| Budget Scrutiny Comments 30/01/2019   | Response   |
|---|--|
| Scrutiny agree that priority should be given to investing<br>within the District, however, don't close the door on<br>investments outside of the District if they make business<br>sense                                  | Agreed and included within the Commercial Property<br>Investment Strategy (the draft strategy)   |
| Would like a broader range of Members on the Property<br>Investment Panel, to include Member(s) of Scrutiny   | This is an Executive function and is not possible due to<br>the role that Scrutiny must play, ensuring a clear division<br>between the role of the Panel and the scrutiny of<br>decisions made by the Executive Board. |
| Would like to see the Terms of Reference for the<br>Property Investment Panel when they have been<br>developed  | Will send through when the Panel is set up and the TOR developed following adoption of the draft strategy at Executive Board   |
| With regard to the commissioning of specialist, expert<br>advice when required – Scrutiny would like assurances<br>that this is required. Need to consider whether we have<br>our own expertise within the Council first. | Noted  |
| Confirmed that social value is an important principle alongside income  | Noted and already within the draft strategy  |
| The importance of 'balanced' risk was emphasized, not just low risk options   | Noted and strengthened in the draft strategy   |
| It was commented that sometimes, greater income can<br>be achieved from investing in some market areas  | Noted, but ethical investments are considered to essential<br>to protect the Council's reputation. Investments should<br>align to the core values and principles outlined within the<br>Corporate Plan                 |
| Long term investments – need to be mindful of potential property deterioration  | Noted and added to the draft strategy. Capital amounts<br>would be kept aside as part of the business case to<br>ensure maintenance of property in the long term   |
| Borrowing – need to be mindful of the accumulative<br>effect of borrowing across the whole of the Council and<br>not just on an individual project basis  | Noted and strengthened with the draft strategy   |

| Joint venture arrangements – comment made not to put<br>all eggs in one basket and to consider other<br>partnerships           | Agreed  |
|--|---|
| Statutory Guidance states that Authorities must 'have<br>regard' to the guidance and therefore are not 'required'<br>to comply | Noted, but the Council would be foolish not to comply with<br>Statutory Guidance and would put itself at risk of potential<br>inquiry / intervention if acting outside of good practice. In<br>addition, if investments went wrong, the Council would be<br>criticised heavily if it hadn't complied with Guidance. The<br>Council's Audit Committee would require adherence to<br>Government Statutory Guidance. |