certain periods rather than being spread evenly over the 30 year life of the Business Plan. Accordingly at certain points in time the HRA needs to generate financial balances to fund the level of investment required in future financial years. A clear consequence of poor financial planning will be deterioration in the quality of the homes currently enjoyed by our tenants.
- 4. The Council will continue with its policy of using prudential borrowing rather than operating leases in areas such as vehicles and leisure equipment. Officers are of the view that flexibility over length of ownership, access to better interest rates and the financial savings which arise from being owner of the property secure longer term financial savings, which outweigh any benefits that leasing may provide.
- 5. An overview of the scale of the current approved and proposed capital programme is provided in the table below:

	2015/16 Current £,000	2015/16 Revised £,000	2016/17 Original £,000	2017/18 Original £,000	2018/19 Original £,000
General Fund	6,163	4,052	6,058	928	1,067
Schemes					
HRA Schemes	7,201	5,821	11,604	10,028	9,651
Total	13,364	9,873	17,662	10,956	10,718

Capital Programme – Estimated Outturn 2015/16

6. The Revised Programme in respect of the current financial year, which is detailed in Appendix 3 Table 1, shows a net decrease of £3.491m (£13.364m to £9.873m) over the Current Programme. The majority of this decrease in expenditure (£2.286m) relates to the decision not to proceed with the Mini Hub at Bolsover, which is offset by increased expenditure arising from the replacement scheme (£1.4m net saving), with the costs on the replacement schemes to be incurred in 2016/17. In addition a further £0.455m reduction in respect of the new Council homes project, together with £0.925m of general housing capital work are to be slipped from the current year into 2016/17.

General Fund Programme 2015/16 to 2018/19

- 7. Within the General Fund table are the capital expenditure plans for 2015/16 and future years. The following sections summarise the current position and outlines the key schemes.
- With regard to the General Fund the Stock Condition survey has provided 8. indicative details of the longer term refurbishment requirement of the Council's assets. In order to recognise the requirement to undertake necessary refurbishment work the Programme has a recommended provision of £250k for each of the years 2016/17 to 2018/19 in order to fund work in line with the Asset Management Plan. It is recommended that the existing delegation to the Assistant Director (Property and Estates) in consultation with the Portfolio Member and the Asset Management Group to approve the utilisation of £0.100m of the Asset Management Allocation should be extended. This delegation will allow any items where work is required immediately to proceed in a timely fashion. In particular this facility is helpful when it is necessary to undertake work to premises in order to secure a long term tenancy, or to authorise urgent renovation work. Expenditure authorised under this route will continue to be reported back to Executive through the Quarterly Budget Monitoring Report. One item that it is appropriate to consider for funding from this allocation of £0.250m is the replacement of the boilers at the Clowne office facility. Under the contract for the enhanced Leisure facility provision has been made to relocate and reinstall the existing boilers. Given that the boilers to heat the existing facility are now in excess of 7 years old officers are of the view that the most cost effective solution would be to replace the existing boilers with new ones. Whilst the detailed feasibility work needs to be

undertaken officers are of the view that in terms of lifetime costs it would be more cost effective to replace now, and to eliminate the need to replace in 3 to 4 years time. This would also facilitate earlier securing of energy efficiency savings. Should it be decided to proceed these costs will be funded from within the recommended delegated approval of £100,000 in respect of Asset Management Plan work

- 9. As a background to the Asset Management Plan and the Capital Programme it is helpful to have an awareness of the key buildings which are currently operated by the Council are as follows:
 - Project Horizon Office / Admin Buildings. The main administration buildings at Clowne and at the Riverside Depot are both modern buildings and are anticipated to require minimal maintenance over the next 10 years. During 2015/16 the major change to the Approved Programme was that Council agreed a revised arrangement for the replacement contact centre at Bolsover. Rather than pursue the option of a new build contact centre the Council is looking to refurbish a former bank on Cotton Street to accommodate the contact centre (co located with Job Centre Plus), whilst partner organisations will be relocated into a refurbished Oxcroft House. This revised approach provides the Council with a better located contact centre, secures a sustainable future for Oxcroft House, will allow the reinstatement of the Middle Street car park, whilst freeing up the Sherwood Lodge site for commercial development. In addition to facilitating a comprehensive redevelopment of parts of the Town Centre the scheme that has been agreed is more cost effective for the District than the original proposal. In addition to the work taking place at Bolsover the Council currently has an approved scheme within the Capital Programme to provide a replacement contact centre at Shirebrook in a joint scheme with the Town Council. The upgrading of facilities at Bolsover and Shirebrook will mean that all four contact centre (Bolsover, Clowne, Shirebrook and South Normanton) are now modern fit for purpose facilities.
 - The Tangent Shirebrook Again a modern building with little maintenance requirement anticipated over the next 10 years.
 - Pleasley Vale Mills : The Council submitted a Heritage Lottery Bid for the Asset in the spring of 2014 which was unsuccessful. Whilst the building is likely to have a limited capital requirement for the next five years or so the reality is that a major refurbishment is likely to be necessary within a 10 year period. Although the cost cannot be forecast with certainty until a more detailed scheme is developed officers would anticipate that it would amount to at least £5m which is unlikely to be affordable from within the Council's anticipated capital resources. Officers are therefore continuing to explore options in respect of the site, including those of a partnership with the private sector. In the current year (2015/16) the Council has spent £0.150m on the Mills which included work to the roof and fire alarm system.
 - Leisure Swimming Pool / Fixed site facilities. Executive at its meeting of 5th January 2015 agreed a strategy to develop a new swimming pool facility at Clowne to replace the existing provision at Cresswell. The

proposed programme of £4m is now incorporated into the Capital Programme given in Table 1, on the basis that it will be funded from a combination of £2m from the Transformation Reserve and £2m from prudential borrowing. The scheme commenced on site towards the end of 2016 and it is anticipated that it will be completed and available for use early in 2017. On completion it is anticipated that the new facility will require minimal maintenance. Executive should note that the work undertaken in respect of Cresswell Pool has indicated a likely refurbishment / upgrading cost over the next five years in the region of £0.5m. Whilst work may need to be undertaken to maintain services at Clowne the cost of that work will need to be contained within the revised Asset Management Plan capital allocation as set out within this report.

- ICT infrastructure The overall cost of this work over the period of the current MTFP (April 2015 onwards) is one of £0.245m which will be funded from a combination of revenue contribution and capital receipts.
- Disabled Facilities Grants £1.480m over the period of the current MTFP. This expenditure is partly funded by grant of £1m, however, Council should, however, note that the DFG budget is a demand led one and that the Council has an obligation to meet the identified needs of local residents. The DFG expenditure and associated grant funding arrangements will be monitored carefully with any changes from the approved programme being reported back to Members.
- The vehicle replacement programme (£3.101m) is an ongoing programme to replace operational vehicles as they reach the end of their economic life. The financing is planned to be via prudential borrowing over the life of the assets replaced.
- The Leisure services fitness equipment amounts to £0.550m over the life of the Programme and is an ongoing programme to provide and replace fitness equipment on the basis that these costs will be covered by the revenue income that they generate. Outright purchase funded by prudential borrowing is a more cost effective way to finance these income generating assets than the leasing method previously utilised.
- 10. The sections above have outlined the main elements of the Programme and how they will be financed. For those scheme where no specific funding has been identified the work will need to be funded by Capital Receipts, or prudential borrowing. In order to ensure the continued funding of the capital programme it is recommended that the Asset Management Group be requested to develop options in terms of asset sales with which to fund the capital programme in respect of future years. It should be noted that asset sales of unutilised or underutilised assets generally also secure both revenue savings for the Council whilst encouraging investment from the purchaser of the asset concerned. This makes an important contribution to securing the ongoing regeneration of the District and to delivering the Council's growth agenda.

HRA Capital Expenditure 2015/16 to 2018/19

- 25. The major part of the Council's Capital Programme relates to work on council dwellings. Under the new HRA self financing arrangements local authorities are required to fund the capital necessary to maintain their houses in line with the decent homes standard either from the revenue generated by the HRA, or by borrowing up to the level of the housing debt cap which has been set by the Government (£112.350m in the case of BDC). A key objective of developing a 30 year Business Plan is to ensure that the Council is in a position to maintain tenants homes, and that the necessary level of capital expenditure on the properties can be afforded. The following sections summarise the current position and outlines the key schemes.
- 26. The Council's capital programme for 2015/16 in respect of its Housing Assets amounts to £5.821m. The majority of this expenditure relates to the routine upgrading of existing Council homes where an amount of £4.579m will be spent to maintain properties at the Decent Homes standard. There is a recommended increase in this Decent Homes allocation to one of £5.857m in 2016/17, with £5.307m in 2017/18 and £5.248m in 2018/19. The work that will be undertaken in order to maintain good quality houses includes structural work such as reroofing, external wall insulation, replacement doors and windows, to internal upgrades including heating, new kitchens and bathrooms. Table 1 to this Appendix sets out the specific details
- 27. Within the current years programme an amount of £0.470m relates to the first phase of the B@home programme, which has a total approved cost of £4.119m. To date work on site has commenced at Rodgers Avenue Cresswell which will see the construction of 7 homes out the 100 to be provided under the overall approved B@home programme. This work will be funded from prudential borrowing, with the evaluation of the scheme concluding that the rental stream from these new properties will cover costs including debt repayment.
- 28. Work has also commenced on the initial stages of the New Bolsover project where £0.350m will be expended prior to start on site. The Council has successfully applied to the Heritage Lottery Fund to fund £2m of the costs of the scheme, with the total project currently anticipated to cost £10.3m. The project will secure the refurbishment of 194 heritage properties, with funding from a combination of heritage lottery, HRA revenue resources and prudential borrowing. A more detailed report is being taken to the meeting of Executive to be held on 1st February.
- 29. HRA Vehicle Replacement £1.042m The majority of this expenditure is due to take place in the current financial year with a planned expenditure of £0.7m. While this is a significant expenditure it is necessary if the Council is to operate a cost effective repairs and maintenance service responsive to the requirements of our tenants. These vehicles which were previously funded via leasing arrangements will

now be funded from the Vehicle Reserve set up within the HRA accounts which provides a more cost effective financing option.

Capital Programme Risk Assessment – 2016/17

- 16. A full Risk Assessment is set out in **Table 2**, which outlines the risks, the mitigation which is in place, the potential impact and the probability in order to arrive at a notional calculation concerning the potential financial impact of the risks which the Council is facing with regard to the proposed 2016/17 capital programme. This indicates that the identified risks which the Council is facing in respect of its 2016/17 Capital Programme amount to £1.150m. Should any of these risks arise then all possible financing options will be explored, however, if all these risks materialise then it may be necessary ultimately to charge these costs against General Fund or HRA balances.
- 17. As is the case in respect of both the General Fund and the HRA the assessment concerning the level of risk is essentially used for two purposes. In the first place an understanding of the risks which the Council faces is crucial in agreeing an appropriate level of financial reserves which are required. Secondly, the identification of the risks is the first stage in the process of more effectively managing, or of mitigating those risks. By identifying the risks it is possible to avoid them, to insure against them, to transfer the risk, or most likely actions can be taken to reduce or to mitigate the risk. The Council has in place a comprehensive approach to Risk Management which is reported on a quarterly basis to Cabinet, and this process will be utilised in order to manage the key financial risks.

Recommendations:

18. The recommendations arising from this Appendix are set out in section 6 of the covering report.

APPENDIX 3 - TABLE 1

Fund	l i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Current	Revised	Original	Original	Original
		Programme 2015/16		-	-	Programme 2018/19
		2015/16	2015/16 £	2016/17 £	2017/18 £	2018/19 £
	General Fund	12.1	10		2512	10
	Assets					
	AMP - PV Mills	149,630	149,630	0	0	0
	AMP - The Arc	16,240 5,580	16,240 5,580	0	0	0
	AMP - Former Community Houses AMP - Leisure Buildings	50,360	50,360	0	ő	0
	PV Mills Creative Industries	11,253	11,253	ő	ő	0
	AMP - Prior to Exec Approval	300,000	0	0	0	0
	AMP - Refurbishment Work	0	50,000	250,000	250,000	250,000
ASS	CCTV - Various Sites	29,322	29,322	0	0	0
	Purchase - 3 Cotton St Bolsover	185,000	186,850	0	0	0
	Refurbishment - 3 Cotton St Bolsover	50,000	142,500	7,500	0	0
	Refurbishment - Oxcroft House Middle Street Car Park	0	30,000	720,000	0	0
	Shirebrook Contact Centre	66,000	20,000	122,000	0	0
	Council Chamber	00,000	85,500	122,000	ő	0
		863,385	787,235	1,099,500	250,000	250,000
	Project Horizon					
	ICT infrastructure - Project Horizon	58,483	28,504	0	0	0
	Bolsover Mini Hub Clowne Campus - Refurbishment	2,286,307 23.076	23.076	0	0	0
	Sherwood Lodge Disposal	78,000	78,000	ŏ	ő	0
- Con	chemota coage biopota	2,445,866	129,580	0	0	Ő
		2,440,000	120,000			Ĩ
	ICT Schemes					
	ICT infrastructure	95,906	55,932	24,000	114,000	51,000
	Idox Uniform System	1,859	1,859	0	0	0
	Automated Payment Machines Fleet Management System	54,333 6,890	54,333	0	0	0
101	Tieet management bystern	158,988	112,124	24,000	114,000	51,000
	Leisure Schemes	,				
LEI	Clune Street Recreation Ground	6,251	6,251	0	0	0
LEI	P Vale Outdoor Education Centre Ph 2	41,134	41,134	0	0	0
LEI	Fitness Equipment Creswell Leisure Centre	0	0	0	60,000	
	Fitness Equipment Clowne Leisure Centre	0	0	340,042	0	0
	Flume Clowne Leisure Centre	0	0	150,000	0	0
LEI	Clowne Leisure Facility	500,000	736,500	3,308,500	0	0
	Private Sector Schemes	547,385	783,885	3,798,542	60,000	0
PS		366,708	366,708	370,000	370,000	370,000
-	Group Repair (WT)	2,674	2,674	0,000	0	0,000
PS		1,270	1,270	0	0	ō
PS		9,579	9,579	0	0	0
PS	Station Road Shirebrook	1,340	1,340	0	0	0
		381,571	381,571	370,000	370,000	370,000
	Vehicles and Plant					
VEH	Vehicle Replacements	1,756,741	1,848,831	757,000	125,000	387,000
VEH	8 x Hedgecutters (GM)	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000	4,000
VEH	10 x Strimmers (GM)	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000	5,000
	Total General Fund	1,765,741 6,162,936	1,857,831 4,052,226	766,000 6,058,042	134,000 928,000	396,000
	Total General Fully	0,102,930	4,002,220	0,000,042	920,000	1,007,000
	Housing Revenue Account					
	Public Sector Housing (funded by MRA)	0	0	1,785,650	5,306,949	5,248,249
	New Build Properties	925,250	470,000	2,413,811	1,234,894	0
	Vehicle Replacements	761,212	771,783	186,000	84,000	0
	External Wall Insulation	585,834	561,413	220,000	0	0
	Electrical Upgrades Group Dwollings Safety Work	250,000	198,381	200,000	0	0
	Group Dwellings Safety Work	250,000	0 551	100,000	0	0
	Cavity W all + Loft Insulation External Door Replacements	24,692 251,813	8,551 197,588	20,000	0	0
	Heating Upgrades	1,225,987	1,195,730	1,200,000	0	0
	Environmental Works	100,000	100,000	100,000	ő	ő
						ő
HRA	Decent Homes - External	412,855	110,273	9,680	0	

HRA	GD Boiler Replacement / Heat Meters	350,000	104.570	200.000	0	0	
	New Bolsover	350,000	350,000	3,334,000	3,333,000	4.333.000	
HRA	Regeneration Mgmt & Admin	69,320	69.320	69.320	69.320	69,320	
	Re Roofing	1,060,000	1,060,000	800,000	0	0	
	Sprinkler Systems	16,000	0	16.000	0	0	
	Flat Roofing	50,000	50,000	50,000	0	0	
	Rent Collection Software	11,900	11,900	00,000	0	0	
	Central Control Equipment	11,000	54,750	ŏ	ŏ	ŏ	
11100	Total HRA	7,201,709	5,821,105	11,604,461	10,028,163	9,650,569	
	Total Tink	1,201,100	0,021,100	11,001,101	10,020,100	0,000,000	
	TOTAL CAPITAL EXPENDITURE	13,364,645	9,873,331	17,662,503	10,956,163	10,717,569	
<u> </u>		100.00000000000000000000000000000000000					
	Capital Financing General Fund						
	Specified Capital Grant	(250,000)	(250.000)	(253,292)	(253,292)	(253,292)	
	Private Sector Contributions	(29,322)	(46.022)	(200,202)	(200,292)	(200,292)	
	Prudential Borrowing	(1.756.741)	(1.825.241)	-	(185.000)	(387.000)	
	Reserves	(959,988)	(1,196,864)	(1,418,500)	(123,000)	(60,000)	
	External Funding				(123,000)	(60,000)	
		(9,000)	(10,955)	(45,000)	-	-	
	Capital Receipts	(3,157,885)	(723,144)	(1,094,208)	(366,708)	(366,708)	
	117.4	(6,162,936)	(4,052,226)	(6,058,042)	(928,000)	(1,067,000)	
	HRA	(m. 100 m. 100 m.)			(
	Major Repairs Allowance	(5,495,347)	(4,512,672)	(7,004,650)			
	Prudential Borrowing	(925,250)	(470,000)	(3,747,811)	(2,567,894)	(2,433,000)	
	Vehicle Reserve	(739,712)	(750,283)	(186,000)	(84,000)	0	
	HRA - Direct Revenue Financing	(11,900)	(66,650)	0	0	0	
	External Funding	(29,500)	(21,500)	(666,000)	(667,000)	(667,000)	
		(7,201,709)	(5,821,105)	(11,604,461)	(10,028,163)	(9,650,569)	
	TOTAL CAPITAL FINANCING	(13,364,645)	(9,873,331)	(17,662,503)	(10,956,163)	(10,717,569)	
	HRA Capital Reserves						
	Major Repairs Reserve						
	Opening Balance	(5,947,149)	(4,380,802)	(3,801,137)	(2,653,137)	(1,320,137)	
	Amount due in Year						
	Amount used in Year	(3,929,000)	(3,933,007)				
		5,495,347	4,512,672	7,004,650	6,709,269		
	Closing Balance	(4,380,802)	(3,801,137)	(2,653,137)	(1,320,137)	(257,137)	
	Development Reserve						
	Opening Balance	(797,483)	(797,483)	(797,483)	(897,483)	(1.097,483)	
	Amount due in Year	0	0	(100,000)	(200,000)	0	
	Amount used in Year	0	ő	0	(200,000)	0	
	Closing Balance	(797,483)	(797,483)	(897,483)	(1,097,483)	(1,097,483)	
	ereening balance	(101,103)	(101,403)	(001,100)	(1,001,400)	(1,007,403)	
	Vehicle Reserve						
	Opening Balance	(315,994)	(315,994)	(10,711)	(4,711)	(5,711)	
	Amount due in Year	(425,000)	(445,000)	(180,000)	(85,000)	0	
	Amount used in Year	739,712	750.283	186.000	84.000	0	
	Closing Balance	(1,282)	(10,711)	(4,711)	(5,711)	(5,711)	
		(1,202)	(,	(1). (1)	(5,111)	(0,111)	

CAPITAL PROGRAMME RISK REGISTER – 2015/16 APPENDIX 3, TABLE 2

	sk and Mitigation in Place	Gross Value of Risk £'s	Probabi lity	Potential Impact £'s
1.	 Cost Overruns on Approved Projects Financial monitoring including formal reports to Members is undertaken on a regular basis which should enable mitigating action to be taken. The Council has robust programme /project management arrangements in place. The Financial Risk Registers in respect of both General Fund and HRA include the risk of an unfunded overspend arising on the Capital Programme. 	£17,000,000	5%	£850,000
2.	 Reduction in the forecast level of capital resources. The assumptions that have been made in respect of 2016/17 are realistic and prudent. Should any issues be identified which casts doubt upon the level of resources included in the Programme then Officers will take any necessary actions to reduce the level of expenditure commitments. 	£1,000,000	10%	£100,000
3.	 An unanticipated capital requirement arises which requires funding as a matter of urgency. Existing approved projects may need to be reprofiled into future years Additional capital resources may need to be identified A charge against revenue balances may need to be considered. 	£500,000	40%	£200,000
	Iculated Potential Financial Impact Identified Risks			£1,150,000

Bolsover District Council

Executive

1st February 2016

Creswell Leisure Centre Future Options

Report of the Joint Assistant Director - Leisure

This report is public

Purpose of the Report

To agree the establishment of a working group to explore options and proposals for the future of Creswell Leisure Centre.

1 <u>Report Details</u>

Background

At Executive on Monday 5Th January 2015, it was resolved that;

0674. EXEMPT – PARAGRAPH 3

LEISURE FACILITIES: TOWARDS A SUSTAINABLE SERVICE – NEXT STEPS

Officers work with partners including CISWO, the Charities Commission, the Parish Council and community groups to identify options for the future use of Creswell Leisure Centre

Since this resolution, officers and a selection of elected members have worked with others to develop, as a starting point for discussions, an initial paper highlighting a potential future proposal for Creswell Leisure Centre.

It is understood that the facility has a great deal of heritage and affection associated with it and has been a focal point for both the immediate and surrounding communities with several generations of the same families sharing experiences and memories over many years of activity enjoyed at the facility.

Options for the future use of the facility are wide and varied, however in keeping with almost any project, sustainability has to be a key consideration.

It is proposed that a steering group is developed and led by the District Council with a remit of working with others to explore options and bring back options for consideration and approval to the Council. It is proposed that this steering group would include the following stakeholders;

- BDC officers and elected members
- Elmton with Creswell Parish Council
- Elmton with Creswell Big Local group
- Creswell Crags
- BDC Partnership Team
- CISWO
- Charities Commission
- Creswell History Group

Terms of reference of the steering group will be drafted in advance of and agreed at the first meeting of the group. It is proposed that the steering group would have a core composition of BDC officers and elected members with an option to co-opt others as and when appropriate to do so.

It is envisaged that the creation of a steering group could also be the catalyst for collaboration that could improve the areas attraction as a destination.

2 <u>Conclusions and Reasons for Recommendation</u>

Members have previously approved officers to work with others to identify options for the future use of Creswell Leisure Centre.

This proposal presents a mechanism to achieve this along with a discussion paper to act as an example of a future option.

3 <u>Consultation and Equality Impact</u>

A District wide consultation upon the decision to cease the current operation at the Creswell facility has been undertaken previously, broad representation at the steering group will ensure appropriate consultation and consideration of equality impact will continue throughout the lifespan of the steering group.

An equalities impact assessment will be created and become a dynamic document which will inform the steering group's actions throughout.

4 Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection

BDC to determine the future along with CISWO and Charities Commission in isolation – **rejected** as this approach would possibly not allow for the opportunities through collaborating with others to be explored fully.

5 Implications

5.1 Finance and Risk Implications

The new facility at Clowne is due to open in January 2017, the current operations at Creswell are anticipated to cease immediately prior to this.

The staff currently employed at Creswell will be transferred and become part of the Clowne team once the new facility opens.

Dependant upon the final decision for the future of Creswell Leisure Centre, operating requirements need to be established including but not limited to the following;

- Revenue budget both interim and future operation
- Capital budget
- Continuity of elements of existing provision ie squash courts/ gym
- Refurbishment/project planning
- Health and Safety and security during closure/transformation
- New programme of activities

5.2 Legal Implications including Data Protection

- The current legal position is:
- Bolsover District Council hold the baths pursuant to a charitable scheme dated July 1954;
- The baths are to used "as public swimming baths for the benefit of inhabitants of the Rural District of Clowne and the neighbourhood"
- We can not sell without the consent of the CISWO and the Charity Commission;
- Any sale proceeds must be used for purposes connected to "the social wellbeing, recreation and condition of living of workers in or about coal mines as the Charity Commissioners may approve"

The legal position needs further exploration with CISWO and the Charities Commission.

5.3 <u>Human Resources Implications</u>

A process of assimilation will take place with the staff currently employed at Creswell being transferred to the new Clowne facility once open.

6 <u>Recommendations</u>

That members approve the formation of the steering group and the proposed membership to explore the future options for Creswell Leisure Centre. That the steering group develops an appropriate business case for future usage of Creswell Leisure Centre for Cabinet to consider by October 2016.

7 <u>Decision Information</u>

Is the decision a Key Decision? (A Key Decision is one which results in income or expenditure to the Council of £50,000 or more or which has a significant impact on two or more District wards)	No
District Wards Affected	Directly – Elmton with Creswell Indirectly - all
Links to Corporate Plan priorities or Policy Framework	

8 <u>Document Information</u>

Appendix No	Title					
N/A	/A					
 Background Papers (These are unpublished works which have been relied on to a material extent when preparing the report. They must be listed in the section below. If the report is going to Cabinet (NEDDC) or Executive (BDC) you must provide copies of the background papers) N/A 						
Report Author Contact Number						
Lee Hickin – JA	DLEISURE	01246 242225				

Report Reference -