

BOLSOVER DISTRICT COUNCIL

SCRUTINY PROJECT MANAGEMENT – REVIEW SCOPE

NAME OF COMMITTEE:	Healthy, Safe, Clean and Green Communities Scrutiny Committee
SUBJECT TO BE REVIEWED:	Review of Council Policy on Fireworks
REASON(S) FOR THE REVIEW:	A number of comments received by Leader and Customer Standards & Complaints Officer in relation to Council's Policy and the suggestion of a Council motion in relation to the matter in line with current national campaigns.
IDENTIFY APPROPRIATE CORPORATE PLAN AIMS, PRIORITIES AND TARGETS:	CORPORATE PLAN AIM – Environment PRIORITIES – Ensuring a high standard of environmental cleanliness, undertaking appropriate enforcement activity where required. TARGETS – No specific Corporate Target
DIRECTORATE/SERVICES INVOLVED:	Directorate – Environment and Enforcement <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Services – Environmental Health Directorate – Corporate Services <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Services – Governance
AIMS AND OBJECTIVES OF REVIEW:	Aim: To assess the local impact of Fireworks and review local Council policy. Objectives: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Review the previous motion adopted by Council in 2019 and the action completed to date.• Assess the scale of the local environmental impact via consultation with both residents and local agencies/groups.• Assess the scope for a local level policy and the enforcement that could take place in addition, to the
KEY ISSUES:	A number of complaints/comments are received per annum from residents in relation to the use of such items and the environmental damage caused. All communications received refer to the national campaigns The Council has previously approved a motion submitted and completed a range of agreed activity – what has been the effect of this? Further debate at a national level has not yet resulted in a change to national policy/legislation in regards to the noise levels of fireworks

	<p>sold to the public and used at displays – this is a key element of various national campaigns by organisations such as RSPCA.</p> <p>Is there an impact on the Dog Warden service in October/November as a result of lost dogs due to fireworks?</p>
METHOD(S) OF REVIEW:	<p>Document review of previous motion to Council and action taken to date</p> <p>Public consultation via website.</p> <p>Targeted consultation with local agencies/groups to include parishes, English Heritage, SAFFA, Cllrs, Trading Standards, Public Health (mental health).</p>
IMPLICATIONS: (legislative, regulatory, etc)	<p>There is national legislation in relation to the sale and use of fireworks but this has been in place for a number of years causing the creation of national campaigns for improved legislation at a national level.</p>
DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE: (Internal/External)	<p>Past Council papers Letters sent as a result of the motion agreed by Council in 2019. Consultation results Complaints/Comments data National policy National campaigns</p>
STAKEHOLDERS:	<p>*RELEVANT PORTFOLIO HOLDER MUST BE INVOLVED IN THE REVIEW</p> <p>Joint Director of Environment and Enforcement Joint Assistant Director of Environmental Health Customer Standards & Complaints Officer Improvement Officer (Consultation) Portfolio Holder of Environmental Health & Licensing Leader</p>
CONSULTATION/ RESEARCH:	<p>Proposed public consultation via website and targeted consultation with specific local agencies/groups.</p>
SITE VISITS:	<p>None planned.</p>

TIMESCALE	ESTIMATED	REVISED	ACTUAL
Commencement	February 2021		
Interim Report/ Recommendations			
Finish (Report to Committee)			
Report to Executive			

SCRUTINY REVIEW OUTCOMES

CONCLUSIONS:

RECOMMENDATIONS:

DRAFT REPORT SENT TO DIRECTOR & ANY RELEVANT OFFICERS FOR COMMENT:

*DATE AND OFFICERS RESPONDING

DATE DRAFT REPORT CONSIDERED BY PORTFOLIO HOLDER:

DATE SIGNED OFF BY COMMITTEE/CHAIR:

DATE CONSIDERED BY EXECUTIVE:

DATE OF EXECUTIVE RESPONSE TO COMMITTEE:

POST-SCRUTINY MONITORING PERIOD:

DATE OF EVALUATION OF PROCESS: