

**Bolsover District Council**

**Executive**

**10<sup>th</sup> September 2018**

<p><b>Renewal of roofs, soffits and fascias and asbestos removal at Hides Green and The Paddock, Bolsover</b></p>
---

**Report of Councillor H. Gilmour, Portfolio Holder for Housing and Community Safety**

This report is public

**Purpose of the Report**

- To appoint a contractor to carry out replacements of roofs, soffits and fascias and asbestos removal to council flats at Hides Green and The Paddock, Bolsover.
- Approval of recommendation to appoint following full tender evaluation.

**1 Report Details**

- 1.1 A tender exercise has been undertaken to identify and appoint a suitable contractor to carry out replacements of roofs, soffits and fascias and asbestos removal to council flats at Hides Green and The Paddock, Bolsover as required and advised by Housing Services.
- 1.2 Housing have identified that prior to the commencement of the Safe and Warm scheme, the roofs, soffits and fascias require replacement. During initial surveys it was discovered that the soffits are AIB (Asbestos Insulated Board) which must be removed by a licenced asbestos removal contractor and because of the likely substantial value of the works, a formal procurement process would need to be undertaken.
- 1.3 An open tender was advertised on Source Derbyshire. Bidders were invited to submit prices against a detailed schedule of works. The returned 4 bids were opened on Friday 17<sup>th</sup> August 2018 by Procurement Services.
- 1.4 The tender sums are based on a detailed schedule of rates which correspond to a series of detailed schedules of work specific to each property and a list of additional items which may be encountered across the project.
- 1.5 The evaluation sessions of the submitted prices and supporting information was undertaken by officers of Housing Services and Property Services on Wednesday 22<sup>nd</sup> August 2018.
- 1.6 In accordance with the published assessment criteria the tenders were evaluated on the following basis;

- PRICE 70%
- QUALITY 30%

## 1.7 PRICE

The lowest price returned was awarded the full 70% score and was set as the benchmark against all other price submissions as per the standard procedure of Procurement Services. Subsequent prices were then deducted percentage points in relation to how much they exceeded the lowest price.

## 1.8 QUALITY

Quality Evaluation Category	Weighting
Returned References / Evidence of Competence	6%
Relevant Experience of Project Completion	6%
Contract Management	6%
Tenant Liaison	6%
Resources (incl. sub-contracting)	6%

## 2 Conclusions and Reasons for Recommendation

2.1 The combined price and quality scores of the contractors has been calculated and of the 4 contractors that submitted a bid the lowest overall contractor based on the price and evaluation score was Avonside Roofing Ltd.

2.2 The Tender Sums received were as follows;

£416,288.24  
£460,113.00  
£530,152.84  
£605,908.96

2.3 The price/quality combined scores returned from the evaluation were as follows;

94.00  
81.33  
80.16  
69.69

2.4 The Evaluation group unanimously agreed that the overall most competitive and impressive tender was submitted by Avonside Roofing Ltd

### **3 Consultation and Equality Impact**

3.1 Not directly.

### **4 Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection**

4.1 No alternative options to be recommended at this time.

### **5 Implications**

#### **5.1 Finance and Risk Implications**

5.1.1 The cost for this contract is within existing HRA budgets.

#### **5.2 Legal Implications including Data Protection**

5.2.1 Using BDC's procurement team has ensured we meet our legal obligations for procurement. A formal contract (JCT Minor Works) is to be arranged by Legal Services.

#### **5.3 Human Resources Implications**

5.3.1 N/A

### **6 Recommendations**

6.1 That Members agree to award the contract to Avonside Roofing Ltd for the Tender sum of £416,288.24

6.2 That progress on this contract is reported through the Housing Stock Group.

### **7 Decision Information**

<b>Is the decision a Key Decision?</b> A Key Decision is an executive decision which has a significant impact on two or more District wards or which results in income or expenditure to the Council above the following thresholds: <i>BDC: Revenue - £75,000</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Capital - £150,000</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>NEDDC: Revenue - £100,000</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Capital - £250,000</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Please indicate which threshold applies</i>	Yes
<b>Is the decision subject to Call-In?</b> (Only Key Decisions are subject to Call-In)	Yes
<b>Has the relevant Portfolio Holder been informed</b>	Yes
<b>District Wards Affected</b>	Bolsover

<b>Links to Corporate Plan priorities or Policy Framework</b>	
---	--

## 8 Document Information

Appendix No	Title
<b>Background Papers</b> (These are unpublished works which have been relied on to a material extent when preparing the report. They must be listed in the section below. If the report is going to Cabinet (NEDDC) or Executive (BDC) you must provide copies of the background papers)	
Report Author	Contact Number
David Riley	01246 242319

Report Reference –