

The Arc
High Street
Clowne
S43 4JY

To: Chair & Members of the General
Licensing Committee

Contact: Matthew Kerry
Telephone: 01246 242519
Email: matthew.kerry@bolsover.gov.uk

Tuesday, 4th February 2025

Dear Councillor,

GENERAL LICENSING COMMITTEE

You are hereby summoned to attend a meeting of the General Licensing Committee of the Bolsover District Council to be held in the Council Chamber, The Arc, Clowne on Thursday, 13th February, 2025 at 10:00 hours.

Register of Members' Interests - Members are reminded that a Member must within 28 days of becoming aware of any changes to their Disclosable Pecuniary Interests provide written notification to the Authority's Monitoring Officer.

You will find the contents of the agenda itemised on page 3 onwards.

Yours faithfully,



Solicitor to the Council & Monitoring Officer

Equalities Statement

Bolsover District Council is committed to equalities as an employer and when delivering the services it provides to all sections of the community.

The Council believes that no person should be treated unfairly and is committed to eliminating all forms of discrimination, advancing equality and fostering good relations between all groups in society.

Access for All statement

You can request this document or information in another format such as large print or **language** or contact us by:

- **Phone:** [01246 242424](tel:01246242424)
- **Email:** enquiries@bolsover.gov.uk
- **BSL Video Call:** A three-way video call with us and a BSL interpreter. It is free to call Bolsover District Council with Sign Solutions, you just need WiFi or mobile data to make the video call, or call into one of our Contact Centres.
- Call with [Relay UK](#) - a free phone service provided by BT for anyone who has difficulty hearing or speaking. It's a way to have a real-time conversation with us by text.
- **Visiting** one of our [offices](#) at Clowne, Bolsover, Shirebrook and South Normanton

**GENERAL LICENSING COMMITTEE
AGENDA**

*Thursday, 13th February, 2025 at 10:00 hours taking place in the Council Chamber, The
Arc, Clowne*

Item No.		Page No.(s)
1.	Apologies For Absence	
2.	Urgent Items of Business	
	To note any urgent items of business which the Chairman has consented to being considered under the provisions of Section 100(B) 4(b) of the Local Government Act 1972.	
3.	Declarations of Interest	
	Members should declare the existence and nature of any Disclosable Pecuniary Interest and Non Statutory Interest as defined by the Members' Code of Conduct in respect of:	
	a) any business on the agenda;	
	b) any urgent additional items to be considered;	
	c) any matters arising out of those items;	
	and if appropriate, withdraw from the meeting at the relevant time.	
4.	Minutes	4 - 7
	To consider the minutes of the last meeting held on 3 rd October 2024.	
5.	Review of the Council's Taxi Licensing Fees under the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976	8 - 27

Agenda Item 4

GENERAL LICENSING COMMITTEE

Minutes of a meeting of the General Licensing Committee of the Bolsover District Council held in the Council Chamber, The Arc, Clowne on Thursday, 3rd October 2024 at 10:00 hours.

PRESENT:-

Members:-

Councillor Emma Stevenson in the Chair

Councillors Amanda Davis (Vice-Chair), David Bennett, Mary Dooley, Will Fletcher, Jeanne Raspin, Ashley Taylor and Rita Turner.

Officers:- Louise Arnold (Legal Team Manager (Deputy Monitoring Officer)), Charmaine Terry (Environmental Health Team Manager (Licensing)) and Matthew Kerry (Governance and Civic Officer).

GLC1-24/25 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

An apology for absence was received on behalf of Councillor Anne Clarke.

GLC2-24/25 URGENT ITEMS OF BUSINESS

There were no urgent items of business to be considered.

GLC3-24/25 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest made.

GLC4-24/25 MINUTES

Moved by Councillor Rita Turner and seconded by Councillor Mary Dooley

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting of the General Licensing Committee held on 22nd February 2024 be approved as a true and correct record.

GLC5-24/25 REVIEW OF THE COUNCIL'S TAXI LICENSING FEES UNDER THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT (MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS) ACT 1976

The Environmental Health Team Manager for Licensing explained that the Council was responsible for the licensing and regulation of Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Vehicles, Drivers, and Operators under the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976 and the Town Police Clauses Act 1847.

In line with regulation 2(6) of the Local Authority (Functions and Responsibilities) (England) Regulations 2000, fee setting for taxi licensing was a non-Executive function of the Council and decisions were to be taken by the Committee.

GENERAL LICENSING COMMITTEE

Current taxi licensing fees were no longer sufficient to recover costs, resulting in the joint Environmental Health Service conducting a comprehensive review. A table of proposed fee calculations was attached as Appendix 1.

Benchmarking showed that the proposed fees remained competitive with neighbouring local authorities. A comparison table was attached as Appendix 2.

Any proposed variation of fees had to be advertised in a local newspaper and displayed at the Council so they could be readily seen by the public. The Council had to allow at least 28 days for comments to be made on the proposed variation. A draft notice was attached as Appendix 3.

Modifying the fees would ensure the Licensing Service remained cost neutral and recovered all reasonable costs. If the Council retained the current fees, an operating loss would occur for most licence types. For others it could result in overcharging.

To a question on the financial arrangements of holding Sub Committees, the Environmental Health Team Manager for Licensing informed that the holding of Sub Committees was part of the financial calculation and so costs (e.g., Licensing Officer and Legal Officer time, Governance, room hire, maintenance, etc.) were included in the fees.

It was reiterated that any shortfall of revenue was covered by the Council. Subsidising fees across different licences was also not an option. Any changes to legislation, both national and local (e.g., the Taxi Licensing Policy: Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Vehicle (CCTV Supplement)) increased costs. When prosecutions of unlicensed activity occurred, there would be attempts to recover costs.

The Chair sought more information on the checks the Licensing Enforcement Team carried out in their role of monitoring licence holders. The Environmental Health Team Manager for Licensing agreed to provide detailed information on applications, complaints, and interim checks at the next Committee meeting.

Of note was the time it took to carry out paper checks (e.g., DVLA, DBS, and medical checks could take months to complete).

To a further question on physical checks being carried out, the Environmental Health Team Manager for Licensing noted the District had no large town centre, and so the Enforcement Team had to coordinate at key regional sites where activity occurred (e.g., East Midlands Airport).

A previous shortage of Enforcement Officers had also affected capacity to conduct physical checks.

To a question on Derbyshire Constabulary's participation in enforcement efforts, the Environmental Health Team Manager for Licensing noted communication was constantly improving; if the police requested CCTV evidence, the Council would always attempt to provide this in safe time. However, the police were cautious with their own data and would often provide generic responses to information requests.

It was reiterated that while this issue was improving, some drivers who had been arrested by the police in months previous were still licenced by the Council due to this information not being circulated.

GENERAL LICENSING COMMITTEE

The matter had been raised with senior management, and while improvements would be made the police would often return to the default position of withholding information.

The Environmental Health Team Manager for Licensing continued presenting the report, explaining that if licence fees were too affordable, the Council would attract anyone/everyone, but if too expensive it would dissuade potential applicants from applying.

There had been a general increase in costs due to the Cost of Living Crisis, the cost of materials, and the need for additional checks. While efficiency measures had taken place, only a small number of licence type fees had decreased.

To a question on Safeguarding, the Environmental Health Team Manager for Licensing explained there was a specific list of providers that applicants needed to use; these took place in person, not digitally. However, this did need reviewing as only one provider was currently utilised. It was scheduled that these reviews take place every three years.

The Legal Team Manager – Deputy Monitoring Officer questioned the use of only North East Derbyshire District Council's departments when formulating fees; the Council's own Legal Team and Section 151 Finance Officer had not been consulted. The Environmental Health Team Manager for Licensing explained, with the joint service, the Council provided a single payment to North East Derbyshire District Council as they directly employed staff.

The fees had been difficult to quantify, and the Council's own individual costs would need to be learned. However, this would be reviewed annually moving forwards starting in 2025/26.

A Member wished to thank the Environmental Health Team Manager for Licensing and all those involved in the formulation of the fees and the writing of the report.

It was asked if the recently elected Derbyshire Police and Crime Commissioner be contacted to address the concerns of the police not providing adequate information in a safe time. The Legal Team Manager – Deputy Monitoring Officer confirmed a letter could be written on behalf of the Committee, through the Chair, asking for this to be addressed. The Committee discussed and agreed this letter would be sent.

Moved by Councillor Mary Dooley and seconded by Councillor David Bennett

RESOLVED that: 1) the Committee approves the revised draft licence fees for consultation;

- 2) The Committee requires the Joint Assistant Director of Environmental Health conduct a consultation directly with the trade and place the statutory notice in the relevant local newspaper, on the Council premises and on the Council website;
- 3) In the event objections are received, the Committee requires the Joint Assistant Director of Environmental Health to report any objections to the modified fees back to the General Licensing Committee in line with the legislative requirements;

GENERAL LICENSING COMMITTEE

- 4) In the event no objections are received, the Committee requires the Joint Assistant Director of Environmental Health implement the new fees and charges.

The meeting concluded at 10:54 hours.

Bolsover District Council

General Licensing Committee **on 13th February 2025**

Review of the Council's Taxi Licensing Fees under the Local Government **(Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976**

Report of the Joint Assistant Director for Environmental Health

Classification	This report is Public
Report By	Charmaine Terry, Environmental Health Team Manager (Licensing)

PURPOSE/SUMMARY OF REPORT

To consider the consultation response to a review of the licence fees for Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Vehicles, Drivers, and Operators.

REPORT DETAILS

1. Background

- 1.1 Bolsover District Council is responsible for the licensing and regulation of Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Vehicles, Drivers, and Operators under the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976 and the Town Police Clauses Act 1847.
- 1.2 Under s.53 and s.70 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976 ('the Act'), Local Authorities are permitted to set licence fees in respect of Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Vehicles, Drivers, and Operators.
- 1.3 The Act only permits the Council to make a charge for the administration and supervision of licences at the point of the grant of a licence and does not make provision for additional charges during the currency of a licence. For example, to cover the cost of a replacement licence. However, under s.93 of the Local Government Act 2003 ('the LG Act') the Council is permitted to make a charge for discretionary services. Where a charge is not permitted under the Act, a discretionary services charge has been included in line with the provisions of the LG Act.
- 1.4 In line with regulation 2(6) of the Local Authority (Functions and Responsibilities) (England) Regulations 2000, fee setting for taxi licensing is a non-Executive function of the Council and decisions are to be taken by the General Licensing Committee.

- 1.5 The Local Government Association (LGA) sets out what can and cannot be included when setting fees. A copy of the guidance can be found at <https://www.local.gov.uk/publications/lga-guidance-locally-set-licensing-fees>. Whilst this guidance does set out a methodology for the review of licensing fees, the guidance does not take account of specific statutory restrictions applicable to taxi licensing and has therefore been used as a starting point only.
- 1.6 Any fee in relation to taxi licensing must only recover reasonable costs associated with the administration and supervision of licences and cannot generate a profit to cross subsidise other areas of licensing or the Council. Any shortfall in revenue, if not met by licence fee payers, must be borne by the Council as a whole. In practice, this means that the costs of the Service should be covered entirely by taxi licensing fee income.
- 1.7 In recent years, case law has provided clarity on what costs can be recovered as part of the taxi licensing regime. R (app Rehman) v Wakefield City Council [2020] R.T.R. 11 (2019), provided clarification that the costs of enforcement and compliance can be recovered against driver fees; and R (Cummings) v Cardiff [2014] confirmed that charges within a licensing regime for different categories of licence should be accounted entirely separately and should not subsidise each other. This means that driver licence fees cannot subsidise vehicle or operator licence fees and vice versa.
- 1.8 Licence fees cannot be used to recover the cost of enforcement against unlicensed drivers, vehicles, and operators, as such, any cost for enforcement against unlicensed activity must be met out of general funds and recovered, where possible through prosecution costs in Court.

2 Details of Proposal or Information

- 2.1 The joint Environmental Health Service conducted a comprehensive review of the current taxi licensing fees. In calculating the fees, a robust methodology, adopted widely across the country was used, with input from North East Derbyshire District Council's s.151 officer. When calculating the proposed fees the service has taken into consideration the time taken to process each type of licence along with staffing costs, costs of materials, enforcement against current licence holders, policy development and general overheads. A table of proposed fees are attached as **Appendix 1**. The fees have been calculated in line with the legislation and case law and have been rounded up/down to the nearest pound.
- 2.2 To assist Members in considering if the proposed fees are reasonable, officers have prepared a table showing the fees charged by other local authorities in Derbyshire. The table shows that the proposed fees remain competitive with other Derbyshire local authorities and the comparison table can be found attached as **Appendix 2**.

- 2.3 On 10 October 2024, the General Licensing Committee considered the revised fees at **Appendix 1** and approved them for consultation.
- 2.4 In line with section 70 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976, notice of the proposed variation to the fees was published in the Derbyshire Times and a notice was displayed at the Council offices. In addition to publishing the required statutory notices, licence holders were contacted directly, and details of the proposed variations were publicised on the website. The consultation opened on 19 December 2024 and closed on 16 January 2025.

Consultation Response

- 2.5 During the consultation period, 9 consultation responses were received. Copies of the objections received can be found attached as **Appendix 3** and a summary of the issues raised, and officer responses can be found at **Table 1** below.

Table 1 – Consultation Summary

Concern raised	Officer Comments
The new prices are too high and unaffordable.	The prices have increased significantly; however, the current fees have been in place since 2018. Licence holders have benefited from no fee increases for 6 years. The proposed fees cover costs and do not generate a surplus.
If the new high prices come into effect, a lot of the taxi trade will move to other cheaper local authorities but continue to work in the Bolsover area.	It is noted that the proposed fees are broadly comparable with those charged elsewhere. Cross border operations are a growing concern for many local authorities, with some licensing significant numbers of applicants. This is beyond the control of the Council.
The operator fee has come down but drivers and vehicles have increased.	The fees have not gone up by consistent amounts as the change to the fee is not just due to inflation and increased staffing costs. The fees have also been varied to accommodate changes in administration process over the last 6 years, of which there have been many. Driver and vehicle licence applications have seen an increase in the amount of checks carried out. Conversely, there has been little to no change for operators. Operators have therefore benefitted from efficiency savings more than other licence types.

Out of area operations are saturating the market, meaning there is less work in the district.	Cross border operations are a growing concern for many local authorities, with some licensing significant numbers of applicants. This is beyond the control of the Council.
Drivers have had to pay for the installation of CCTV in their vehicles.	The CCTV Policy was implemented from 2022. The cost of the CCTV systems were approximately £500 to £800. The Council did not increase taxi licensing fees during this period of implementation.
The joint service with NEDDC has had a negative impact on the trade.	Since the introduction of the joint service with NEDDC there have been a number of significant changes to national standards in taxi licensing. Any changes to processes have been as a result of national changes, not as a result of the strategic alliance with NEDDC. Decisions on taxi licensing policies remain within the control of Bolsover District Council's elected members.
If someone wants a cheaper and shorter licence they are only available in extenuating circumstances.	The duration of licences is set in the Deregulation Act 2015. It is clear in the legislation that the Council should only issue shorter period licences 'if the district council think it is appropriate in the circumstances of the case.' For this reason, shorter period licences are considered on a case by case basis.
The mandatory CCTV policy is unnecessary.	The mandatory CCTV policy has been in place since 2022 and any discussion on the relevance or necessity of the policy is a topic outside the scope of this report.
High costs do not encourage new trade in the district.	The cost of delivering this service is recovered from fees. The costs reflect the administration involved, which in turn is governed by the requirements of legislation and national guidance. It would not be appropriate for the Council to discount fees, subsidising the service from the general fund.
There is no hackney rank in the Bolsover district.	Bolsover District Council only has 4 licensed Hackney Carriage Vehicles. The district is rural in nature. Should there be calls from the trade to introduce a rank in the district, these would be considered. There are currently no requests outstanding for the introduction of a rank.
High prices encourage unlicensed activity.	Any reports of unlicensed activity are investigated by officers. Should increased fees result in an increase in reports of unlicensed activity, these will be monitored.

<p>The vehicle licence fee is excessive because it is every 6 months, not annual.</p>	<p>Vehicles are licensed and tested every 6 months in line with the Council's policy. As the Council does not have an age restriction on vehicles it deems it appropriate to test vehicles every 6 months to ensure they remain safe. Over 10% of vehicle renewal tests in 2024 resulted in a failed examination. A change to process will require a change to policy and is outside the scope of this report.</p>
<p>Appendix 2 of the report does not make proper comparisons and members were misled with this information because the test fee was not included in the vehicle licence fee when some authorities include the test fee.</p>	<p>The proposed fees have been calculated to reflect the cost burden to the Council. The fees outlined in Appendix 2 of the report are there to demonstrate that the Council's costs in relation to the taxi licensing regime are not unreasonable. The fees table lists the costs for 'Vehicle Test' fees but there is variation within this between different Councils. For example, some authorities do not include the costs for signage and fixing within their vehicle licence fee. The Council's test fee is set by the Council's transport depot. This fee has not been amended as part of this exercise, but is included in the fee comparison to give Members an understanding of the full costs to licence holders.</p>
<p>The advert is not correct.</p>	<p>The advert complies with the requirements of the legislation. A copy of the advert placed in the Derbyshire Times is attached as Appendix 4.</p>
<p>The Council may not be complying with its requirement to carry out 'best value comparisons' under the Local Government Act 1999.</p>	<p>The Council has processes in place to conduct 'best value comparisons'. One recent example of a best value comparison would be the exercise undertaken to establish the cheapest method of conducting 6 monthly DBS checks, in line with the Council's new Taxi Licensing Policy and the Department for Transport's Statutory Standards. This exercise revealed that engaging the services of a third-party provider to conduct DBS checks on the Council's behalf would deliver a saving. Had this exercise not been carried out, the fee for Driver Licences would have increased further.</p>

2.6 In line with the legislation and the Council's constitution, the results of the consultation must now be considered before determining final fees.

3. Reasons for Recommendation

- 3.1 Modifying the fees will ensure the Licensing Service remains cost neutral and recovers all reasonable costs in the licensing and regulation of Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Vehicles, Drivers, and Operators.
- 3.2 Failing to set licence fees in accordance with the statutory requirements could lead to a challenge against the Council. This may result in any unlawful licence fees having to be refunded to members of the trade.
- 3.3 Failure to increase the fees could also result in the Council operating the service at a loss. Should the taxi licensing service operate at a loss, the Council as a whole will bear the cost.

4 Alternative Options and Reasons for Rejection

- 4.1 The Council could choose to keep the current fees in place. This would result in the service operating at a loss for most licence types and for others may result in the Council overcharging.
- 4.2 Alternative options for an incremental introduction of the new fees, or a temporary reduction to the new fees, have been considered but rejected. Either of these options would result in the Council operating the service at a loss and as a result: -
 - shortfalls in fee income would have to be subsidised by the Council, or
 - a reduction in the quality or timeliness of the service provided would be required, in order to remain within budget. Any such change could lead to the Council's service not being compliant with statutory requirements.

RECOMMENDATION(S)

1. That Committee considers the responses to the consultation and the officer comments and explanations.
2. That committee approves the fees as advertised.

Approved by Councillor Clarke Portfolio Holder for Environment

IMPLICATIONS.

Finance and Risk: Yes No

Details:

There will be a minor cost associated with carrying out the consultation. This can be accommodated from existing budgets.

Having fees that are up to date ensure the Council is delivering a cost neutral service.

On behalf of the Section 151 Officer

<p>Legal (including Data Protection): Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Details: It is a legal requirement under the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976 that a licensing authority does not make a profit when delivering a taxi licensing regime. Having fees that are up to date; compliant with the legislation; justified; proportionate; clear; objective; made public in advance; transparent; and accessible reduces the risk of legal challenge by way of appeal or judicial review.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">On behalf of the Solicitor to the Council</p> <p>Environment: Details: N/A</p> <p>Staffing: Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Details: None</p> <p style="text-align: right;">On behalf of the Head of Paid Service</p>

DECISION INFORMATION

<p>Is the decision a Key Decision? A Key Decision is an executive decision which has a significant impact on two or more District wards, or which results in income or expenditure to the Council above the following thresholds:</p> <p>Revenue - £75,000 <input type="checkbox"/> Capital - £150,000 <input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Please indicate which threshold applies</i></p>	<p>Click here to enter text.</p>
<p>Is the decision subject to Call-In? <i>(Only Key Decisions are subject to Call-In)</i></p>	<p>Click here to enter text.</p>

<p>District Wards Significantly Affected</p>	<p>All</p>
<p>Consultation: Leader / Deputy Leader <input type="checkbox"/> Executive <input type="checkbox"/> SLT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Relevant Service Manager <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Members <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Public <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Details: Chair of General Licensing Committee Portfolio Holder for Environment</p>

<p>Links to Council Ambition: Customers, Economy, and Environment.</p>
<p>All</p>

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

Appendix No	Title
1	Proposed Fees
2	Derbyshire Fee Comparison
3	Objections
4	Newspaper Advert

Background Papers

(These are unpublished works which have been relied on to a material extent when preparing the report. They must be listed in the section below. If the report is going to Executive, you must provide copies of the background papers).

<https://www.local.gov.uk/publications/lga-guidance-locally-set-licensing-fees>

Financial Accounts

Appendix 1

Private Hire Operator				
1 Year	2 Year	3 Year	4 Year	5 Year
£291	£369	£447	£526	£604
Combined Hackney Carriage and Private Hire Vehicle Driver Licence (or single licence), plus the DBS fee if required				
1 Year		2 Year		3 Year
£194		£256		£311
Hackney Carriage & Private Hire Vehicle Licences				
Six-month licence (New)		£151		
Six-month licence (Renewal)		£123		
Transfer ownership*		£23		
Vehicle Test (inc. MOT where requested)		£75		
Re-test fees		£10 for the first item £5 for each additional item up to a maximum fee of £25 £35 full retest		
Missed test appointment		£20 (if less than 24 hours' notice)		
Miscellaneous Costs				
Driver Theory test		£50		
Replacement lost licence plate*		£26		
Replacement lost licence badge*		£17		
Replacement paper licence*		£10		

*costs recovered as discretionary fee via Local Government Act 2003

Fee Type		Bolsover and North East Derbyshire (CURRENT)	Bolsover and North East Derbyshire (PROPOSED)	Amber Valley	Chesterfield	Derby	Derbyshire Dales	Erewash	High Peak	South Derbyshire
Private Hire Operator	1 Year	£234.00	£291.00	-	-	-	-	-	£107.00	-
	2 Year	£337.00	£369.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	3 Year	£438.00	£447.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	4 Year	£541.00	£526.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	5 Year	£644.00	£604.00	£306.00	£845.00 (response) (plus £37.00 per vehicle)	£113.00 (per vehicle)	£540.60	£441.00	£321.50	-
	5 Year (1-5 cars)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	£382.00
	5 Year (6-20 cars)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	£505.00
	5 Year (21-50 cars)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	£684.00
	5 Year (51 cars+)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	£893.00
Hackney Carriage & Private Hire Driver Licence	Hackney Carriage 1 Year	£150.00	£194.00	-	-	-	-	£85.00	£76.50 Additional costs for DVLA check, identity check)	£135.00
	Hackney Carriage 2 Year	£165.00	£256.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	£190.00
	Hackney Carriage 3 Year	£180.00	£311.00	£223.00	£268.00 initial/ £268.00 renewal + £15 DVLA check	£361.00 (£133 annually if issued by sub-	£217.50	£245.00	£153.00 Additional costs for DVLA check,	£265.00

17

18						committee for 1 year)			identity check)	
	Private Hire 1 Year	£150.00	£194.00	-	-	-	-	£85.00	£76.50 Additional costs for DVLA check, identity check)	£135.00
	Private Hire 2 Year	£165.00	£256.00	-	-	-	-	-	-	£190.00
	Private Hire 3 Year	£180.00	£311.00	£223.00	£268.00 initial/ £268.00 renewal + £15 DVLA check	£361.00 (£133 annually if issued by sub-committee for 1 year)	£217.50	£245.00	£153.00 Additional costs for DVLA check, identity check)	£265.00
Hackney Carriage, Private Hire & Executive Hire Vehicle Licences	6 months	£92.00	£151.00 (New) £123.00 (Renewal)	-	-	-	£200 (no inspection required) or £280 (inspection required)	-	-	-
	Hackney Carriage 1 Year (New)	-	-	£409.00	£193 + £10 survey cost	£211.00	£402.80 or £322 (Brand new vehicle only)	Vehicle under 5 years £245 + 1 test £43 = £288 Over 5 years - £245 + 2 tests £86 = £331 Reduction	£183.50	N/A

19								for low emission vehicles under 5 years - £197 + £34 = £231 over 5 years - £197 + £68 = £265		
	Hackney Carriage 1 Year (Renewal)	-	-	£338.00	£134 + £10 survey cost	£124	£402.80 £322 (Brand new vehicle only)	Vehicle under 5 years £245 + 1 test £43 = £288 Over 5 years - £245 + 2 tests £86 = £331 Reduction for low emission vehicles under 5 years - £197 + £34 = £231 over 5 years - £197 + £68 = £265	£183.50	N/A
	Private Hire 1 Year (New)	-	-	£409.00	£193.00	£104.00	£402.80 £322 (Brand	Vehicle under 5 years	£183.50	£190.00 (£121.00 for hire vehicles)

							new vehicle only)	<p>£245 + 1 test £43 = £288</p> <p>Over 5 years - £245 + 2 tests £86 = £331</p> <p>Reduction for low emission vehicles under 5 years - £197 + £34 = £231</p> <p>over 5 years - £197 + £68 = £265</p>		Misinterepreted – SD do not licence hackneys – hire vehicle is accident hire vehicle
	Private Hire 1 Year (Renewal)	-	-	£293.50	£134.00	£104.00	£402.80 £322 (Brand new vehicle only)	<p>Vehicle under 5 years £245.00 + 1 test £43.00 = £288.00</p> <p>Over 5 years - £245.00 + 2 tests £86.00 = £331.00</p> <p>Reduction for low emission vehicles under 5</p>	£183.50	£190.00 (£121.00 for hire vehicles) – SD do not licence hackneys – hire vehicle is accident hire vehicle

21								years - £197 + £34 = £231 over 5 years - £197 + £68 = £265		
	Executive Hire 1 Year (New)	-	-	-	£86.00	£161.00	-	-	-	-
	Executive Hire 1 Year (Renewal)	-	-	-	£75.00	-	-	-	-	-
	Vehicle test	£75.00	£75.00*	-	£76.00	-	-	-	-	-
	Retest fee (first item)	£10.00	£10.00*	£34.00	£10.00	-	£38.50 (two items or less)/ £80.60 (3 or more items)	30 mins - £22.00	-	-
	Retest fees (additional items)	£5.00 up to a maximum of £25.00	£5.00 up to a maximum of £25.00*	-	£5.00 up to a maximum of £25.00	-		1 hour - £43.00	-	-
	Retest fee (full retest)	£35.00	£35.00*	-		-	£80.60	-	-	£31.00
	Limousine test	-	-	-	£25.00	-	-	-	-	-
	Missed test appointment	£20.00	£20.00*	£33.00	-	-	£41.50	£22.00	-	£31.00
Miscellaneous Costs	DBS Application Cost	£70.50 (payable to Taxi Plus)	£70.50 (payable to Taxi Plus)	-	£72.00	£71.00	Additional cost not payable to DDDC	£38.00	Additional cost not payable to HPBC	-

Administration Fee	-	-	-	-	-	£63.00	£2.75 (for help with DBS)	£22.00	£10.50	-
Route 2 DBS Check Admin Fee	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Driver Theory Test	£30.00	£50.00	£38.40	£64.00	£117.50	£41.00 (Contract Only)	£38.00	£20.50	£38.40	
Replacement lost licence plate	£15.00	£26.00	£10.00 + £15.00 bracket	£9.00 (front)/ £11.00 (rear) – Plus additional costs for any required fixings/signage/pouches	£35.00 Plus additional costs for replacement hackney signage and stickers	£11.75 (Plus additional costs for replacement fixings or internal signage)	£27.00	£10.00	£15.00 (Plus additional cost for fixings)	
Replacement driver badge	£15.00	£17.00	£10.00	£4.00 + extra for card holder and lanyard	£24.00	£4.10 (Plus £4.10 for holder)	£27.00	£10.00	£15.00	
Replacement paper licence	£8.00	£10.00	£10.00	£1.00	£30.00	£12.85	-	£10.00	£10.50	
Vehicle Change	-	-		£48.00	£20.00	£41.50	£120.00	£51.00	£38.00	
Vehicle Details Change	-	-		£43.00	£34.00	-	£22.00	£10.50	£10.50	

*Fee set by the transport depot

Appendix 3

Consultation Responses

Response 1

You will lose a lot of taxi drivers and operators if the new prices come in because Wolverhampton Council taxi drivers are paying Fraction of the price.

Response 2

Why will it become cheaper for operators to renew but more for drivers when the administration costs should be the same.

Response 3

After receiving the proposed price increases in a email I ask you to take a few things into consideration in today's current climate

Id like to point out that bolsover is struggling for a taxi service on a regular basis resulting in out of town taxis now trying to move in diluteing the amount of jobs available.

These are some of the reason

Cost of installing unecessary cctv systems.

Cost inflation of badge requirements right from being a new driver to current re newals.

You may have a portfolio of bolsover district plated cars on your books but I can honestly say that less than 10 bolsover plated cars operate in our area le bolsover clowne creswell whitwell etc.Most now are working in such as mansfield chesterfield.

Or the most recent choice people have decided to get rid of bolsover badges and join such as chesterfield etc where they can carry out the same jobs in the same area at such lower outlay,ie no cctv required etc etc.

Since you merged with north east Derbyshire Council the service I'm my own experience has been nothing but a shambles and out to destroy such a nice trade totally understand legislations need to be in place to safe guard people but I strongly feel that 1 of you should actually get from behind the desk and join us in the field and ask us drivers how we see things from a practical side and day to day operating.

The fees for example badge from 180 to 311 is such a huge jump.we dnt have much choice in a shorter period badge only under certain circumstances ie through you.

The introduction of cctv in my taxis when I now only carry out airport transportation is such a big out lay at 500 to 600 when personally do I really need to film airport customers.I think a bit of common sense should of been used here rather than made mandatory.

A chesterfield driver at such less outlay can cover the same area n jobs at less outlay.

In my opinion it's not giving much appeal to get new drivers on board with such a large outlay.

On a separate situation you as a council haven't even supplied us with a hackney rank in bolsover so there's no way we can even get anything going in this current climate in resulting me giving my hackney up.ive raised this issue but noting comes of it basically fell on def ears us drivers opinions dnt count eh?

It's about time we had more say in day to day taxi licencing affairs as I think your price increase has already been decided and will go ahead no matter what oppions we have

Response 4

I am no longer interested.

Response 5

After reviewing the price increase I feel with all the other out lays that a taxi driver has to pay there will be a lot of drivers that will not be able to afford this.

There will be a massive exodus of drivers to other areas for their licences. I feel that the current situation and the current climate are going to have a massive effect on taxi drivers.

If the councils do this I feel this will have a negative affect on firms using unlicensed drivers to which these increases will be the reason why.

For safety reasons and safety to the public please do not do this as you will be putting the public at risk and I will unfortunately have to say that I would be one of the people who will state that I warned you this could happen.

Keep the prices reasonable and affordable and keep the drivers legal and the public safe.

Response 6

Thank you for the information regarding the proposed changes to the licensing fees. However, I would like to express my dissatisfaction with the suggested significant increase. While I understand the need to adjust the fees due to rising

administrative costs, I believe the proposed increase is too steep and could become a considerable burden for those operating in this industry.

I kindly request that you reconsider the scale of the increase, possibly by introducing more gradual changes that would allow for better adjustment to the new financial conditions.

Response 7

I am writing to express my dissatisfaction with the recent increase in consultation fees. As a responsible vehicle owner, I renew my Vehicle License every six months, currently paying £92. However, this fee is set to rise to £123, which I feel is unreasonable given the circumstances.

If there were an option to renew the Vehicle License annually, I might consider the increase more justifiable, but at this rate, it just feels excessive.

Additionally, I find it difficult to understand why fees continue to rise, especially when services like CCTV—which are essential for our own safety—are restricted. For example, only one camera is being used despite us paying for it, essentially serving your interests rather than ours.

I have always prioritized maintaining my vehicle in top condition, but the increasing fees are becoming overwhelming. I would greatly appreciate it if you could either freeze the current fees or introduce an option to renew the Vehicle License for a full year, along with adjustments to the Driving License fees as well.

Many thanks and would forward to your response.

Response 8

Don't agree. That is a huge increase.

Response 9

Objection to Increase in Fees – January ,2025

Having had a look at the fees advertised, the advert is not correct.

At the General Licensing Committee in October the members were not correctly informed appendix 2. The comparisons given are not correct leading to councillors basing there decisions on incorrect data. I have only had one reply from

Erewash Borough Council

Derby Road

Long Eaton

Derbyshire

NG10 1HU

There fee correctly includes the test fee, in the comparison Bolsover doesn't, the vehicle test fee is part of the licence fee and should be included. So £246 renewal + £150 = £396 which would make it the dearest in your own example. Wolverhampton by comparison have reduced there vehicle licence fee year on year, I believe it's currently £95.

I would be interested to have details of any 'best value' comparison the council has carried out in respect of its licensing functions and how it compares with the authorities it may have used as a 'benchmark'

By virtue of the Local Government Act 1999 the authority is required to carry out such comparison.

The Council is a District authority and exercises powers under the Town Police Clauses Act 1847 and the Local Government(Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976 in connection with the grant and supervision of licences for Hackney Carriages, Private Hire Vehicles and Operators of PHV's as well as the drivers of such vehicles.

The Council is empowered to levy fees for the carrying out of those functions, by virtue of S53(2) and S70(1) of the 1976 Act.

Fees charged must be reasonable and no more than sufficient in the aggregate, in respect of S70, to cover the Council's costs in whole or in part.

It has been established in a number of cases before the courts that a Council may not derive a profit or surplus from such licensing activity.

While the question of what is 'reasonable' can only be resolved by challenge, it seems clear that 'costs' charged to accounts to be recovered by licence fee income must be commensurate with the actual and necessary expenditure of human and material resources.

It follows that the Council must be able to demonstrate that those costs charged directly or by apportionment can be identified as being relevant and proportionate.

Therefore I believe that suspending the implementation of the proposed fees increases would be a reasonable course of action until the authority have had time to supply the information requested below.

Appendix 4**Newspaper Advert****Variation of Fees for Hackney Carriage & Private Hire Licences**

Notice is hereby given, in accordance with Section 70 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1976, that Bolsover District Council proposes to vary the fees for the grant and renewal of Hackney Carriage & Private Hire Drivers, Vehicles and Operators Licences.

Type	Current	Proposed	Type	Current	Proposed
Driver (1 year)	£150.00	£194.00	Vehicle (6 months renewal)	£92.00	£123.00
Driver (2 year)	£165.00	£256.00	Vehicle (6 months new)	£92.00	£151.00
Driver (3 year)	£180.00	£311.00	Vehicle Transfer ownership	N/A	£23.00
Operator (1 year)	£234.00	£291.00	Driver theory test	£30.00	£50.00
Operator (2 year)	£337.00	£369.00	Replacement driver badge	£15.00	£17.00
Operator (3 year)	£438.00	£447.00	Replacement paper licence	£8.00	£10.00
Operator (4 year)	£541.00	£526.00	Replacement vehicle plate	£15.00	£26.00
Operator (5 year)	£644.00	£604.00			

A copy of the notice may be inspected at Bolsover District Council, The Arc, High Street Clowne, S43 4JY without payment during normal office hours for twenty-eight days from the date of the first publication of this notice. Appointments to view the notice can be arranged by contacting us on 01246 217885.

Any objections should be made in writing to the Licensing Department, The Arc, High Street Clowne, S43 4JY or by email to: Licensing.Consultation@bolsover.gov.uk by no later than 16th January 2025. If no objections to the variation are received the variation shall come into operation on 20th January 2025.