

# Topic Suggestion Form

Please return this form to:

Scrutiny and Elections Officer, Governance, Bolsover District Council, The Arc, High Street, Clowne, Chesterfield, Derbyshire, S43 4JY. Please contact the office if you require advice on 01246 242385 or [joanne.wilson@bolsover.gov.uk](mailto:joanne.wilson@bolsover.gov.uk)

Name: Cllr Tricia Clough

Please list up to two suggestions below:

| Criteria for evaluating and prioritising suggested topic  | Topic No.2  |
|---|---|
| <b>What topic are you suggesting and the possible review title e.g. Review of. ...</b>  | To consider where health and leisure activities have brought positive, best value outcomes for customers  |
| <b>Does this issue have a potential impact on one or more section(s) of the population?</b>   | Yes   |
| <b>Does this topic relate to a specific geographical area or the whole District?</b>  | Whole District  |
| <b>Is the issue strategic and significant?</b><br><br>(Include reference to how it contributes to the delivery of the Council's priorities)   | Yes. One of the priorities is to improve health, well-being and participation in sport and leisure activities but this should be affordable and good value for money. |
| <b>Will the scrutiny activity add value to the Council's, and/or its partners' overall performance?</b><br><br>(Include reference to current issues with service dissatisfaction/ under performance/complaints) | Hopefully it will demonstrate that the Council's present and future plans are affordable and good value.  |

| Criteria for evaluating and prioritising suggested topic   | Topic No.2  |
|--|---|
| <p><b>Is it likely to lead to effective outcomes?</b> (E.g. improve value for money, increase income, make savings)</p>  | <p>This could lead to more participation across the district. It might identify some areas where monies could be saved.</p>   |
| <p><b>Will scrutiny involvement be duplicating some other work?</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Is this function currently being reviewed?</b> (E.g. via Internal Audit/ Service Review)</li> </ul>  | <p>Not to my knowledge</p>  |
| <p><b>Is it an issue of concern to partners and stakeholders?</b></p>  | <p>Covid has had an impact on those accessing leisure facilities. Confidence needs restoring not just in the safety aspect but also the value for money aspect.</p> |
| <p><b>Is the topic an issue of community concern?</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Has it been raised by residents in your ward?</b></li> </ul>   | <p>Yes. Residents are looking at ways to become more healthy but affordability and travel to facilities has been an issue.</p>                                      |
| <p><b>Are there adequate resources available to do the scrutiny activity well?</b></p>   | <p>Yes</p>  |
| <p><b>Is the scrutiny activity suggested timely?</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Has this subject been reviewed in the last 3 years? If so when, what was the outcome and what would a further review achieve or how would it make an impact?</b></li> </ul> | <p>Now we are looking at most restrictions being lifted, this is an ideal time.</p>   |



| <b>Method of Delivery – support to Scoping of Review</b>   | <b>Topic No.2</b>  |   |
|--|--|---|
| <b>Is there Statutory Responsibility for the area in question, i.e. planning enforcement?</b>                  | No   |   |
| <b>How could the public be engaged?</b> e.g. survey, discussion group or co-opted onto a Panel                 | Surveys, discussion groups.  |   |
| <b>Please tick one of the boxes to your right to identify which type of review your topic is suitable for:</b> | In depth review (up to 6 months)   |   |
|  | Mini review (1-2 meetings) – formal report to Committee with recommendations agreed on the day/at the subsequent meeting.  | ✓ |
|  | Update Presentation or Report to the Scrutiny Committee to support development of future review topic (low priority issue) |   |